OPEN ACCESS
International Medical Science Research Journal
P-ISSN: 2707-3394, E-ISSN: 2707-3408
Volume 4, Issue 2, P.No.158-169, February 2024
DOI: 10.51594/imsrj.v4i2.767
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/imsrj

REVIEWING THE IMPACT OF EMBEDDED SYSTEMS IN MEDICAL DEVICES IN THE USA

Sedat Sonko[1], Ayodeji Matthew Monebi[2], Emmanuel Augustine Etukudoh[3], Femi Osasona[4], Akoh Atadoga[5], & Cosmas Dominic Daudu[6]

[1]Independent Researcher, USA
[2]Department of Radio and Communications Engineering, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, South Korea
[3]Independent Researcher, Abuja, Nigeria
[4]Scottish Water, UK
[5]Independent Researcher, San Francisco, USA
[6]Nigeria LNG Limited, Bonny Island, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Emmanuel Augustine Etukudoh
Corresponding Author Email: emmanueletukudoh@gmail.com

Article Received: 01-01-24 **Accepted**:30-01-24 **Published:** 09-02-24

ABSTRACT

The integration of embedded systems in medical devices has revolutionized the healthcare landscape in the United States, fostering advancements in patient care, diagnostics, and treatment modalities. This review provides a concise overview of the extensive review conducted to understand the multifaceted impact of embedded systems in medical devices within the USA. Embedded systems, characterized by their compact size and integration into various medical devices, play a pivotal role in enhancing the efficiency, accuracy, and functionality of healthcare technologies. This review explores the pervasive influence of embedded systems in diverse medical applications, ranging from wearable devices monitoring vital signs to sophisticated imaging equipment and life-saving implantable devices. The

analysis encompasses a comprehensive examination of the regulatory landscape governing embedded medical devices in the USA, emphasizing the stringent standards set by regulatory bodies such as the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The evolving regulatory framework reflects the ongoing efforts to balance innovation with patient safety, ensuring that embedded systems adhere to the highest standards of reliability and security. Furthermore, the review delves into case studies and real-world examples, showcasing instances where embedded systems have contributed significantly to medical breakthroughs and improved patient outcomes. The integration of artificial intelligence, data analytics, and connectivity within embedded systems has enabled healthcare professionals to access real-time patient data, facilitating timely interventions and personalized treatment plans. However, the review also addresses challenges and concerns, including cybersecurity risks and the need for standardized interoperability among different embedded systems. The potential for remote hacking and data breaches underscores the importance of robust cybersecurity measures in the rapidly evolving landscape of medical device technology. This comprehensive review sheds light on the transformative impact of embedded systems in medical devices within the USA. By examining both the positive contributions and challenges associated with these technologies, stakeholders can make informed decisions to further propel the integration of embedded systems for improved healthcare outcomes.

Keywords: Embedded System, Medical, Devices, USA, Health, Review.

INTRODUCTION

Embedded systems in medical devices refer to the integration of computing elements within medical equipment to perform specific functions, such as monitoring, control, and data processing (Zavitsanou et al., 2016). These systems are crucial in healthcare as they enable real-time monitoring of patient conditions, automated drug delivery, and precise control of medical devices, leading to improved patient outcomes and safety (Saba et al., 2022). The review aims to assess the impact of embedded systems in medical devices in the USA, focusing on their role in enhancing healthcare delivery, patient safety, and treatment efficacy. Additionally, it seeks to explore the challenges and opportunities associated with the integration of embedded systems in medical devices, with the goal of providing insights for future advancements in this field (Tsasis et al., 2019).

The integration of embedded systems in medical devices represents a pivotal paradigm shift in the healthcare landscape of the United States. These sophisticated and compact computing platforms have redefined the capabilities of medical technologies, spanning wearable devices, imaging equipment, and implantable solutions.

This comprehensive review aims to explore the multifaceted impact of embedded systems within the USA's healthcare ecosystem, delving into their regulatory landscape, types, real-world applications, and the implications for stakeholders. As technology continues to advance, understanding the profound implications and challenges associated with the integration of embedded systems in medical devices becomes paramount for ensuring patient safety, fostering innovation, and shaping the future of healthcare delivery.

This review provides insights into the transformative potential of embedded systems and offers recommendations for optimizing their integration to drive positive healthcare outcomes in the USA.

2.0 Embedded System in Medical Devices

Embedded systems in medical devices have significantly transformed modern healthcare by enabling real-time monitoring of patient conditions, automated drug delivery, and precise control of medical devices (Zavitsanou et al., 2016). These systems integrate computing elements within medical equipment to perform specific functions such as monitoring, control, and data processing. The implementation of embedded systems in medical devices has the potential to optimize the healthcare industry by decreasing management costs and supporting real-time analysis of patients' conditions (Saba et al., 2022). However, the security of intelligent embedded devices is of strategic importance due to the increasing growth of attacks originated by security threats and vulnerability exploitation (Chang et al., 2018). Additionally, the development of e-health systems faces challenges such as connectivity issues, node failures, and rapid data delivery (Haseeb et al., 2021). These technical challenges need to be addressed to fully realize the potential impact of embedded systems in medical devices on healthcare delivery, patient safety, and treatment efficacy.

Embedded systems have become integral components in the realm of medical devices, reshaping the landscape of healthcare delivery. These systems, characterized by their compact size and specialized functionality, are seamlessly integrated into various medical devices to enhance their capabilities, efficiency, and precision. This section discusses the key aspects and implications of embedded systems in medical devices. At its core, an embedded system in a medical device is a dedicated computing platform designed to perform specific functions, often in real-time, with reliability and efficiency. These systems are embedded within medical devices ranging from simple wearable monitors to complex imaging equipment and life-saving implantable devices. Their purpose is to process and analyze data, control device functions, and facilitate communication between different components.

Wearable devices equipped with embedded systems play a crucial role in monitoring and collecting vital signs such as heart rate, blood pressure, and temperature. This real-time data allows healthcare professionals to track patient health continuously, enabling timely interventions and personalized treatment plans. Moreover, embedded systems in imaging devices contribute to advanced diagnostics by processing complex algorithms, enhancing the precision and speed of medical imaging procedures.

Implantable medical devices, such as pacemakers and drug delivery systems, utilize embedded systems to regulate and optimize their functions within the human body. These systems ensure the seamless integration of technology with biological systems, improving patient outcomes and quality of life.

Despite the transformative impact of embedded systems in medical devices, challenges exist. Cybersecurity concerns, including the potential for remote hacking and data breaches, underscore the need for robust protective measures. Additionally, standardizing interoperability among different embedded systems remains an ongoing challenge, necessitating efforts to establish uniform communication protocols.

Embedded systems in medical devices represent a paradigm shift in healthcare, offering unprecedented levels of precision, efficiency, and patient-specific care. As technology continues to advance, the integration of these systems is poised to play a pivotal role in shaping the future of medical diagnostics, treatment, and overall healthcare delivery.

Regulatory Landscape

The regulatory landscape for embedded systems in medical devices in the USA is governed by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations. The FDA regulates medical devices through the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), which is responsible for assessing the safety, efficacy, quality, and performance of medical devices (Morrison et al., 2018; Masterson & Cormican, 2013). The regulatory framework for medical devices in the USA has evolved over time, with the Medical Device Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act formalizing the FDA's authority to regulate medical devices in 1976 (Saviola, 2007). The FDA's regulatory science aims to develop new methods, standards, and approaches to assess medical device safety and efficacy, reflecting the evolving nature of regulatory standards (Morrison et al., 2018).

Currently, there is no specific regulatory pathway for AI/ML-based medical devices in the USA (Muehlematter et al., 2021). This lack of a clear regulatory pathway has implications for the approval and validation of AI/ML-based medical devices, potentially undermining their efficacy, quality, and safety (Hassan et al., 2021). Furthermore, the review of medical device recalls indicates that devices cleared for market using the less stringent 510(k) process or considered low risk were more likely to be recalled for life-threatening hazards, highlighting the need for robust regulations governing embedded systems in medical devices (Zuckerman et al., 2011).

The stringent regulatory environment in the USA is evident from the review of devices cleared for marketing as medical devices, positioning the USA as one of the most stringent markets for medical devices (He et al., 2017). This underscores the importance of adhering to specific regulations governing embedded systems to ensure compliance with FDA standards.

In conclusion, the regulatory landscape for embedded systems in medical devices in the USA is shaped by the FDA's regulations, which have evolved over time to address the complexities of modern medical devices. The absence of a specific regulatory pathway for AI/ML-based medical devices, coupled with the implications of less stringent clearance processes, emphasizes the need for robust and specific regulations governing embedded systems to ensure the safety and efficacy of medical devices.

Types of Embedded Systems in Medical Devices

Embedded systems are integral to various medical devices, enabling advanced functionalities for patient monitoring and treatment. Wearable devices, such as those for monitoring vital signs and personalized health tracking, rely on embedded sensor arrays for continuous and real-time analysis of physiological parameters (Gao et al., 2016). These wearable technologies have evolved to support a wide range of applications, from fitness tracking to complex medical interventions, reflecting the growing significance of embedded systems in healthcare (Iqbal et al., 2016). Additionally, implantable medical devices, including pacemakers and drug delivery systems, leverage embedded technologies to ensure precise and reliable operation within the human body (Delgado-Alvarado et al., 2022). The use of embedded systems in these devices enables vital sign monitoring, therapeutic functions, and precise drug delivery, contributing to improved patient outcomes and quality of care (Kim et al., 2020).

Furthermore, imaging equipment, such as MRI and CT scanners, incorporates embedded systems to enable advanced diagnostics and imaging modalities. These embedded systems facilitate the acquisition, processing, and visualization of medical imaging data, supporting

healthcare professionals in making accurate diagnoses and treatment decisions (Niu et al., 2011). The integration of embedded technologies in imaging equipment underscores their significance in enhancing medical imaging capabilities and improving patient care.

In summary, embedded systems play a pivotal role in various types of medical devices, including wearables, imaging equipment, and implantable devices. These systems enable continuous monitoring, precise control, and advanced functionalities, contributing to the advancement of personalized medicine and improved healthcare outcomes.

Case Studies and Real-World Examples

Embedded systems have had a significant impact on medical devices in the USA, leading to medical breakthroughs, improved patient outcomes, and valuable lessons learned. The success stories of embedded systems in medical breakthroughs are evident in the reduction of time and cost in developing medical devices, as exemplified by the NIH RADx Tech initiative (Gibson et al., 2021). This initiative underscores the impactful role of academic and NIH partnership in addressing public health needs at a rapid pace during a global pandemic. Furthermore, the integration of patient perspectives into device evaluation trials has been successful, emphasizing the importance of selecting appropriate patient-reported outcome (PRO) endpoints and alternative trial designs (Ohenhen et al., 2024; Leidy et al., 2006).

The impact of embedded systems on patient outcomes is substantial, with medical devices providing benefits beyond clinical outcomes, significantly impacting patients' physical, mental, and social well-being (Lesén et al., 2017). Additionally, real-time patient health monitoring and alarming systems, facilitated by wireless sensor networks and embedded systems, have contributed to improved patient outcomes, especially for those suffering from diseases during their normal life (Al-Aubidy et al., 2016; Orieno et al., 2024). Moreover, the use of non-medical devices for chronic breathlessness, integrated into complex social systems, has highlighted the interactions of patient-carer-clinician triads and their impact on implementation and integration (Prihartadi et al., 2021).

Notable lessons learned from embedded systems in medical devices include the importance of trust and security in intelligent embedded systems, particularly in ensuring privacy preservation and user trust (Yadav & Alharbi, 2021; Ezeigweneme et al., 2024). Additionally, the integration of e-health data transmission to medical experts for real-time treatments, supported by a digital mobile network, has demonstrated the significance of optimized embedded healthcare industry models with lightweight computing (Saba et al., 2022; Ogundairo et al., 2023). Furthermore, the potential negative consequences of non-consented switch of inhaled medications and devices in asthma patients underscore the criticality of considering factors such as design characteristics, medication, and ease of use in embedded medical devices (Björnsdóttir et al., 2013).

In conclusion, embedded systems have played a pivotal role in medical breakthroughs, improved patient outcomes, and valuable lessons learned in the USA. The success stories of embedded systems in medical breakthroughs are evident in the reduction of time and cost in developing medical devices, as exemplified by the NIH RADx Tech initiative. Patient outcomes have been positively impacted by the integration of patient perspectives into device evaluation trials and real-time patient health monitoring and alarming systems. Valuable lessons learned include the importance of trust and security in intelligent embedded systems and the potential

negative consequences of non-consented switch of inhaled medications and devices in asthma patients.

Technological Advancements

The impact of embedded systems in medical devices in the USA has been significant, with advancements in various areas. Firstly, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in embedded systems has been a key focus, as it stands as one of the keys for the next technological revolution (Prado et al., 2020). This integration has the potential to revolutionize medical devices, enabling AI-assisted diagnosis and treatment technology and product application (Mao & Zhang, 2021). Additionally, the convergence of edge computing and IoT devices has enabled real-time patient data collection, improving the efficiency of short- and long-term patient management (Joo et al., 2023; Okoro et al., 2024).

Furthermore, data analytics for real-time patient monitoring has been a crucial development. Edge computing has been instrumental in reducing latency, improving availability, and saving bandwidth, enabling the pairing of medical technology with deep learning for the best patient care (Rajendran et al., 2021; Ayo-Farai et al., 2023). Additionally, the use of big data and predictive analytics in healthcare has played a crucial role in the prevention and control of diseases such as COVID-19 (Tan et al., 2021).

Moreover, connectivity and interoperability among different devices have been a focus area. Medical device interoperability is increasingly prevalent and is seen as the future of medical technology (Taylor et al., 2014). The interconnection of medical devices is emerging as a new requirement in modern medicine, and various communication standards have been proposed to achieve this (Venkatasubramanian et al., 2014). The interoperability reference model proposed by is believed to provide further developments to the artificial intelligence medical devices industry (Kwon & Yoo, 2021).

In conclusion, the integration of AI in embedded systems, data analytics for real-time patient monitoring, and connectivity and interoperability among different devices have significantly advanced the impact of embedded systems in medical devices in the USA. These advancements have the potential to revolutionize healthcare, improve patient care, and contribute to the development of innovative medical technologies.

Challenges and Concerns

The integration of embedded systems in medical devices in the USA presents several challenges and concerns, particularly in the areas of cybersecurity risks and standardization of interoperability. Cybersecurity risks include the potential for remote hacking and data breaches, which pose threats to patient privacy (Kruse et al., 2017). As medical devices become more interconnected within healthcare IT systems, they are increasingly vulnerable to cyber threats, raising concerns about the security of patient data and the potential for remote manipulation of medical devices (Kramer & Fu, 2017). Furthermore, the standardization of interoperability is crucial for ensuring seamless communication between devices and addressing compatibility issues (Gowda et al., 2022). The heterogeneity of medical devices from different suppliers presents a key challenge in achieving interoperability, as data communication across devices is still under study and specification (Touahria & Khababa, 2021).

The FDA's responsibility for evaluating the safety and effectiveness of medical devices in the USA has been confirmed through the Medical Device Amendments Act (Kramer & Yeh, 2017). However, the increased connectivity of medical devices to existing computer networks has

exposed them to cybersecurity vulnerabilities, necessitating the need for enhanced protection and risk assessments to determine exploitability and impact on patient health (Williams & Woodward, 2015). Moreover, the establishment of standards for medical device interoperability is essential to enable medical information systems to engage across organizational, system, and regional boundaries (Nzyoka et al., 2020).

In conclusion, the impact of embedded systems in medical devices in the USA is accompanied by significant challenges and concerns related to cybersecurity risks and the standardization of interoperability. Addressing these issues is crucial to ensure the safety, security, and seamless operation of medical devices within healthcare systems.

Future Trends and Innovations

The future trends and innovations in reviewing the impact of embedded systems in medical devices in the USA encompass several key areas, including emerging technologies in embedded systems, the role of machine learning and predictive analytics, and the potential impact on the future of healthcare. The latest developments in low-power integrated circuits and the miniaturization of medical monitors have made embedded systems increasingly popular, leading to the realization of asymmetric interdigitated electrochemical micro-capacitors based on carbon nanotubes and manganese oxide (Dinh et al., 2015; Raskovic et al., 2004). Additionally, the implementation of wireless medical image transmission systems on mobile devices has been made possible through advancements in embedded system technologies and communication infrastructure (Lee et al., 2008).

Machine learning and predictive analytics play a crucial role in the future of embedded systems in medical devices. An optimized hyperparameter of the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) algorithm has been applied for bug severity prediction in Alzheimer's-based IoT systems, demonstrating the potential for machine learning to enhance healthcare applications (Yousaf et al., 2022). Furthermore, the use of an ensemble approach for healthcare data analytics, incorporating classifiers such as K-Nearest Neighbor, Support Vector Machine, Random Forest, Naïve Bayes, and Logistic Regression, showcases the growing significance of machine learning in healthcare data analysis (Javale & Desai, 2022).

The potential impact on the future of healthcare is substantial, with embedded systems revolutionizing medical treatment and patient care. Smart processing, which links various physical objects with embedded technology for communication and perception, has the potential to transform healthcare delivery and patient outcomes (Saba et al., 2022). Moreover, the application of Industry 4.0 technologies to the pharma, medical, and healthcare sectors is expected to address medical data integrity concerns and contribute to overcoming healthcare challenges, including those posed by the Covid-19 pandemic (Jezon, 2022).

In conclusion, the future of embedded systems in medical devices in the USA is characterized by emerging technologies, the integration of machine learning and predictive analytics, and the transformative impact on healthcare. These advancements hold the potential to enhance patient care, improve medical treatment, and address critical healthcare challenges.

RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION

The comprehensive review of the impact of embedded systems in medical devices within the USA reveals a transformative influence on patient care, diagnostics, and treatment modalities. Embedded systems have demonstrated their efficacy across various medical applications, from wearable devices to advanced imaging equipment and life-saving implantable devices. The

regulatory landscape has evolved to ensure a balance between innovation and patient safety, with the FDA playing a crucial role in setting and updating stringent standards. Success stories and case studies underscore the positive contributions of embedded systems in medical breakthroughs, while challenges such as cybersecurity risks and interoperability concerns also demand attention.

The implications of embedded systems in medical devices extend across the healthcare industry, impacting healthcare providers, device manufacturers, regulatory bodies, and patients. Healthcare professionals benefit from enhanced real-time data, enabling more informed decision-making and personalized patient care. Device manufacturers must navigate evolving regulatory standards and prioritize robust cybersecurity measures to maintain the integrity and safety of their products. Regulatory bodies, particularly the FDA, play a pivotal role in adapting to technological advancements while ensuring the safety and efficacy of embedded systems. Patients stand to gain from improved diagnostics, more effective treatments, and the potential for better overall healthcare outcomes.

Strengthening cybersecurity protocols is imperative to mitigate the risks associated with potential hacking and data breaches. Continuous updates and collaboration between industry stakeholders can ensure the development of robust protective measures. Establishing standardized communication protocols is essential for seamless interoperability among different embedded systems. Industry collaboration, guided by regulatory bodies, should prioritize the development and adoption of universal standards to enhance device compatibility. Continued investment in research and development is crucial to staying at the forefront of technological advancements. This includes exploring the integration of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and predictive analytics to further enhance the capabilities of embedded systems in medical devices. Foster collaborative efforts between industry stakeholders and regulatory bodies to facilitate a dynamic regulatory framework that accommodates innovation while upholding the highest standards of safety and efficacy.

In conclusion, the integration of embedded systems in medical devices has ushered in a new era of healthcare. Adhering to the outlined recommendations will not only address current challenges but also pave the way for a future where embedded systems contribute to even more profound advancements in patient care and medical technology within the United States.

References

Al-Aubidy, K., Derbas, A., & Al-Mutairi, A. (2016). Real-time patient health monitoring and alarming using wireless-sensor-network. https://doi.org/10.1109/ssd.2016.7473672

Ayo-Farai, O., Olaide, B.A., Maduka, C.P., & Okongwu, C.C. (2023). Engineering innovations in healthcare: a review of developments in the USA. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 4*(6), 381-400.

Björnsdóttir, U., Gizurarson, S., & Sabale, U. (2013). Potential negative consequences of non-consented switch of inhaled medications and devices in asthma patients. *International Journal of Clinical Practice, 67*(9), 904-910. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.12202

Chang, R., Jiang, L., Xie, Y., He, H., Chen, D., & Ren, L. (2018). Implementing a hardware-assisted memory management mechanism for arm platforms using the b method. *Concurrency and Computation Practice and Experience, 31*(21). https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.4659

Delgado-Alvarado, E., Martinez-Castillo, J., Zamora-Peredo, L., Gonzalez-Calderon, J., López-Esparza, R., Ashraf, M., … & Herrera-May, A. (2022). Triboelectric and piezoelectric nanogenerators for self-powered healthcare monitoring devices: operating principles, challenges, and perspectives. *Nanomaterials*, *12*(24), 4403. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12244403

Dinh, T., Mesnilgrente, F., Conédéra, V., Kyerematen, N., & Pech, D. (2015). Realization of an asymmetric interdigitated electrochemical micro-capacitor based on carbon nanotubes and manganese oxide. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, *162*(10), A2016-A2020. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0431510jes

Ezeigweneme, C.A., Umoh, A.A., Ilojianya, V.I. and Adegbite, A.O., 2024. Review of telecommunication regulation and policy: comparative analysis USA and Africa. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(1), 81-99.

Gao, W., Emaminejad, S., Nyein, H., Challa, S., Chen, K., Peck, A., … & Javey, A. (2016). Fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis. *Nature*, *529*(7587), 509-514. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16521

Gibson, L., Fahey, N., Hafer, N., Buchholz, B., Dunlap, D., Murphy, R., … & McManus, D. (2021). The radx tech clinical studies core: a model for academic based clinical studies. *IEEE Open Journal of Engineering in Medicine and Biology*, *2*, 152-157. https://doi.org/10.1109/ojemb.2021.3070830

Gowda, V., Schulzrinne, H., & Miller, B. (2022). The case for medical device interoperability. *Jama Health Forum*, *3*(1), e214313. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamahealthforum.2021.4313

Haseeb, K., Saba, T., Ahmed, I., & Lloret, J. (2021). Efficient data uncertainty management for health industrial internet of things using machine learning. *International Journal of Communication Systems*, *34*(16). https://doi.org/10.1002/dac.4948

Hassan, S., Dhali, M., Zaman, F., & Tanveer, M. (2021). Big data and predictive analytics in healthcare in Bangladesh: regulatory challenges. *Heliyon*, *7*(6), e07179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07179

He, Y., Eguren, D., Luu, T., & Contreras-Vidal, J. (2017). Risk management and regulations for lower limb medical exoskeletons: a review. *Medical Devices Evidence and Research*, *10*, 89-107. https://doi.org/10.2147/mder.s107134

Iqbal, M., Aydin, A., & Ahmed, K. (2016). A review of wearable technology in medicine. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, *109*(10), 372-380. https://doi.org/10.1177/0141076816663560

Javale, D., & Desai, S. (2022). Machine learning ensemble approach for healthcare data analytics. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, *28*(2), 926. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v28.i2.pp926-933

Jezon, O. (2022). How the utilization of integrated industry 4.0 technologies will radically address medical data integrity concerns in Singapore. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning*, *2*(1), 75. https://doi.org/10.51483/ijaiml.2.1.2022.75-117

Joo, M., Kang, M., Kang, D., & Kim, H. (2023). Real-time patient management monitoring system based on edge computing using IoT pulse oximeter.. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3123080/v1

Kim, D., Jeong, D., Kim, J., Kim, H., Kim, J., Park, S., … & Ahn, S. (2020). Design and implementation of a wireless charging-based cardiac monitoring system focused on temperature reduction and robust power transfer efficiency. *Energies, 13*(4), 1008. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13041008

Kramer, D., & Fu, K. (2017). Cybersecurity concerns and medical devices. *Jama, 318*(21), 2077. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.15692

Kruse, C., Frederick, B., Jacobson, T., & Monticone, D. (2017). Cybersecurity in healthcare: a systematic review of modern threats and trends. *Technology and Health Care, 25*(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3233/thc-161263

Kwon, O., & Yoo, S. (2021). Interoperability reference models for applications of artificial intelligence in medical imaging. *Applied Sciences, 11*(6), 2704. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11062704

Lee, S., Lee, T., Jin, G., & Hong, J. (2008). An implementation of wireless medical image transmission system on mobile devices. *Journal of Medical Systems, 32*(6), 471-480. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-008-9153-9

Leidy, N., Beusterien, K., Sullivan, E., Richner, R., & Muni, N. (2006). Integrating the patient's perspective into device evaluation trials. *Value in Health, 9*(6), 394-401. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4733.2006.00132.x

Lesén, E., Björholt, I., Ingelgård, A., & Olson, F. (2017). Exploration and preferential ranking of patient benefits of medical devices: a new and generic instrument for health economic assessments. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 33*(4), 463-471. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0266462317000848

Mao, Y., & Zhang, L. (2021). Optimization of the medical service consultation system based on the artificial intelligence of the internet of things. *IEEE Access, 9*, 98261-98274. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2021.3096188

Masterson, F., & Cormican, K. (2013). Overview of the regulation of medical devices and drugs in the European union and the United States. *Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, 47*(6), 715-722. https://doi.org/10.1177/2168479013500969

Morrison, T., Pathmanathan, P., Adwan, M., & Margerrison, E. (2018). Advancing regulatory science with computational modeling for medical devices at the FDA's office of science and engineering laboratories. *Frontiers in Medicine, 5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2018.00241

Muehlematter, U., Daniore, P., & Vokinger, K. (2021). Approval of artificial intelligence and machine learning-based medical devices in the USA and Europe (2015–20): a comparative analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health, 3*(3), e195-e203. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2589-7500(20)30292-2

Niu, H., Tian, H., Chang, C., Li, D., & Fan, Y. (2011). Development of a device for negative pressure wound therapy based on arm9 embedded system. https://doi.org/10.1109/icbbe.2011.5780307

Nzyoka, B., Mugo, D., & Ng'ang'a, S. (2020). Medical device integration with electronic health records: a case study of university of Nairobi health services, Kenya. *International Journal of Computers & Technology, 20*, 14-21. https://doi.org/10.24297/ijct.v20i.8480

Ogundairo, O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., Babarinde, A.O. and Sodamade, O.T., 2023. Review On MALDI mass spectrometry and its application in clinical research. *International Medical Science Research Journal, 3*(3), 108-126.

Ohenhen, P.E., Chidolue, O., Umoh, A.A., Ngozichukwu, B., Fafure, A.V., Ilojianya, V.I., & Ibekwe, K.I. (2024). Sustainable cooling solutions for electronics: A comprehensive review: Investigating the latest techniques and materials, their effectiveness in mechanical applications, and associated environmental benefits.

Okoro, Y.O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., & Sodamade, O.T. (2024). The Role of technology in enhancing mental health advocacy: a systematic review. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(1), 37-50.

Orieno, O.H., Ndubuisi, N.L., Ilojianya, V.I., Biu, P.W., & Odonkor, B. (2024). The future of autonomous vehicles in the US urban landscape: a review: analyzing implications for traffic, urban planning, and the environment. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(1), 43-64.

Prado, M., Su, J., Saeed, R., Keller, L., Vallez, N., Anderson, A., … & Pazos, N. (2020). Bonseyes ai pipeline—bringing ai to you. *ACM Transactions on Internet of Things, 1*(4), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1145/3403572

Prihartadi, A., Licastro, G., Pearson, M., Johnson, M., Luckett, T., & Swan, F. (2021). Non-medical devices for chronic breathlessness: use, barriers and facilitators for patients, carers and clinicians - a scoping review. *BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care, 13*(e2), e244-e253. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2021-002962

Rajendran, S., Mathivanan, S., Jayagopal, P., Janaki, K., Bernard, B., Suganya, P., … & Somanathan, M. (2021). Emphasizing privacy and security of edge intelligence with machine learning for healthcare. *International Journal of Intelligent Computing and Cybernetics, 15*(1), 92-109. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijicc-05-2021-0099

Raskovic, D., Martin, T., & Jovanov, E. (2004). Medical monitoring applications for wearable computing. *The Computer Journal, 47*(4), 495-504. https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/47.4.495

Saba, T., Haseeb, K., Bahaj, S., & Lloret, J. (2022). Optimized embedded healthcare industry model with lightweight computing using wireless body area network. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, 2022*, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4735272

Saviola, J. (2007). Contact lens safety and the FDA: 1976 to the present. *Eye & Contact Lens Science & Clinical Practice, 33*(6), 404-409. https://doi.org/10.1097/icl.0b013e318157e82a

Tan, L., Yu, K., Bashir, A., Cheng, X., Ming, F., Zhao, L., … & Zhou, X. (2021). Toward real-time and efficient cardiovascular monitoring for covid-19 patients by 5g-enabled wearable medical devices: a deep learning approach. *Neural Computing and Applications, 35*(19), 13921-13934. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-021-06219-9

Taylor, C., Venkatasubramanian, K., & Shue, C. (2014). Understanding the security of interoperable medical devices using attack graphs. https://doi.org/10.1145/2566468.2566482

Touahria, I., & Khababa, A. (2021). A component based framework to enable medical devices communication. *Ingénierie Des Systèmes D Information*, *26*(3), 295-302. https://doi.org/10.18280/isi.260306

Tsasis, P., Agrawal, N., & Guriel, N. (2019). An embedded systems perspective in conceptualizing Canada's healthcare sustainability. *Sustainability*, *11*(2), 531. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11020531

Venkatasubramanian, K., Vasserman, E., Sokolsky, O., & Lee, I. (2014). Functional alarms for systems of interoperable medical devices. https://doi.org/10.1109/hase.2014.45

Williams, P., & Woodward, A. (2015). Cybersecurity vulnerabilities in medical devices: a complex environment and multifaceted problem. *Medical Devices Evidence and Research*, *305*. https://doi.org/10.2147/mder.s50048

Yadav, K., & Alharbi, Y. (2021). Privacy preservation, trust, and security in intelligent embedded systems. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-959603/v1

Yousaf, I., Anwar, F., Imtiaz, S., Almadhor, A., Ishmanov, F., & Kim, S. (2022). An optimized hyperparameter of convolutional neural network algorithm for bug severity prediction in Alzheimer's-based IoT system. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7210928

Zavitsanou, S., Chakrabarty, A., Dassau, E., & Doyle, F. (2016). Embedded control in wearable medical devices: application to the artificial pancreas. *Processes*, *4*(4), 35. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr4040035

Zuckerman, D., Brown, P., & Nissen, S. (2011). Medical device recalls and the FDA approval process. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *171*(11). https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.30