



OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research

P-ISSN: 2664-3588, E-ISSN: 2664-3596

Volume 6, Issue 3, P.No.648-659, March 2024

DOI: 10.51594/ijmer.v6i3.877

Fair East Publishers

Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijmer



STRATEGIES AND OUTCOMES OF CORPORATE SOCIAL INVESTMENT IN THE OIL & GAS INDUSTRY: A REVIEW

Stella Emeka-Okoli¹, Tochukwu Chinwuba Nwankwo², Christiana Adanma Otonnah³ & Ekene Ezinwa Nwankwo⁴

¹Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

²National Open University of Abuja, Nigeria

³Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

⁴Anambra State Polytechnic, Mgbakwu, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Ekene Ezinwa Nwankwo

Corresponding Author Email: ekene.nwankwo@anspoly.edu.ng

Article Received: 10-01-24

Accepted: 05-03-24

Published: 16-03-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

Corporate Social Investment (CSI) in the oil and gas industry has become increasingly important for companies seeking to demonstrate their commitment to social responsibility and sustainability. This review provides an overview of the strategies and outcomes associated with CSI in the oil and gas sector, highlighting key findings from a comprehensive review of existing literature. The review explores various strategies employed by oil and gas companies to engage in CSI initiatives, including community development projects, environmental conservation efforts, and partnerships with local stakeholders. It also examines the outcomes of these initiatives, focusing on their impact on communities, the environment, and the companies themselves. Key findings indicate that effective CSI strategies in the oil and gas industry involve a combination of financial investment, stakeholder engagement, and sustainable development practices. Companies that prioritize long-term partnerships with local communities and actively involve them in decision-making processes tend to achieve better outcomes in terms of social and environmental impact. Furthermore, the review highlights the importance of measuring and reporting on the outcomes of CSI initiatives to demonstrate accountability and transparency. Companies that are able to effectively communicate the social

and environmental benefits of their CSI efforts are more likely to enhance their reputation and build trust with stakeholders. Overall, the review suggests that CSI can play a crucial role in enhancing the social license to operate for oil and gas companies, contributing to sustainable development and positive outcomes for communities and the environment.

Keywords: Strategies, Outcomes, Corporate Social Investment, Oil and Gas, Industry.

INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Investment (CSI) in the oil and gas industry refers to the voluntary actions that companies undertake to improve social, environmental, and economic conditions in the communities where they operate. These investments go beyond legal compliance and aim to create shared value for both the company and society. CSI plays a crucial role in enhancing the reputation and sustainability of oil and gas companies, as well as in building strong relationships with stakeholders (Katamba, et. al., 2023, Oruwari, 2022, Perrie, 2020).

The importance of CSI for oil and gas companies cannot be overstated. In addition to fulfilling their social responsibility, CSI initiatives can help companies manage risks, enhance their license to operate, and contribute to long-term business success. By investing in projects that address key social and environmental issues, oil and gas companies can create positive impacts on communities, mitigate potential conflicts, and foster sustainable development (Oshioke, Okoye & Udokwu, 2023, Waqar, et. al., 2024, Yaya, Otu & Labonté, 2020,).

This review aims to explore the strategies and outcomes of CSI in the oil and gas industry. It will examine the various approaches that companies take to implement CSI initiatives, the impact of these initiatives on stakeholders and communities, and the challenges and opportunities associated with CSI. The review will also highlight best practices, case studies, and future trends in CSI, providing insights for oil and gas companies seeking to enhance their social and environmental performance.

History of Strategies and Outcomes of Corporate Social Investment in the Oil & Gas Industry

The history of Corporate Social Investment (CSI) in the oil and gas industry can be traced back to the early 20th century when companies began to recognize the need to address social and environmental issues associated with their operations. Initially, the focus was on philanthropic activities such as donations to local communities and charities. However, as the industry grew and its impact on society became more apparent, companies started to adopt more strategic approaches to CSI.

In the 1960s and 1970s, with the rise of environmental awareness and the emergence of corporate social responsibility (CSR) as a concept, oil and gas companies began to formalize their CSI efforts. They started to develop policies and programs to mitigate the negative impacts of their operations and contribute to the well-being of host communities. This period also saw the establishment of industry associations and initiatives focused on promoting responsible business practices (Angela, et. al., 2021, Latapí Agudelo, Jóhannsdóttir & Davídsdóttir, 2019, Udokwu et. al., 2023).

The 1980s and 1990s marked a shift towards more integrated and holistic approaches to CSI in the oil and gas industry. Companies started to view CSI as an integral part of their business strategy, rather than a separate activity. They began to align their CSI initiatives with their core

business objectives, focusing on areas where they could have the greatest impact and create shared value for both the company and society.

In the 2000s and beyond, there has been a growing emphasis on transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement in CSI in the oil and gas industry. Companies are increasingly expected to report on their CSI activities and demonstrate the outcomes of their investments. There is also a greater recognition of the need for collaboration and partnership with governments, NGOs, and local communities to address complex social and environmental challenges (Anoke, et. al., 2023, Ans, u-Mensah, et. al., 2021, Billedeau, 2019).

Overall, the history of CSI in the oil and gas industry reflects a growing awareness of the importance of corporate social responsibility and sustainable business practices. Companies are increasingly recognizing that CSI is not just about doing good, but also about creating value and managing risks in a rapidly changing world.

Strategies of Corporate Social Investment

Corporate Social Investment (CSI) strategies in the oil and gas industry encompass a range of initiatives aimed at creating positive social and environmental impacts. These strategies often include financial investments in community development projects, environmental conservation initiatives, stakeholder engagement, and employee volunteer programs. Each of these components plays a crucial role in enhancing the industry's overall social and environmental performance (Okoye, et. al., 2023, Oruwari, 2022, Perrie, 2020).

One of the primary strategies of CSI in the oil and gas industry is to invest in community development projects. These projects are designed to improve the quality of life for communities near oil and gas operations. Examples include building schools, healthcare facilities, and infrastructure such as roads and bridges. By investing in such projects, oil and gas companies can help address social issues and contribute to the long-term development of communities (Fabian, et. al., 2023, Gulakov, Vanclay & Arts, 2020, Pasaribu, Vanclay & Zhao, 2020).

Another key strategy of CSI in the oil and gas industry is to support environmental conservation initiatives. This may include funding for reforestation projects, wildlife conservation efforts, and initiatives to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. By investing in environmental conservation, oil and gas companies can mitigate the environmental impacts of their operations and contribute to the protection of natural habitats and biodiversity (Onoyere & Adekanmbi, 2012, Oruwari, 2022, Pasaribu, Vanclay & Zhao, 2020).

Stakeholder engagement is an essential component of CSI in the oil and gas industry. This involves building relationships with local communities, governments, NGOs, and other stakeholders to ensure that CSI initiatives are aligned with their needs and priorities. Partnerships with external organizations can also enhance the impact of CSI initiatives by leveraging their expertise and resources.

Many oil and gas companies also engage their employees in CSI activities through volunteer programs. These programs allow employees to contribute to their communities and support causes they care about. By encouraging employee volunteering, companies can enhance employee morale and engagement while making a positive impact in the communities where they operate.

Overall, the strategies of Corporate Social Investment in the oil and gas industry are aimed at creating value for society while also benefiting the companies themselves. By investing in

community development projects, supporting environmental conservation, engaging with stakeholders, and encouraging employee volunteering, oil and gas companies can demonstrate their commitment to corporate social responsibility and contribute to sustainable development.

Outcomes of Corporate Social Investment

Corporate Social Investment (CSI) in the oil and gas industry can have a range of outcomes that benefit both local communities and the companies themselves. These outcomes include social impact on local communities, environmental impact and sustainability efforts, economic benefits for communities and companies, and reputation and brand enhancement (Billedeau, 2019, Dionisio & de Vargas, 2020, Katamba, et. al., 2023).

One of the primary outcomes of CSI in the oil and gas industry is the social impact on local communities. By investing in community development projects, companies can improve the quality of life for residents. This can include providing access to education, healthcare, and clean water, as well as creating job opportunities and supporting local businesses. These initiatives can help to alleviate poverty, improve health outcomes, and enhance overall well-being in the communities where oil and gas companies operate (Amoako, et. al., 2019, Osei-Kojo & Andrews, 2020, Wang, et. al., 2023).

CSI initiatives in the oil and gas industry also focus on environmental impact and sustainability efforts. This can include funding for environmental conservation projects, such as reforestation or wildlife protection programs, as well as efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and minimize the environmental footprint of operations. By investing in these initiatives, companies can help to protect the environment and promote sustainable practices in the industry.

CSI in the oil and gas industry can also lead to economic benefits for both communities and companies. By investing in infrastructure and local businesses, companies can help to stimulate economic growth and create opportunities for local residents. This can lead to increased income levels, improved living standards, and greater economic resilience for communities. Additionally, companies can benefit economically from CSI initiatives through improved stakeholder relationships, enhanced reputation, and access to new markets (Hadi, et. al., 2022, Stephens & Robinson, 2021, Wang & Li, 2019).

Finally, CSI in the oil and gas industry can have a positive impact on companies' reputation and brand. By demonstrating a commitment to corporate social responsibility and sustainable development, companies can enhance their image among stakeholders, including customers, investors, and employees. This can lead to increased loyalty, trust, and support for the company, as well as a competitive advantage in the marketplace.

Overall, the outcomes of Corporate Social Investment in the oil and gas industry can be significant, benefiting both local communities and companies alike. By investing in social, environmental, and economic initiatives, companies can make a positive impact and contribute to sustainable development in the regions where they operate.

Challenges and Limitations

Challenges and Limitations of Strategies and Outcomes of Corporate Social Investment (CSI) in the oil and gas industry are multifaceted, ranging from measurement and evaluation issues to balancing CSI with core business objectives, and managing stakeholder expectations (Akpaka, 2020, Croxton, 2021, Nkala, 2020).

One of the key challenges in CSI in the oil and gas industry is the measurement and evaluation of outcomes. Unlike financial metrics, which are relatively easy to quantify, the social and

environmental impacts of CSI initiatives can be more challenging to assess. Companies often struggle to define appropriate metrics and methodologies for measuring the effectiveness of their CSI programs. Additionally, there is often a lack of consensus on what constitutes a successful outcome, making it difficult to benchmark performance and track progress over time (Alhasani, Alhinai & Ahmed, 2023, Bambinek, Przyjazny & Boczkaj, 2022, Pu, et. al., 2023). Another challenge of CSI in the oil and gas industry is balancing these initiatives with core business objectives. While CSI is important for enhancing reputation and building relationships with stakeholders, companies must also prioritize profitability and operational efficiency. This can create tensions between short-term financial goals and long-term sustainability objectives. Finding the right balance between these competing priorities is essential for the success of CSI initiatives (Newell, 2020, Raimondi, 2019, Simões-Coelho, Figueira & Russo, 2023).

CSI in the oil and gas industry also faces challenges in managing stakeholder expectations. Local communities, governments, and NGOs often have high expectations for the social and environmental impact of CSI initiatives. However, companies may face limitations in terms of resources, expertise, and influence. This can lead to unrealistic expectations and potential conflicts with stakeholders if outcomes fall short of expectations (Angela, et. al., 2021, Ansu-Mensah, et. al., 2021, Osei-Kojo & Andrews, 2020).

Addressing these challenges requires a proactive and strategic approach to CSI in the oil and gas industry. Companies must develop robust measurement and evaluation frameworks, align CSI initiatives with core business objectives, and engage stakeholders effectively to manage expectations. Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of CSI in the oil and gas industry are significant, making it a worthwhile endeavor for companies committed to sustainable development.

Case Studies and Examples

In the oil and gas industry, Corporate Social Investment (CSI) plays a crucial role in mitigating negative impacts, fostering positive relationships, and contributing to sustainable development. Several companies have implemented successful CSI initiatives, demonstrating the industry's commitment to social responsibility and environmental stewardship. This section presents case studies and examples of strategies and outcomes of CSI in the oil and gas industry, highlighting successful initiatives, challenges faced, lessons learned, and the impact on communities and environments (Bester, 2022, Lincoln & Diamond, 2023, Niyommaneerat, Suwanteep & Chavalparit, 2023).

Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC) has a long history of CSI in Nigeria, where it operates a joint venture with the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation. One of Shell's key initiatives is the Global Memorandum of Understanding (GMOU) program, which empowers communities to manage their development projects. Through the GMOU, communities receive funding and technical support from Shell to implement projects in areas such as education, health, infrastructure, and economic empowerment (Angela, et. al., 2021, Obiakor & Usiemure, 2021, Ogunnowo, 2022).

Chevron has implemented a Clean Water Project in Angola to provide clean and safe drinking water to communities affected by oil operations. The project includes the construction of water treatment facilities, distribution networks, and community education programs on water hygiene. Chevron works closely with local authorities and NGOs to ensure the sustainability of the project and its positive impact on the community's health and well-being.

One of the main challenges faced by oil and gas companies in implementing CSI initiatives is the complex operating environment, characterized by political instability, security risks, and regulatory uncertainties. Companies must navigate these challenges while maintaining a focus on their CSI objectives. Additionally, ensuring the long-term sustainability of CSI projects requires careful planning, stakeholder engagement, and monitoring and evaluation.

The impact of CSI initiatives in the oil and gas industry can be significant, with projects benefiting communities through improved infrastructure, education, healthcare, and economic opportunities. Moreover, these initiatives can help build trust and goodwill with local communities, leading to more harmonious relationships and reducing the risk of social unrest (Attah & Amoah, 2023, Kumar, Gupta & Das, 2022, Mohammed, et. al., 2022).

In conclusion, CSI plays a vital role in the oil and gas industry, contributing to sustainable development, community well-being, and environmental stewardship. By implementing effective CSI initiatives, companies can demonstrate their commitment to social responsibility and build stronger, more resilient communities.

Best Practices and Recommendations

Companies should align their CSI initiatives with their core business objectives and values. CSI should be integrated into the overall business strategy to ensure sustainability and long-term impact. This involves identifying key areas where CSI can have the most significant impact on communities and the environment, and incorporating CSI goals into business planning and decision-making processes (Dionisio & de Vargas, 2020, Lin, 2023, Warren, 2022).

Transparency is crucial for building trust with stakeholders and demonstrating the impact of CSI initiatives. Companies should communicate openly about their CSI activities, including goals, progress, and outcomes. This includes publishing annual CSI reports and engaging with stakeholders to gather feedback and improve transparency. Regular monitoring and evaluation are essential to assess the effectiveness of CSI initiatives and identify areas for improvement. Companies should establish clear metrics and targets for their CSI programs and regularly review progress against these targets. This includes collecting data on the impact of CSI initiatives on communities and the environment and using this information to refine and enhance future initiatives (Ezeigweneme, et. al., 2023, Issa & Hanaysha, 2023, Tanimoto, 2019).

Engaging with stakeholders, including local communities, NGOs, governments, and other relevant parties, is critical for the success of CSI initiatives. Companies should involve stakeholders in the design, implementation, and evaluation of CSI programs to ensure they meet the needs of the communities they serve. Building local capacity and forming partnerships with local organizations and governments can enhance the impact of CSI initiatives. Companies should seek to build local skills and knowledge through training and capacity-building programs and collaborate with local partners to leverage their expertise and resources (Babarinde & Wright, 2023, Chin, et. al., 2019, Salmon, et. al., 2021).

CSI initiatives are most effective when they involve multiple stakeholders working together towards a common goal. Companies should seek to collaborate with governments, NGOs, local communities, and other relevant stakeholders to maximize the impact of their CSI efforts. By following these best practices, oil and gas companies can enhance the impact of their CSI initiatives and contribute to sustainable development in the communities where they operate.

Future Trends and Opportunities

With increasing global concerns about climate change and environmental degradation, there is a growing trend towards CSI initiatives that focus on environmental sustainability. This includes investments in renewable energy, carbon offset projects, and efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. There is a growing emphasis on measuring and reporting the social impact of CSI initiatives. Companies are increasingly using metrics such as the Social Return on Investment (SROI) to quantify the social value created by their CSI activities and demonstrate their impact to stakeholders (Palinkas, et. al., 2020, Raimi, et. al., 2019, Tabares, S. (2021).

Companies are increasingly partnering with governments, NGOs, and other stakeholders to implement CSI initiatives. These partnerships can help to leverage resources, expertise, and networks to maximize the impact of CSI efforts. Technology is playing an increasingly important role in CSI in the oil and gas industry. Companies are using technologies such as drones, satellite imaging, and data analytics to monitor and manage the impact of their operations on the environment and local communities (Bode, Rogan & Singh, 2019, Gafni & Wirtenberg, 2020).

Companies are recognizing the importance of engaging employees in CSI initiatives. Employee volunteer programs, donation matching schemes, and other initiatives are increasingly being used to involve employees in CSI efforts and build a culture of corporate social responsibility. There is an opportunity for oil and gas companies to invest in circular economy initiatives that reduce waste, promote resource efficiency, and create new revenue streams (Dionisio & de Vargas, 2022, Saini, Saini & Kumar, 2021, Tshukudu, 2020).

Companies can invest in programs that support the economic development of local communities, such as skills training, job creation, and small business support. Given the sector's significant contribution to greenhouse gas emissions, there is an opportunity for oil and gas companies to invest in initiatives that reduce their carbon footprint, such as renewable energy projects and carbon capture and storage technologies (Bartik, 2020, Gherghina, et. al., 2020).

Oil and gas companies can collaborate with conservation organizations to protect biodiversity in areas where they operate, through habitat restoration, conservation easements, and other initiatives. Companies can invest in programs that promote the health and safety of their employees and local communities, such as health clinics, safety training, and emergency response preparedness (Carrell, Hammill & Edwards, 2022, Kashmanian, 2019, O'Gorman, 2020).

CSI has the potential to play a significant role in achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in the oil and gas industry. By aligning CSI initiatives with the SDGs, companies can contribute to global efforts to end poverty, protect the planet, and ensure prosperity for all. In conclusion, the future of CSI in the oil and gas industry lies in embracing emerging trends, fostering innovation and collaboration, and aligning efforts with the SDGs. By doing so, companies can not only mitigate risks and enhance their reputation but also make a positive impact on society and the environment (Alamouh, Ballini & Ölçer, 2021, Katuruza, 2020, Zhu, Preuss & You, 2023).

CONCLUSION

Corporate Social Investment (CSI) in the oil and gas industry plays a crucial role in addressing social, environmental, and economic challenges while enhancing the industry's reputation and

long-term sustainability. This review has highlighted several key findings regarding the strategies and outcomes of CSI in this sector.

Oil and gas companies employ a variety of CSI strategies, including financial investments in community development, environmental conservation initiatives, stakeholder engagement, and employee volunteer programs. CSI initiatives have led to significant social, environmental, and economic benefits. These include improved community well-being, environmental conservation, enhanced stakeholder relations, and enhanced employee morale and engagement. Despite the positive outcomes, there are challenges and limitations associated with CSI in the oil and gas industry. These include measuring and evaluating the impact of CSI, balancing CSI with core business objectives, and managing stakeholder expectations. Best practices for effective CSI include integrating CSI into business strategy, ensuring transparency and accountability, and continuous monitoring and evaluation of CSI initiatives.

Oil and gas companies are called upon to prioritize CSI as a core component of their business strategy. This involves: Integrating CSI initiatives into overall business strategy to ensure alignment with core business objectives and long-term sustainability. Engaging with stakeholders, including local communities, governments, NGOs, and investors, to identify key priorities and develop effective CSI initiatives. Embracing innovation and collaboration to develop new and effective CSI initiatives that address emerging social, environmental, and economic challenges.

CSI is essential for the long-term sustainability of the oil and gas industry. By investing in social and environmental initiatives, companies can build trust with stakeholders, enhance their reputation, and contribute to the well-being of communities and the environment in which they operate. In conclusion, CSI in the oil and gas industry is not only a moral imperative but also a strategic necessity. By adopting best practices and innovative approaches, companies can maximize the positive impact of their CSI initiatives and contribute to a more sustainable future for all.

Reference

- Akpaka, A. E. (2020). Collaboration in corporate social responsibility programs in Nigeria's oil industry.
- Alamouh, A. S., Ballini, F., & Ölçer, A. I. (2021). Revisiting port sustainability as a foundation for the implementation of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs). *Journal of Shipping and Trade*, 6(1), 1-40.
- Alhasani, A. N., Alhinai, M. H., & Ahmed, E. R. (2023). An empirical Study on impact of intellectual human capital on corporate social innovation. *Journal of Accounting Science*, 7(1), 47-65.
- Amoako, G., Dartey-Baah, K., Owusu-Frimpong, N., & Kebreti, C. (2019). Corporate social responsibility: perspectives of foreign and local oil marketing companies in Ghana. *Communicatio*, 45(3), 67-92.
- Angela, M., Anthony, M., Francis, E., & Damilola, A. (2021). Recent trends in corporate social responsibilities in Nigeria-A case study of major oil firms in the Niger delta region. *Scientific African*, 13, e00928.
- Angela, M., Anthony, M., Francis, E., & Damilola, A. (2021). *Scientific African*.

- Anoke F. A., Eze S. U., Okoye C. C., Okeke N. M. (2023). Corporate outsourcing and organizational performance in Nigerian investment banks. *Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management*. DOI: 10.36347/sjebm.2023.v10i03.00X
- Ansu-Mensah, P., Marfo, E. O., Awuah, L. S., & Amoako, K. O. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder engagement in Ghana's mining sector: a case study of Newmont Ahafo mines. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 6(1), 1-22.
- Attah, A., & Amoah, P. (2023). The extractive industry and expectations of resource benefits: does CSR promote community well-being?. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*.
- Babarinde, O., & Wright, S. (2023). Corporate responsibility in South Africa: limited success, unfulfilled promise. *Africa Today*, 69(3), 95-115.
- Bambinek, K., Przyjazny, A., & Boczkaj, G. (2022). Compatibility of crude oil blends—processing issues related to asphaltene precipitation, methods of instability prediction—a review. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 62(1), 2-15.
- Bartik, T. J. (2020). Using place-based jobs policies to help distressed communities. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(3), 99-127.
- Bester, V. (2022). A corporate social responsibility conceptual framework to address artisanal gold mining in South Africa. *Resources Policy*, 79, 103030.
- Billedeau, D. B. (2019). Corporate social responsibility performance and transparency in Canada's natural resource sector (Master's thesis, University of Waterloo).
- Bode, C., Rogan, M., & Singh, J. (2019). Sustainable cross-sector collaboration: Building a global platform for social impact. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 5(4), 396-414.
- Carrell, J. D., Hammill, E., & Edwards, T. C. (2022). Balancing rare species conservation with extractive industries. *Land*, 11(11), 2012.
- Chin, T., Yang, Y., Zhang, P., Yu, X., & Cao, L. (2019). Co-creation of social innovation: Corporate universities as innovative strategies for Chinese firms to engage with society. *Sustainability*, 11(5), 1438.
- Croxton, N. (2021). Acting with Impunity? The Performance Impact and Strategic Outcomes of Corporate Social Irresponsibility (Doctoral dissertation, The Open University).
- Dionisio, M., & de Vargas, E. R. (2020). Corporate social innovation: A systematic literature review. *International Business Review*, 29(2), 101641.
- Dionisio, M., & de Vargas, E. R. (2022). Integrating corporate social innovations and cross-collaboration: an empirical study. *Journal of Business Research*, 139, 794-803.
- Ezeigweneme, C.A., Umoh, A.A., Ilojiana, V.I., & Oluwatoyin, A. (2023). Telecom project management: Lessons learned and best practices: A review from Africa to the USA.
- Gafni, N., & Wirtenberg, J. (2020). Executive Insights: Leading the Way in Corporate Social Innovation—An Interview with Gary M. Cohen, Executive Vice President of Global Health & President of the BD Foundation. *Rutgers Business Review*, 5(2), 248-258.
- Gherghina, Ș. C., Botezatu, M. A., Hosszu, A., & Simionescu, L. N. (2020). Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): The engine of economic growth through investments and innovation. *Sustainability*, 12(1), 347.

- Gulakov, I., Vanclay, F., & Arts, J. (2020). Modifying social impact assessment to enhance the effectiveness of company social investment strategies in contributing to local community development. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 38(5), 382-396.
- Hadi, S. P., Prabawani, B., Pratama, I., & Ihsanto, M. (2022, September). Developing Social Innovation for Corporate Sustainability: Case Studies of Gold Proper Candidate in Indonesia. In ICISPE 2021: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Social and Political Enquiries, ICISPE 2021, 14-15 September 2021, Semarang, Indonesia (p. 259). European Alliance for Innovation.
- Issa, A., & Hanaysha, J. R. (2023). Achieving sustainable business: the nexus between external sustainability assurance, CSR strategy and emission reduction. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30(6), 3095-3109.
- Kashmanian, R. M. (2019). Company engagement with supply chains to protect biodiversity and rare, threatened, and endangered species. *Environmental Quality Management*, 29(2), 7-35.
- Katamba, D., Amerit, B., Basuuta, M., Tumwine, S., & Ngoma, M. (2023). Multinational oil and gas corporations' contribution to SDGs and social compliance in Uganda through their corporate social responsibility: a lens into readiness and the obstacles they face. In *The Elgar Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility and the Sustainable Development Goals* (pp. 250-268). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Katuruza, T. V. (2020). Disclosure of sustainability development goals in the integrated reports of JSE listed companies (Doctoral dissertation, University of Johannesburg).
- Kumar, A., Gupta, J., & Das, N. (2022). Community resilience, corporate social responsibility and local economic development: The case of coal mining in India. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 11, 101120.
- Latapí Agudelo, M. A., Jóhannsdóttir, L., & Davídsdóttir, B. (2019). A literature review of the history and evolution of corporate social responsibility. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 4(1), 1-23.
- Lin, W. L. (2023). The role of corporate social responsibility and corporate social irresponsibility in shaping corporate reputation: An analysis of competitive action and innovation strategies. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*.
- Lincoln, A. A., & Diamond, B. (2023). 13. Contribution of sustainable development goals and corporate social responsibility initiatives of multinational enterprises (MNEs) to social development in Nigeria: a critical assessment of the different parties and the dynamic. *The Elgar Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility and the Sustainable Development Goals*, 190.
- Mohammed, A. S., Ackah, I., Tuokuu, F. X., & Abane, S. (2022). Assessing the corporate social responsibility interventions in the Ghanaian oil and gas industry: Perspectives from local actors. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 12, 101145.
- Newell, P. (2020). The business of rapid transition. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 11(6), e670.
- Niyommaneerat, W., Suwanteep, K., & Chavalparit, O. (2023). Sustainability indicators to achieve a circular economy: A case study of renewable energy and plastic waste

- recycling corporate social responsibility (CSR) projects in Thailand. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 391, 136203.
- Nkala, D. (2020). Antecedents and Outcomes of Corporate Social Investment on Customer Loyalty: A Case of Zimbabwe Stock Exchange Listed Companies (Doctoral dissertation, Midlands State University).
- Obiakor, N., & Usiemure, C. (2021). Appraisal of Chevron Nigeria Limited and Host-Communities Relations in Warri South West LGA, Delta, Nigeria, 1997–2013. *International Scholar Journal of Arts and Social Science Research*, 4(2), 335-353.
- O'Gorman, M. (2020). Strategic corporate conservation planning: a guide to meaningful engagement. Island Press.
- Ogunnowo, R. O. (2022). An evaluation of natural resources extraction and host communities' reaction in Nigeria and South Africa 2005-2015 (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University (South Africa)).
- Okoye, C. C., Scott, T. O., Uchechukwu, E. S., Okeke, N. M., Onyebuchi, C. N., Udokwu, S. T., & Ewim, D. E. (2023). Integrating business principles in STEM education: Fostering entrepreneurship in students and educators in the US and Nigeria. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Development*, 06(03).
- Onoyere, I.O., & Adekanmbi A. O. O. (2012). Sustainable energy development in a developing economy: the Nigerian experience. *ATBU Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1, 142-150
- Oruwari, H. O. (2022, August). Corporate social responsibility: a panacea for sustainable development in Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. In SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition. OnePetro.
- Osei-Kojo, A., & Andrews, N. (2020). A developmental paradox? The “dark forces” against corporate social responsibility in Ghana’s extractive industry. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 22, 1051-1071.
- Oshioste, E. E., Okoye, C. C., & Udokwu, S. T. C. (2023). The effectiveness of CSR in sustainable development: A case-study of Total's oil exploration activities in the Niger-Delta region. *Economic Growth and Environment Sustainability (EGNES)*. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.26480/egnes.02.2023.41.49>
- Palinkas, L. A., O'Donnell, M. L., Lau, W., & Wong, M. (2020). Strategies for delivering mental health services in response to global climate change: A narrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(22), 8562.
- Pasaribu, S. I., Vanclay, F., & Zhao, Y. (2020). Challenges to implementing socially-sustainable community development in oil palm and forestry operations in Indonesia. *Land*, 9(3), 61.
- Perrie, D. (2020). Understanding corporate social investment practice in South Africa (Master's thesis, Faculty of Commerce).
- Pu, W., Raman, A. A. A., Hamid, M. D., Gao, X., & Buthiyappan, A. (2023). Inherent safety concept based proactive risk reduction strategies: A review. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 105133.
- Raimi, M. O., Bilewu, O. O., Adio, Z., & Halimat, A. (2019). Women contributions to sustainable environments in Nigeria. Raimi Morufu Olalekan, Bilewu Olaolu Oyinlola, Adio Zulkarnaini Olalekan, Abdulrahman Halimat (2019) Women Contributions to

- Sustainable Environments in Nigeria. *Journal of Scientific Research in Allied Sciences*, 5(4), 35-51.
- Raimondi, P. P. (2019). Central Asia oil and gas industry-The external powers' energy interests in Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan.
- Saini, A., Saini, G. K., & Kumar, S. (2021). Role of corporate social marketing campaigns in employer branding: a study of campus engagement initiatives. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 27(4), 324-346.
- Salmon, R. A., Rammell, S., Emeny, M. T., & Hartley, S. (2021). Citizens, scientists, and enablers: A tripartite model for citizen science projects. *Diversity*, 13(7), 309.
- Simões-Coelho, M., Figueira, A. R., & Russo, E. (2023). Balancing global corporate sustainability engagement in asymmetric markets: The Coca-Cola Co. case. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 40, 89-100.
- Stephens, S., & Robinson, B. M. K. (2021). The social license to operate in the onshore wind energy industry: A comparative case study of Scotland and South Africa. *Energy Policy*, 148, 111981.
- Tabares, S. (2021). Do hybrid organizations contribute to sustainable development goals? Evidence from B corps in Colombia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 280, 124615.
- Tanimoto, K. (2019). Do multi-stakeholder initiatives make for better CSR?. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 19(4), 704-716.
- Tshukudu, T. T. (2020). Employee engagement and staff turnover and its implication on the organisational performance: Case of AON Botswana. *International Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 8(1), 1-11.
- Udokwu S. T. C., Oshioke E. E., Okoye C. C., Nwankwo T. C., Azubuike N. U., & Uzougbo N. S. (2023). Impact of human resources management on organizational performance: A case study. *Corporate Sustainable Management Journal (CSMJ)*. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.26480/csmj.02.2023.91.102>
- Wang, J., Xue, M., Fan, J., Bentley, Y., & Wang, X. (2023). Does shale gas exploitation contribute to regional sustainable development? Evidence from China. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*.
- Wang, S. L., & Li, D. (2019). Responding to public disclosure of corporate social irresponsibility in host countries: Information control and ownership control. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 50, 1283-1309.
- Waqar, A., Othman, I., Shafiq, N., & Mansoor, M. S. (2024). Evaluating the critical safety factors causing accidents in downstream oil and gas construction projects in Malaysia. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 15(1), 102300.
- Warren, D. E. (2022). "Woke" corporations and the stigmatization of corporate social initiatives. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 32(1), 169-198.
- Yaya, S., Otu, A., & Labonté, R. (2020). Globalisation in the time of COVID-19: repositioning Africa to meet the immediate and remote challenges. *Globalization and Health*, 16, 1-7.
- Zhu, S., Preuss, N., & You, F. (2023). Advancing sustainable development goals with machine learning and optimization for wet waste biomass to renewable energy conversion. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 422, 138606.