



International Medical Science Research Journal
P-ISSN: 2707-3394, E-ISSN: 2707-3408
Volume 4, Issue 2, P.No.141-157, February 2024
DOI: 10.51594/imsrj.v4i2.766
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/imsrj



MENTAL HEALTH POLICIES: A COMPARATIVE REVIEW BETWEEN THE USA AND AFRICAN NATIONS

Ifeoma Pamela Odilibe¹, Opeoluwa Akomolafe², Jeremiah Olawumi Arowoogun³,
Evangel Chinyere Anyanwu⁴, Chinyere Onwumere⁵, & Jane Osareme Ogugua⁶

¹Independent Researcher, Houston, Texas, U.S.A

²Health Connect Services Walsall, UK

³Bharat Serums and Vaccines Limited, Lagos, Nigeria

⁴Independent Researcher, Nebraska, USA

⁵Abia State University Teaching Hospital, Aba, Nigeria

⁶Independent Researcher, Abuja, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Ifeoma Pamela Odilibe

Corresponding Author Email: ifeomaodilibe@gmail.com

Article Received: 01-01-24

Accepted: 27-01-24

Published: 08-02-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

This comparative review examines mental health policies in the United States and various African nations, shedding light on the divergent approaches taken to address the complex challenges posed by mental health disorders. The analysis underscores the contextual nuances that shape mental health policy formulation and implementation in these distinct regions. In the United States, a comprehensive mental health policy framework has evolved over the years, characterized by a combination of federal and state initiatives. The Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act, alongside the Affordable Care Act, has sought to integrate mental health services into mainstream healthcare, promoting accessibility and reducing stigma. Additionally, the National Institute of Mental Health plays a pivotal role in advancing research and evidence-based interventions. Contrastingly, African nations exhibit a wide spectrum of mental health policy landscapes, reflecting the diverse socioeconomic and cultural contexts across the

continent. Some countries have made significant strides in policy development, emphasizing community-based approaches and integration with primary healthcare systems. However, challenges persist, including limited resources, mental health workforce shortages, and stigma associated with mental health conditions. The review explores the role of cultural factors in shaping mental health policies, emphasizing the need for culturally sensitive approaches in African nations. It also highlights the disparities in resource allocation and mental health infrastructure between the USA and African countries, contributing to variations in service accessibility and quality of care. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the importance of ongoing efforts to destigmatize mental health issues, improve public awareness, and strengthen community support systems. The review concludes by calling for increased international collaboration, knowledge exchange, and resource mobilization to address the mental health disparities between the USA and African nations, fostering a global commitment to holistic mental healthcare.

Keywords: Health, Policies, Mental, Care, Stigmatize.

INTRODUCTION

Mental health policies play a pivotal role in shaping the well-being of individuals and communities, influencing the accessibility, quality, and inclusivity of mental health services (Arakelyan and Ager, 2021). Recognizing the critical significance of mental health in overall public health, this comparative review delves into the intricate frameworks governing mental health policies, drawing a parallel between the United States and various African nations (Sheather *et al.*, 2023). The exploration of these distinct regions aims to unravel the contextual intricacies that mold mental health policy formulation and implementation, shedding light on disparities, challenges, and opportunities for improvement.

Mental health, long marginalized and stigmatized, has emerged as a central component of global health agendas (Patterson *et al.*, 2020). The recognition of mental health as an integral facet of overall well-being has prompted nations to develop comprehensive policies that address the multifaceted challenges associated with mental health disorders (Kalariya *et al.*, 2023). These policies encompass a spectrum of interventions, from destigmatizing mental health conditions to integrating mental health services into mainstream healthcare frameworks (Montesanti *et al.*, 2022). The growing acknowledgment of the interconnectedness between mental and physical health underscores the urgency of robust mental health policies to foster resilient, equitable, and accessible mental healthcare systems (Pearson *et al.*, 2023).

The rationale for comparing mental health policies between the United States and African nations stems from the diversity of their respective sociocultural, economic, and healthcare landscapes. The United States, characterized by a developed healthcare infrastructure, faces its unique challenges in mental health policy implementation (Hoagwood *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand, African nations exhibit a wide range of healthcare systems, each grappling with distinct sociocultural factors that shape mental health policies (Bemme and Kirmayer, 2020). This comparative approach aims to unravel the variations and commonalities in policy responses, allowing for a nuanced understanding of the successes and challenges encountered in disparate contexts.

The primary objectives of this comparative review are twofold. Firstly, it seeks to provide an in-depth analysis of mental health policies in the United States, unraveling the historical

development, key legislative frameworks, and the impact of federal and state-level initiatives. Secondly, the review endeavors to offer a comprehensive examination of mental health policies across diverse African nations, taking into account the variability in policy landscapes, challenges faced, and innovative approaches adopted. By achieving these objectives, the review aims to contribute to a broader understanding of the global mental health landscape, fostering informed discussions and guiding future policy directions for improved mental health outcomes.

Mental Health Policies in the United States

The evolution of mental health policies in the United States reflects a complex journey marked by shifts in societal attitudes, legislative initiatives, and advancements in healthcare practices (Puras, 2022). Historically, mental health was often marginalized, with individuals experiencing mental illnesses subjected to institutionalization and social stigma. It was not until the mid-20th century that a paradigm shift occurred, leading to the development of policies that aimed to address mental health more comprehensively.

The mid-20th century witnessed the deinstitutionalization movement, driven by concerns about the inhumane conditions in psychiatric institutions (Series, 2022). This movement advocated for the transition from institutional care to community-based mental health services. The Community Mental Health Centers Act of 1963 was a landmark piece of legislation that supported the establishment of community mental health centers, fostering a more decentralized and humane approach to mental health care (Bell *et al.*, 2020). The latter part of the 20th century saw increased advocacy for mental health parity, emphasizing the need to treat mental health on par with physical health. This advocacy laid the groundwork for future policies that aimed to eliminate discriminatory practices and ensure equitable access to mental health services.

Enacted in 2008, the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act marked a significant milestone in mental health policy. MHPAEA aimed to eliminate disparities in insurance coverage between mental health and substance use disorder services and medical-surgical services (Anim *et al.*, 2022). The act mandated that insurance plans offering mental health and substance use disorder benefits must do so on terms comparable to medical-surgical benefits. This legislation represented a crucial step in dismantling barriers to mental health care and reducing stigma by recognizing mental health as an integral component of overall healthcare.

The Affordable Care Act, signed into law in 2010, had profound implications for mental health policy in the United States (Campbell and Shore-Sheppard, 2020). ACA expanded Medicaid, increasing access to mental health services for low-income individuals. It also included essential health benefits, mandating coverage for mental health and substance use disorder services in individual and small group health plans. ACA's emphasis on preventive services and integration of mental health into overall healthcare signaled a transformative approach, acknowledging the interconnectedness of mental and physical well-being (Meisnere *et al.*, 2023).

The National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) plays a central role in advancing mental health research, treatment, and policy development in the United States. Established in 1946, NIMH is part of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and has been instrumental in shaping the nation's mental health agenda (Sirey *et al.*, 2023). NIMH conducts groundbreaking research to enhance the understanding of mental illnesses, their causes, and effective treatments. The institute supports a diverse range of research initiatives, from basic neuroscience studies to

clinical trials exploring novel interventions. NIMH's research informs evidence-based practices, contributing to the development of effective mental health policies.

NIMH serves as a bridge between scientific research and policy development. The institute's findings influence the formulation of mental health policies by providing policymakers with the latest evidence on mental health interventions, prevention strategies, and the impact of mental illnesses on society. NIMH's role in translating research into policy ensures that mental health policies are informed by the most current and robust scientific knowledge (Pringle *et al.*, 2021). The integration of mental health services into mainstream healthcare has been a focal point of recent mental health policies in the United States. Recognizing that mental health is inseparable from overall well-being, efforts have been made to break down silos between mental and physical healthcare and ensure that mental health services are integrated into the broader healthcare system. Collaborative care models represent a paradigm shift towards integrating mental health services into primary care settings (Meneer *et al.*, 2020). In these models, mental health professionals work collaboratively with primary care providers to deliver comprehensive and coordinated care. This approach aims to identify and address mental health issues early, reducing the burden on specialized mental health facilities and ensuring that individuals receive holistic care.

The integration of telehealth and technology has played a pivotal role in improving access to mental health services. Telehealth platforms allow individuals to receive mental health support remotely, overcoming geographical barriers and increasing the reach of services. Technology integration also includes the use of mobile applications for mental health monitoring, virtual therapy sessions, and online resources to enhance mental health awareness (Connolly *et al.*, 2021). Mental health policies increasingly emphasize preventive measures to address mental health issues before they escalate. Integrating mental health education into schools, workplaces, and community settings promotes early intervention and destigmatization. Preventive measures contribute to a shift in societal attitudes towards mental health, fostering an environment that supports mental well-being from a young age (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2021).

In conclusion, mental health policies in the United States have undergone significant evolution, transitioning from a history of institutionalization to a contemporary approach that emphasizes parity, integration, and prevention. The Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act and the Affordable Care Act have been pivotal in reshaping the landscape of mental health care, breaking down barriers to access and promoting a more holistic understanding of health (Duncan *et al.*, 2023). The National Institute of Mental Health continues to be at the forefront of research and policy translation, ensuring that mental health policies are evidence-based and responsive to the evolving needs of individuals and communities across the nation. The integration of mental health services into mainstream healthcare represents a transformative shift, acknowledging that mental health is an integral aspect of overall well-being (McGorry *et al.*, 2022).

Mental Health Policies in African Nations

The mental health policy landscapes across African nations are marked by a rich tapestry of diversity shaped by unique cultural, socioeconomic, and healthcare contexts (Osío, 2023). The variations in policy approaches are influenced by factors such as historical legacies, cultural beliefs, governance structures, and the availability of resources. While there is a growing recognition of the importance of mental health across the continent, the diversity in mental

health policies reflects the multifaceted nature of addressing mental health challenges in such a heterogeneous region.

The cultural diversity within Africa contributes to the heterogeneity of mental health policies. Cultural beliefs, traditional healing practices, and societal attitudes toward mental health play a significant role in shaping policies. Some countries integrate traditional healing methods into mental health frameworks, recognizing the importance of cultural competence in delivering effective care (Im *et al.*, 2021). Others may adopt a more biomedical approach, aligning policies with Western models of mental health care. The governance structures of individual African nations also influence mental health policies. Federal and decentralized governance models impact the distribution of resources, the formulation of policies, and the implementation of mental health programs. Countries with robust governance structures may have more coordinated and effective mental health policies, while those facing governance challenges may encounter difficulties in policy implementation (Paniagua and Rayamajhee, 2022).

Economic variations among African nations contribute to disparities in mental health policies. Wealthier countries may have more resources to allocate to mental health programs, leading to the development of comprehensive policies and well-equipped mental health facilities. Conversely, countries with limited economic resources may struggle to establish and implement effective mental health policies, leading to disparities in service availability and accessibility. Recognizing the importance of community engagement and the interconnectedness of mental and physical health, several African nations are adopting community-based approaches and integrating mental health services into primary healthcare systems (Corbin *et al.*, 2021). These strategies aim to enhance accessibility, reduce stigma, and ensure that mental health is integrated into broader healthcare agendas.

Many African nations leverage community-based approaches to address mental health challenges. These approaches involve collaboration with local communities, traditional healers, religious leaders, and community health workers. By incorporating culturally sensitive interventions and utilizing existing community structures, mental health policies strive to bridge gaps in awareness, reduce stigma, and provide support within the cultural context (Codjoe *et al.*, 2021).

Integrating mental health services into primary healthcare is a key strategy to enhance accessibility. By embedding mental health care within general health services, individuals are more likely to seek help early, reducing the burden on specialized mental health facilities (Puffer and Ayuku, 2022). This integration fosters a holistic approach to healthcare, acknowledging the interplay between mental and physical well-being. Collaborative care models, where mental health professionals work alongside primary care providers, have gained traction. This approach ensures that mental health is not treated in isolation but is an integral part of overall health management. Integration with primary healthcare also addresses the shortage of specialized mental health facilities, especially in rural areas.

One of the primary challenges faced by many African nations in mental health policy development is the constraint of limited resources (Troup *et al.*, 2021). Insufficient funding and budgetary allocations for mental health programs hinder the establishment of robust infrastructure, the procurement of essential medications, and the implementation of community-based initiatives. The scarcity of financial resources poses a barrier to the comprehensive expansion of mental health services, leading to disparities in service availability (Coombs *et al.*,

2021). African nations often grapple with shortages in the mental health workforce. The scarcity of trained professionals, including psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses, impedes the effective implementation of mental health policies. Addressing workforce shortages requires strategic investments in education, training, and retention programs to build a sustainable mental health workforce that can cater to the diverse needs of the population (Alegría *et al.*, 2021).





Stigma surrounding mental health conditions remains a pervasive challenge in many African societies. Deep-rooted cultural beliefs, misconceptions, and traditional attitudes contribute to the stigmatization of individuals with mental illnesses. The fear of social exclusion and discrimination hampers efforts to destigmatize mental health and discourages individuals from seeking help. Mental health policies need to incorporate targeted awareness campaigns, education, and community engagement to challenge and change societal attitudes toward mental health (Walsh and Foster, 2021). Stigma also affects policy development, as policymakers may be hesitant to prioritize mental health due to prevailing negative attitudes. Overcoming stigma requires a multi-faceted approach involving not only policy changes but also societal transformations through education and community involvement.

In conclusion, the diversity of mental health policies in African nations reflects the complex interplay of cultural, economic, and governance factors. While community-based approaches and integration with primary healthcare demonstrate promising strides, challenges such as limited resources, workforce shortages, and stigma persist (Nadkarni *et al.*, 2023). Addressing these challenges requires sustained efforts, collaborative partnerships, and a commitment to mental health as an integral component of overall well-being. As African nations navigate the complexities of mental health policy development, the recognition of cultural nuances and the promotion of inclusive, accessible, and culturally competent mental health care remain paramount.

Cultural Factors Shaping Mental Health Policies

Mental health policies are not only influenced by clinical and scientific considerations but are also deeply rooted in cultural contexts that shape societal perceptions, attitudes, and responses to mental health challenges (Kirkbride *et al.*, 2024). This comparative review explores the influence of cultural factors on mental health policy formulation in the United States and various African nations. It delves into the importance of culturally sensitive approaches, highlighting efforts to address cultural stigma in both regions.

In the United States, mental health policies are shaped by a dynamic cultural landscape marked by diversity in beliefs, values, and practices (Kyerem and Fukui, 2023). Cultural attitudes towards mental health have undergone significant shifts over time, evolving from a historical backdrop of stigmatization and institutionalization to a contemporary emphasis on destigmatization and community-based care as explain in figure 1.

		Levels of Influence*			
		Individual	Interpersonal	Community	Societal
Domains of Influence (Over the Lifecourse)	Biological	Biological Vulnerability and Mechanisms	Caregiver–Child Interaction Family Microbiome	Community Illness Exposure Herd Immunity	Sanitation Immunization Pathogen Exposure
	Behavioral	Health Behaviors Coping Strategies	Family Functioning School/Work Functioning	Community Functioning	Policies and Laws
	Physical/Built Environment	Personal Environment	Household Environment School/Work Environment	Community Environment Community Resources	Societal Structure
	Sociocultural Environment	Sociodemographics Limited English Cultural Identity Response to Discrimination	Social Networks Family/Peer Norms Interpersonal Discrimination	Community Norms Local Structural Discrimination	Social Norms Societal Structural Discrimination
	Health Care System	Insurance Coverage Health Literacy Treatment Preferences	Patient–Clinician Relationship Medical Decision-Making	Availability of Services Safety Net Services	Quality of Care Health Care Policies
Health Outcomes		 Individual Health	 Family/ Organizational Health	 Community Health	 Population Health

National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities, 2018
*Health Disparity Populations: Race/Ethnicity, Low SES, Rural, Sexual/Gender Minority
Other Fundamental Characteristics: Sex/Gender, Disability, Geographic Region

Figure 1: This framework can be used or adapted to address rural mental health disparities (Morales *et al.*, 2020)

The influence of cultural contexts is evident in policy initiatives that seek to ensure equitable access to mental health services for diverse populations.

Policies in the U.S. are designed to respect individual autonomy and accommodate a range of cultural perspectives. For instance, the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act and the Affordable Care Act emphasize the integration of mental health services into mainstream healthcare, recognizing that mental health is inseparable from overall well-being (Agarwal *et al.*, 2022). This integration reflects an acknowledgment of the interconnectedness of mental and physical health, aligning with cultural values that prioritize holistic approaches to healthcare. The cultural diversity within African nations contributes to a nuanced approach to mental health policy formulation. Mental health policies in African countries are often influenced by traditional healing practices, communal support structures, and cultural norms that shape how mental health is perceived within different communities. Recognizing this diversity, some African nations adopt community-based approaches that leverage cultural resources and involve traditional healers in mental health interventions (Okunade *et al.*, 2023).

Cultural contexts also play a role in the integration of mental health into primary healthcare systems. In many African societies, community-based care aligns with cultural values of communal support and interconnectedness. Mental health policies that consider these cultural nuances are more likely to be accepted and embraced by the communities they aim to serve. Culturally sensitive approaches in African nations involve respecting and incorporating traditional healing practices. Traditional healers, often deeply embedded in the fabric of local cultures, can play a vital role in mental health care (Bemme and Kirmayer, 2020). Policies that recognize and collaborate with traditional healers foster a more inclusive and culturally competent mental health system.

Effective mental health policies in African nations engage local communities and tailor interventions to be culturally relevant. Culturally sensitive policies recognize the importance of community structures, religious beliefs, and social norms in shaping mental health perceptions. Involving community leaders, religious figures, and local influencers in mental health initiatives enhances the acceptability and effectiveness of these programs.

Cultural sensitivity extends to language use and communication strategies. Mental health policies that employ culturally appropriate language, considering linguistic diversity within African nations, facilitate better communication and understanding (Kamau *et al.*, 2022). Clear communication helps in destigmatizing mental health issues and encourages individuals to seek help without fear of cultural insensitivity.

Despite progress, cultural stigma surrounding mental health persists in the United States. Cultural factors, including cultural norms of self-reliance and individualism, can contribute to reluctance in seeking mental health support. Mental health policies in the U.S. strive to address stigma through public awareness campaigns, anti-discrimination efforts, and initiatives that encourage open conversations about mental health. Cultural sensitivity is embedded in programs that recognize the unique experiences of diverse populations, including ethnic and minority groups (Remaker *et al.*, 2021). Tailored interventions for specific cultural communities' help dismantle cultural barriers to mental health care, fostering a more inclusive and accessible mental health system.

Cultural stigma around mental health is a significant challenge in many African nations, where traditional beliefs and misconceptions may contribute to negative attitudes (Mascayano *et al.*, 2020). Policies aimed at addressing cultural stigma involve educational campaigns that challenge stereotypes, dispel myths, and promote understanding of mental health conditions. In some instances, cultural influencers, such as religious leaders, are involved in destigmatization efforts to leverage their influence within communities. Moreover, mental health policies in African nations prioritize the training of healthcare professionals to deliver culturally competent care (Essien and Asamoah, 2020). This involves understanding the cultural contexts of individuals seeking help and adapting interventions accordingly. The goal is to create an environment where individuals feel comfortable seeking mental health support without fear of judgment or discrimination.

In conclusion, the influence of cultural factors on mental health policies is a critical aspect of shaping responsive and effective mental healthcare systems. Both the United States and African nations grapple with the challenge of cultural stigma, but efforts to adopt culturally sensitive approaches are evident. Recognizing the importance of cultural contexts, tailoring interventions, and engaging communities are integral to building mental health policies that resonate with the diverse beliefs and values of the populations they serve (Sim *et al.*, 2023). The ongoing journey towards destigmatization and accessibility in mental health care requires continual collaboration, cultural humility, and a commitment to understanding the unique perspectives of individuals and communities.

Disparities in Resources and Infrastructure

Mental health policies are intrinsically linked to the availability of resources and the robustness of healthcare infrastructure within a given region (Delmelle *et al.*, 2022). This comparative review delves into the disparities in resources and infrastructure between the United States and African nations, examining how variations in resource allocation impact mental health policies and subsequently influence the accessibility and quality of mental health services. The United States, with its advanced healthcare system, has made significant strides in resource allocation for mental health (Alowais *et al.*, 2023). However, despite progress, challenges persist, reflecting a complex interplay of federal and state-level funding, insurance coverage, and societal attitudes.

The federal government in the United States allocates funding for mental health through various initiatives. The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), operating under the Department of Health and Human Services, plays a pivotal role in distributing grants to states, communities, and organizations (Alegría *et al.*, 2021). Federal funding supports a range of mental health programs, from prevention and early intervention to treatment and recovery services. While federal funding provides a framework, mental health resource allocation also varies at the state level. States maintain considerable autonomy in determining mental health budgets, resulting in disparities in funding across different regions. States with higher financial capacities may allocate more resources to mental health services, leading to discrepancies in the availability of programs and support.

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) has played a transformative role in expanding mental health coverage in the United States (Mazurek *et al.*, 2022). The inclusion of mental health and substance use disorder services as essential health benefits ensures that insurance plans must cover these services. However, variations in insurance coverage persist, impacting the accessibility of mental health services for individuals with different insurance plans or those without coverage.

The disparities in mental health infrastructure between the United States and African nations are multifaceted, encompassing factors such as facilities, workforce, and technology. In the United States, mental health infrastructure includes a network of psychiatric hospitals, community mental health centers, and outpatient facilities. While urban areas often boast well-equipped facilities, rural regions may face challenges in terms of accessibility. The existence of a comprehensive network of mental health treatment centers reflects the higher level of infrastructure development in the USA (Grum and Kobal Grum, 2020). In contrast, many African nations encounter limitations in mental health infrastructure. The availability of psychiatric hospitals and treatment centers varies widely, with some regions lacking sufficient facilities. Rural areas, in particular, face significant gaps in mental health infrastructure, making it challenging for individuals in these areas to access specialized care.

Workforce shortages are a common challenge in mental health infrastructure globally, including both the USA and African nations. The United States, while having a more extensive mental health workforce compared to many African countries, still experiences shortages, particularly in certain geographical areas or specific specialties (Mongelli *et al.*, 2020). African nations often grapple with severe shortages in mental health professionals, including psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses. The limited availability of trained professionals hinders the establishment of a robust mental health workforce and contributes to disparities in service delivery (Essien and Asamoah, 2020). The integration of technology, including telehealth solutions, is more pronounced in the mental health infrastructure of the United States. Telehealth services provide opportunities for remote consultations, increasing accessibility, and addressing geographical barriers. The availability of technology in mental health services contributes to the overall efficiency of the system in the USA.

In contrast, some African nations face challenges in adopting widespread telehealth solutions due to technological infrastructure limitations. Unequal access to technology, limited internet connectivity, and disparities in digital literacy contribute to variations in the utilization of telehealth in mental health services (Song *et al.*, 2021).

The relatively higher resource allocation and well-established mental health infrastructure in the USA contribute to improved accessibility compared to many African nations. Urban areas typically have a more comprehensive range of mental health services, including specialized care and community-based support (Stroul *et al.*, 2021). However, rural areas may experience challenges due to workforce shortages and limited facilities, impacting the accessibility of mental health services.

Insurance coverage also influences accessibility, with individuals covered by comprehensive plans having better access to a broader spectrum of mental health services. Despite advancements, disparities persist, affecting marginalized populations and those with limited financial means.

Limited resources and infrastructure challenges in many African nations hinder the accessibility of mental health services. Rural communities often face significant barriers due to the scarcity of mental health facilities and a shortage of trained professionals. Geographic distance, coupled with limited transportation options, exacerbates disparities, making it difficult for individuals in remote areas to access timely and quality mental health care.

Additionally, cultural factors may influence help-seeking behaviors, further impacting accessibility. Stigma, misconceptions, and traditional beliefs can deter individuals from seeking mental health support, irrespective of the available infrastructure (Koschorke *et al.*, 2021). The quality of mental health services is influenced by resource allocation, workforce capacity, and the overall infrastructure. In the USA, the availability of well-equipped facilities, a qualified workforce, and technological advancements contribute to a higher standard of mental health care (Dopheide *et al.*, 2022). Evidence-based practices, research initiatives, and continuous professional development programs contribute to the quality of services.

In African nations, challenges in resource allocation and infrastructure impact the quality of mental health services. Limited access to specialized care, workforce shortages, and gaps in training programs may contribute to variations in the quality of mental health care. Efforts to enhance the quality of services often involve training programs, knowledge exchange initiatives, and collaborations with international partners (Kasproicz *et al.*, 2020).

In conclusion, the disparities in resources and infrastructure between the USA and African nations significantly impact the accessibility and quality of mental health services. While the USA benefits from higher resource allocation, a more extensive mental health infrastructure, and advanced technology, many African nations face challenges related to limited resources, workforce shortages, and gaps in technological infrastructure. Recognizing these disparities is crucial for the development of targeted interventions, capacity-building efforts, and collaborative initiatives aimed at bridging the gap and ensuring equitable access to mental health services globally (Pringle *et al.*, 2021).

Challenges and Opportunities

Both the United States and African nations grapple with the pervasive challenge of stigma surrounding mental health. Cultural norms, misconceptions, and historical attitudes contribute to the reluctance of individuals to seek mental health support. Overcoming deeply ingrained stigmas remains a shared challenge that requires targeted efforts to raise awareness and foster cultural acceptance of mental health conditions (Sweeting and Haupt, 2024).

Limited resources pose a significant hurdle to effective mental health policy implementation in both the USA and African nations. Insufficient funding, workforce shortages, and inadequate

infrastructure impede the development of comprehensive mental health systems. Addressing resource constraints is crucial for ensuring equitable access to quality mental health care and expanding services to underserved populations.

Shortages in the mental health workforce persist as a common challenge. The demand for mental health professionals often exceeds the available supply, resulting in gaps in service delivery. Adequate training programs, recruitment strategies, and retention initiatives are essential to build a robust mental health workforce capable of meeting the diverse needs of populations in both regions (Terregino *et al.*, 2021).

Both the USA and African nations have opportunities to enhance mental health policy implementation by integrating mental health services into primary care settings. This approach promotes early intervention, reduces stigma, and ensures that mental health is treated with the same importance as physical health. Collaborative care models that involve primary care providers in mental health management can lead to more comprehensive and accessible services.

Leveraging community resources and adopting community-based approaches offer promising opportunities. In both contexts, involving communities in mental health initiatives enhances engagement, promotes cultural sensitivity, and contributes to destigmatization. Community-based programs can bridge gaps in access, particularly in underserved areas, and foster a sense of collective responsibility for mental health (Shalev *et al.*, 2020).

The evolving landscape of technology presents opportunities for innovative mental health solutions. Telehealth, online counseling, and mobile applications can extend the reach of mental health services, providing accessible and cost-effective options. Utilizing technology can address geographical barriers, especially in remote areas, and enhance the overall efficiency of mental health care delivery.

International collaboration plays a crucial role in addressing mental health disparities by facilitating knowledge exchange and sharing best practices. Collaborative platforms enable nations to learn from each other's successes and challenges, promoting a more informed and evidence-based approach to mental health policy development and implementation.

Collaborative initiatives can focus on capacity building and training programs for mental health professionals. By sharing expertise, resources, and training opportunities, nations can collectively strengthen their mental health workforce. International partnerships can contribute to the development of culturally competent professionals who are better equipped to address the diverse needs of populations (Brottman *et al.*, 2020).

Global collaboration enhances resource mobilization for mental health. International organizations, governments, and advocacy groups can work together to mobilize funding, influence policy agendas, and advocate for the prioritization of mental health on a global scale. Joint efforts amplify the impact of advocacy initiatives, driving increased attention and resources to mental health disparities.

In conclusion, the comparative review of mental health policies in the USA and African nations reveals common challenges and shared opportunities. Addressing stigma, overcoming resource constraints, and building a resilient workforce are challenges that demand concerted efforts. Opportunities for improvement lie in the integration of mental health into primary care, community-based approaches, and the utilization of technology. International collaboration serves as a cornerstone for addressing mental health disparities, fostering a global commitment

to destigmatization, accessibility, and the creation of comprehensive mental health systems that meet the diverse needs of populations worldwide (Okoro *et al.*, 2024).

Conclusion

This comparative review has illuminated the diverse landscapes of mental health policies in the United States and various African nations, showcasing the intricate interplay between cultural factors, policy formulation, and the delivery of mental healthcare. From the historical evolution of mental health policies to the contemporary emphasis on community-based approaches, the review has underscored the unique challenges and innovative strategies adopted by both regions. Cultural contexts have shaped the recognition of mental health as an integral component of overall well-being, influencing policy initiatives that strive for inclusivity, accessibility, and cultural competence.

In the United States, key legislative acts such as the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act and the Affordable Care Act have contributed to dismantling barriers to mental health care, emphasizing the integration of mental health services into mainstream healthcare. Meanwhile, African nations have demonstrated a commitment to community-based approaches, incorporating traditional healing practices, and tailoring interventions to cultural nuances.

A central theme emerging from the comparative review is the persistent challenge of cultural stigma surrounding mental health, both in the United States and African nations. Despite advancements in policy frameworks, cultural factors continue to contribute to reluctance in seeking mental health support. Recognizing the intersection of cultural beliefs with mental health perceptions, it is imperative to emphasize the need for ongoing efforts to destigmatize mental health.

Destigmatization efforts should not be confined to policy formulation alone but should permeate through educational initiatives, public awareness campaigns, and community engagement programs. Creating a culture of open dialogue and understanding around mental health is essential to foster an environment where individuals feel empowered to seek help without fear of judgment or discrimination.

The complexity of mental health challenges demands a united and collaborative effort on a global scale. Recognizing that mental health knows no borders, there is a critical need for increased international collaboration and resource mobilization. Mental health policies should transcend geopolitical boundaries and leverage shared knowledge, experiences, and best practices.

This call to action involves establishing platforms for cross-cultural exchange, where nations can learn from each other's successes and challenges. Collaborative research initiatives, training programs, and cultural exchange opportunities for mental health professionals can contribute to a more holistic and culturally sensitive approach to mental healthcare.

Resource mobilization is equally pivotal. Efforts should be directed towards securing funding for mental health programs, training initiatives, and awareness campaigns. International organizations, governments, and philanthropic entities must collaborate to ensure that mental health receives the attention and resources commensurate with its impact on global well-being. In conclusion, the comparative review between the USA and African nations highlights the ongoing evolution of mental health policies in response to cultural dynamics. The journey towards mental health equity requires a commitment to destigmatization, cultural competence, and a collaborative approach that transcends borders. By recognizing the unique contributions

of diverse cultures and fostering a shared vision of mental health as a global priority, we can pave the way for a future where mental healthcare is accessible, inclusive, and destigmatized for individuals and communities around the world.

Reference

- Agarwal, V., Sharma, A., Panicker, A., Shifa, S., & Rammurthy, R. (2022). Human rights in mental health with specific focus on international standards and clinical practices. *International Journal of Human Rights in Healthcare*, 15(1), 86-101.
- Alegria, M., Frank, R.G., Hansen, H.B., Sharfstein, J.M., Shim, R.S., & Tierney, M. (2021). Transforming mental health and addiction services: commentary describes steps to improve outcomes for people with mental illness and addiction in the United States. *Health Affairs*, 40(2), 226-234.
- Alowais, S.A., Alghamdi, S.S., Alsuhebany, N., Alqahtani, T., Alshaya, A.I., Almohareb, S.N., Aldairem, A., Alrashed, M., Bin Saleh, K., Badreldin, H.A., & Al Yami, M.S. (2023). Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(1), 689.
- Anim, T.E., Rust, G., Strong, C., & Brown Speights, J.S. (2022). Population-based health care. In *Family Medicine: Principles and Practice* (pp. 53-64). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Arakelyan, S., & Ager, A. (2021). Annual research review: a multilevel bioecological analysis of factors influencing the mental health and psychosocial well-being of refugee children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 62(5), 484-509.
- Bell, M.C., Beckett, K., & Stuart, F. (2020). Investing in alternatives: Three logics of criminal system replacement. *UC Irvine Law Review*, 11, 129.
- Bemme, D., & Kirmayer, L.J. (2020). Global mental health: interdisciplinary challenges for a field in motion. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 57(1), 3-18.
- Brottman, M.R., Char, D.M., Hattori, R.A., Heeb, R., & Taff, S.D. (2020). Toward cultural competency in health care: a scoping review of the diversity and inclusion education literature. *Academic Medicine*, 95(5), 803-813.
- Campbell, A.L., & Shore-Sheppard, L. (2020). The social, political, and economic effects of the Affordable Care Act: introduction to the issue. *RSF: The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences*, 6(2), 1-40.
- Codjoe, L., Barber, S., Ahuja, S., Thornicroft, G., Henderson, C., Lempp, H., & N'Danga-Koroma, J. (2021). Evidence for interventions to promote mental health and reduce stigma in Black faith communities: systematic review. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 56, 895-911.
- Connolly, S.L., Kuhn, E., Possemato, K., & Torous, J. (2021). Digital clinics and mobile technology implementation for mental health care. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 23(7), 38.
- Coombs, N.C., Meriwether, W.E., Caringi, J., & Newcomer, S.R. (2021). Barriers to healthcare access among US adults with mental health challenges: A population-based study. *SSM-Population Health*, 15, 100847.
- Corbin, J.H., Oyene, U.E., Manoncourt, E., Onya, H., Kwamboka, M., Amuyunzu-Nyamongo, M., Sørensen, K., Mweemba, O., Barry, M.M., Munodawafa, D., & Bayugo, Y.V.

- (2021). A health promotion approach to emergency management: effective community engagement strategies from five cases. *Health Promotion International*, 36(Supplement_1), i24-i38.
- Delmelle, E.M., Desjardins, M.R., Jung, P., Owusu, C., Lan, Y., Hohl, A., & Dony, C. (2022). Uncertainty in geospatial health: challenges and opportunities ahead. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 65, 15-30.
- Dopheide, J.A., Werremeyer, A., Haight, R.J., Gutierrez, C.A., & Williams, A.M. (2022). Positioning psychiatric pharmacists to improve mental health care. *Mental Health Clinician*, 12(2), 77-85.
- Duncan, D.F., Ellis-Griffith, G., Nicholson, T., & Nimkar, S. (2023). Health care administration and drug policy. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 38(3), 735-746.
- Essien, B., & Asamoah, M.K. (2020). Reviewing the common barriers to the mental healthcare delivery in Africa. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 59, 2531-2555.
- Fusar-Poli, P., Correll, C.U., Arango, C., Berk, M., Patel, V., & Ioannidis, J.P. (2021). Preventive psychiatry: a blueprint for improving the mental health of young people. *World Psychiatry*, 20(2), 200-221.
- Grum, B., & Kopal Grum, D. (2020). Concepts of social sustainability based on social infrastructure and quality of life. *Facilities*, 38(11/12), 783-800.
- Hoagwood, K.E., Purtle, J., Spandorfer, J., Peth-Pierce, R., & Horwitz, S.M. (2020). Aligning dissemination and implementation science with health policies to improve children's mental health. *American Psychologist*, 75(8), 1130.
- Im, H., Rodriguez, C., & Grumbine, J.M. (2021). A multitier model of refugee mental health and psychosocial support in resettlement: Toward trauma-informed and culture-informed systems of care. *Psychological Services*, 18(3), 345.
- Kalariya, Y., Kumar, A., Ullah, A., Umair, A., Neha, F.N.U., Madhurita, F.N.U., Varagantiwar, V., Jaffari, S.M.I.A., Ahmad, A., Aman, M., & Sapna, F.N.U. (2023). Integrative medicine approaches: bridging the gap between conventional and renal complementary therapies. *Cureus*, 15(9).
- Kamau, S., Koskenranta, M., Kuivila, H., Oikarainen, A., Tomietto, M., Juntunen, J., Tuomikoski, A.M., & Mikkonen, K. (2022). Integration strategies and models to support transition and adaptation of culturally and linguistically diverse nursing staff into healthcare environments: An umbrella review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 136, 104377.
- Kasproicz, V.O., Chopera, D., Waddilove, K.D., Brockman, M.A., Gilmour, J., Hunter, E., Kilembe, W., Karita, E., Gaseitsiwe, S., Sanders, E.J., & Ndung'u, T. (2020). African-led health research and capacity building-is it working?. *BMC Public Health*, 20, 1-10.
- Kirkbride, J.B., Anglin, D.M., Colman, I., Dykxhoorn, J., Jones, P.B., Patalay, P., Pitman, A., Sonesson, E., Steare, T., Wright, T., & Griffiths, S.L. (2024). The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations. *World Psychiatry*, 23(1), 58.
- Koschorke, M., Oexle, N., Ouali, U., Cherian, A.V., Deepika, V., Mendon, G.B., Gurung, D., Kondratova, L., Muller, M., Lanfredi, M., & Lasalvia, A. (2021). Perspectives of healthcare providers, service users, and family members about mental illness stigma in

- primary care settings: A multi-site qualitative study of seven countries in Africa, Asia, and Europe. *PLoS One*, 16(10), e0258729.
- Kyere, E., & Fukui, S. (2023). Structural racism, workforce diversity, and mental health disparities: A critical review. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 10(4), 1985-1996.
- Mascayano, F., Toso-Salman, J., Ho, Y.C.S., Dev, S., Tapia, T., Thornicroft, G., Cabassa, L.J., Khenti, A., Sapag, J., Bobbili, S.J., & Alvarado, R. (2020). Including culture in programs to reduce stigma toward people with mental disorders in low-and middle-income countries. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 57(1), 140-160.
- Mazurek, K., Xue, W., & Beldon, M. (2022). Impact of ACA implementation on health related quality of life among those with depressive disorders in the United States: A secondary data analysis of the 2011-2017 BRFSS. *Plos One*, 17(3), e0266402.
- McGorry, P.D., Mei, C., Chanen, A., Hodges, C., Alvarez-Jimenez, M., & Killackey, E. (2022). Designing and scaling up integrated youth mental health care. *World Psychiatry*, 21(1), 61-76.
- Meisnere, M., South-Paul, J., Krist, A.H., & National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2023). Evidence supporting whole health's foundational elements. In *Achieving Whole Health: A New Approach for Veterans and the Nation*. National Academies Press (US).
- Meneau, M., Dugas, M., Careau, E., Chouinard, M.C., Dogba, M.J., Gagnon, M.P., Gervais, M., Gilbert, M., Houle, J., Kates, N., & Knowles, S. (2020). Strategies for engaging patients and families in collaborative care programs for depression and anxiety disorders: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 263, 528-539.
- Mongelli, F., Georgakopoulos, P., & Pato, M.T. (2020). Challenges and opportunities to meet the mental health needs of underserved and disenfranchised populations in the United States. *Focus*, 18(1), 16-24.
- Montesanti, S., Fitzpatrick, K., Fayant, B., & Pritchard, C. (2022). Identifying priorities, directions and a vision for Indigenous mental health using a collaborative and consensus-based facilitation approach. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1), 406.
- Morales, D.A., Barksdale, C.L., & Beckel-Mitchener, A.C. (2020). A call to action to address rural mental health disparities. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, 4(5), 463-467.
- Nadkarni, A., Hanlon, C., & Patel, V. (2023). Mental health care models in low-and middle-income countries. In *Tasman's Psychiatry* (pp. 1-47). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Okoro, Y.O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., & Sodamade, O.T. (2024). The Role of technology in enhancing mental health advocacy: a systematic review. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(1), 37-50.
- Okunade, B.A., Adediran, F.E., Maduka, C.P., & Adegoke, A.A. (2023). Community-Based mental health interventions in Africa: a review and its implications for US healthcare practices. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 3(3), 68-91.
- Osío, J.B. (2023). Unveiling the intersection: anthropological insights into health disparities and well-being. *Social Science Chronicle*, 2, 1-20.

- Paniagua, P., & Rayamajhee, V. (2022). A polycentric approach for pandemic governance: Nested externalities and co-production challenges. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 18(4), 537-552.
- Patterson, A.S., Boadu, N.Y., Clark, M., Janes, C., Monteiro, N., Roberts, J.H., Shiffman, J., Thomas, D., & Wipfli, H. (2020). Investigating global mental health: contributions from political science. *Global Public Health*, 15(6), 805-817.
- Pearson, A.R., White, K.E., Nogueira, L.M., Lewis Jr, N.A., Green, D.J., Schuldt, J.P., & Edmondson, D. (2023). Climate change and health equity: A research agenda for psychological science. *American Psychologist*, 78(2), 244.
- Pringle, B., Williams, M., & Collins, P.Y. (2021). Evidence for action: Stimulating research to address the global mental health treatment gap. *Innovations in Global Mental Health*, 63-88.
- Puffer, E.S., & Ayuku, D. (2022). A community-embedded implementation model for mental-health interventions: reaching the hardest to reach. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 17(5), 1276-1290.
- Remaker, D.N., Gonzalez, M.M., Houston-Armstrong, T., & Sprague-Connors, G. (2021). Women of color and mentorship in graduate training. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 15(1), 70.
- Series, L. (2022). The law of institutions. In *Deprivation of Liberty in the Shadows of the Institution* (pp. 32-52). Bristol University Press.
- Shalev, D., Docherty, M., Spaeth-Rublee, B., Khauli, N., Cheung, S., Levenson, J., & Pincus, H.A. (2020). Bridging the behavioral health gap in serious illness care: challenges and strategies for workforce development. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 28(4), 448-462.
- Sheather, J., Littler, K., Singh, J.A., & Wright, K. (2023). Ethics, climate change and health—a landscape review. *Wellcome Open Research*, 8.
- Sim, A., Ahmad, A., Hammad, L., Shalaby, Y., & Georgiades, K. (2023). Reimagining mental health care for newcomer children and families: a qualitative framework analysis of service provider perspectives. *BMC Health Services Research*, 23(1), 699.
- Sirey, J.A., Pepin, R., Aizenstein, H., Taylor, W.D., Forester, B., Okereke, O., Byers, A.L., & Bruce, M.L. (2023). Advanced Research Institute (ARI): supporting the geriatric mental health research pipeline. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 31(12), 1209-1215.
- Song, Z., Johnston, R.M., & Ng, C.P. (2021). Equitable healthcare access during the pandemic: The impact of digital divide and other sociodemographic and systemic factors. *Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence and Cloud Computing*, 4(1), 19-33.
- Stroul, B.A., Blau, G.M., & Larsen, J. (2021). The evolution of the system of care approach. *The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, School of Social Work, University of Maryland*. Retrieved, from <https://www.cmhnetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/The-Evolution-of-the-SOC-Approach-FINAL-5-27-20211.Pdf>
- Sweeting, K.D., & Haupt, B.B. (2024). Overcoming neutrality as an organizational learning impediment. *Public Administration Review*, 84(1), 143-154.

- Terregino, C.A., Byerley, J., Henderson, D.D., Friedman, E., Elks, M.L., Kirstein, I.J., Leep-Hunderfund, A.N., & Fancher, T.L. (2021). Cultivating the physician workforce: Recruiting, training, and retaining physicians to meet the needs of the population. *Medical teacher*, 43(sup2), S39-S48.
- Troup, J., Fuhr, D.C., Woodward, A., Sondorp, E., & Roberts, B. (2021). Barriers and facilitators for scaling up mental health and psychosocial support interventions in low- and middle-income countries for populations affected by humanitarian crises: a systematic review. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 15, 1-14.
- Walsh, D.A.B., & Foster, J.L.H. (2021). A call to action. A critical review of mental health related anti-stigma campaigns. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 990.