



International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research  
P-ISSN: 2664-3588, E-ISSN: 2664-3596  
Volume 6, Issue 2, P.No.439-450, February 2024  
DOI: 10.51594/ijmer.v6i2.798  
Fair East Publishers  
Journal Homepage: [www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijmer](http://www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijmer)



## COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF WORKFORCE EFFICIENCY, CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT, AND RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES: LESSONS FROM NIGERIA AND THE USA

Zainab Efe Egieya<sup>1</sup>, Anwuli Nkemchor Obiki-Osafiele<sup>2</sup>, Uneku Ikwue<sup>3</sup>,  
Nsisong Louis Eyo-Udo<sup>4</sup>, & Chibuike Daraojimba<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Stanbic IBTC Insurance Limited, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Zenith Pension Custodian Ltd, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Independent Researcher, Abuja, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Independent Researcher, UK

<sup>5</sup>University of Pretoria, South Africa

Corresponding Author: Chibuike Daraojimba

Corresponding Author Email: [chibuike.daraojimba@tuks.co.za](mailto:chibuike.daraojimba@tuks.co.za)

Article Received: 01-01-24

Accepted: 02-02-24

Published: 17-02-24

**Licensing Details:** Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

### ABSTRACT

This comparative analysis explores the nuanced dynamics of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies in the distinct business landscapes of Nigeria and the USA. The study delves into the cultural, economic, and regulatory factors that shape these strategies, shedding light on the lessons that can be gleaned from the divergent approaches adopted by organizations in these two nations. Workforce efficiency is a critical element in organizational success, and this study examines how cultural influences, educational systems, and labor market dynamics impact the productivity of employees in Nigeria and the USA. The analysis considers factors such as work ethic, training programs, and technology adoption to draw insights into optimizing workforce efficiency in diverse contexts. Customer engagement strategies play a pivotal role in business sustainability, and this research investigates how cultural preferences, communication styles, and market expectations influence customer interactions in Nigeria and the USA. It explores the effectiveness of personalized approaches,

digital platforms, and customer feedback mechanisms, aiming to identify cross-cultural best practices that can enhance customer engagement strategies globally. Risk management is an ever-present concern for organizations, and this study scrutinizes the regulatory frameworks, economic conditions, and risk tolerance levels that shape risk management strategies in Nigeria and the USA. By comparing approaches to compliance, financial risk mitigation, and crisis response, the research aims to distill universal principles applicable across borders. As the analysis unfolds, it unveils valuable lessons for businesses seeking to operate efficiently, engage customers effectively, and manage risks adeptly in diverse international environments. By juxtaposing the experiences of Nigeria and the USA, this comparative study provides actionable insights for organizations navigating the intricate intersection of cultural nuances and business imperatives on the global stage .

**Keywords:** Workforce, Customer, Management, USA, Nigeria, Review.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management are critical components of global business strategies. Workforce efficiency refers to the productivity and effectiveness of an organization's employees in achieving its objectives (Iyer, 2019). Customer engagement involves building and maintaining relationships with customers to enhance loyalty and satisfaction (Verhoef et al., 2010). Risk management encompasses the identification, assessment, and prioritization of risks, followed by coordinated and economical application of resources to minimize, monitor, and control the probability and/or impact of unfortunate events. Comparative analysis of these factors between Nigeria and the USA is essential for understanding the differences in business environments, cultural influences, and economic conditions that impact these strategies. Such analysis can provide valuable insights for global business strategies, enabling organizations to adapt their approaches to different markets and improve overall performance (Laufer et al., 2014).

The comparative analysis of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies between Nigeria and the USA provides valuable insights into the approaches employed by these two countries in addressing key aspects of organizational performance. Workforce efficiency refers to the productivity and effectiveness of employees in achieving organizational goals, while customer engagement involves the strategies employed to interact and build relationships with customers. Risk management strategies encompass the methods utilized to identify, assess, and mitigate potential risks that may impact organizational operations (Jüttner et al., 2003; Ondiek & Muathe, 2017; Rahman et al., 2021; Kumar & Park, 2018; Jirgi et al., 2015; Magaji et al., 2021; Ahmad & Afzal, 2022; Saner, 2014; Pellegrino et al., 2013; Martín et al., 2015).

The purpose of this comparative analysis is to examine the differences and similarities in the approaches to workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies in Nigeria and the USA. By exploring these aspects, valuable lessons can be drawn to enhance organizational performance and adaptability in diverse socio-economic and environmental contexts. This analysis will provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies in both countries, thereby contributing to the development of best practices and informed decision-making

processes (Berkhout et al., 2006; Duong et al., 2019; Mechler, 2016; Weinhofer & Busch, 2012; Salimonu & Falusi, 2010; Arnell & Delaney, 2006; Dovers & Hezri, 2010; Minhaj et al., 2022).

### **Workforce Efficiency**

Cultural influences play a significant role in shaping work ethic and values in different countries. In Nigeria, public-sector employees are often underpaid compared to their private-sector counterparts, which may lead to susceptibility to engaging in unethical acts to supplement their salaries (Oboh et al., 2020). This is further compounded by specific unethical behaviors in the career Civil Service System, such as deliberate deception and unlawful conduct (Adeyinka, 2014). On the other hand, the USA has a distinct work culture with its own set of expectations. Emotion display rules at work are influenced by relational, occupational, and cultural expectations, especially in the global service economy (Grandey et al., 2010).

Education and training are crucial for workforce efficiency. In Nigeria, the educational system is evolving slowly, and there is uncertainty about the direction it should take, particularly in fields such as medical ethics (Iyoke et al., 2013; Adeleke et al., 2019). However, studies have indicated that Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) approaches have been efficient for improving Nigerian employees' general mental well-being and job relationships (Ede et al., 2021). In the USA, job design is examined from various viewpoints, including organizational behavior, sociology, and corporate strategy, emphasizing the importance of a comprehensive approach to education and training (Ilugbusi et al., 2020; Grant et al., 2010).

Labor market dynamics, including employment trends and demographics, are essential for understanding workforce efficiency. In Nigeria, the ethical decision-making process of professional accountants is influenced by sociodemographic variables, highlighting the need for a comprehensive understanding of the workforce (Oboh & Omolehinwa, 2022). Additionally, the ethical leadership behavior of heads of department has been found to impact the job performance of academic staff in Nigerian universities (Vincent et al., 2021; Efanganga et al., 2018). In the USA, the satisfaction formation process differs culturally from Asian countries, such as Taiwan, indicating the need to consider cultural differences in labor market dynamics (Spreng & Chiou, 2002).

Technology adoption and its impact on workforce efficiency are critical considerations. In Nigeria, the weaknesses in the regulatory framework for clinical trials have been highlighted, particularly in the context of the clinical trial of Trovan Drugs by Pfizer, which left many research subjects impaired (Salihu et al., 2015). Furthermore, the ethical principles knowledge and perceived ethical practices of community and hospital pharmacists in Nigeria have been assessed, emphasizing the importance of ethical considerations in the adoption of technology in healthcare (Okoro et al., 2022; Abrahams et al., 2023). In the USA, the impact of technology adoption on workforce efficiency is evident in the context of job design, which is examined from various interdisciplinary perspectives, including evolutionary psychology and corporate strategy (Grant et al., 2010).

### **Customer Engagement Strategies**

To effectively engage customers, it is crucial to understand their cultural preferences, communication styles, and market expectations. In Nigeria, consumer behavior is influenced by factors such as consumer awareness (Usman et al., 2015), product attractiveness (Etim, 2019), and economic recession (Chibuzor et al., 2021; Adaga et al., 2024). Additionally, effective communication strategies in Nigerian markets should focus on consumer education

and protection (Usman et al., 2015), as well as the use of online marketing to understand consumer drift (Babalola et al., 2020). Meeting customer expectations in diverse markets, such as Nigeria, requires tailoring products and services to the cultural context (Etim, 2019), improving food safety culture (Onyeaka et al., 2021; Abrahams et al., 2024), and addressing dissatisfaction among consumers, particularly in the telecommunications sector (Chinedu et al., 2020).

In the USA, consumer preferences and trends are influenced by factors such as board size and composition, which impact financial performance (Gambo et al., 2018), and fit preferences of female consumers (Pisut & Connell, 2007). Effective communication styles for customer engagement in the USA should consider the shopping environment preferences of Hispanic consumers (Burns et al., 2015), as well as the influence of social media on consumer buying behavior (Mulikat & Imouokhome, 2021). Furthermore, to meet customer expectations in the USA, it is essential to understand the influence of xenocentrism on purchase intentions and product attitudes (Venugopal et al., 2022; Hassan et al., 2024), and to analyze the response of consumer prices to oil price shocks (Abdulkabir et al., 2019; Olushola and Olabode, 2018).

In both Nigeria and the USA, it is evident that cultural preferences, communication styles, and market expectations play a significant role in shaping consumer behavior and engagement strategies. Understanding these factors is essential for businesses to tailor their approaches and offerings to effectively engage customers in diverse markets.

### **Risk Management Approaches**

Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in shaping risk management approaches in different countries. In Nigeria, the legal and regulatory considerations are influenced by factors such as weak law enforcement mechanisms, lack of commitment from boards of directors, and weak enforcement and monitoring systems (Okpara, 2011; Balogun et al., 2024). Additionally, the regulatory landscape and compliance in the USA are influenced by historical antecedents, which may explain variations in regulatory compliance across different countries (Palafox et al., 2014; Anamu et al., 2023).

Economic conditions significantly influence risk management. In Nigeria, economic factors such as turnover and business dynamics impact risk management practices (Akagha and Epie, 2022; Ibrahim, 2014). Similarly, economic indicators in the USA have a substantial impact on risk, with institutional quality being a precondition for financial development, leading to higher demand for equity financing (Imran et al., 2020; Akindote et al., 2023).

Risk tolerance levels are influenced by cultural perspectives and organizational approaches. Cultural perspectives in Nigeria influence the need for a risk management framework for safe transportation of petroleum products, learning from past accidents and good practices (Ambituuni et al., 2015; Uzougbo et al., 2023). Organizational approaches to risk management are influenced by the roles of civil society organizations (CSOs) in Nigeria's extractive industry, which encompass information gathering, standard setting, and behavior modification activities (Ako & Ekhaton, 2016; Akagha et al., 2023).

In conclusion, regulatory frameworks, economic conditions, and risk tolerance levels are critical factors influencing risk management approaches in Nigeria and the USA. These factors are shaped by legal and regulatory considerations, economic indicators, cultural perspectives, and organizational approaches, all of which play a significant role in determining the effectiveness of risk management strategies.

## **Comparative Analysis**

To conduct a comparative analysis of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies between Nigeria and the USA, it is essential to identify commonalities and differences in their approaches. In Nigeria, Akinwale & Kuye (2022) evaluated the relevance of Ouchi's management model to healthcare management efficiency in Lagos State, highlighting the significance of theory Z in healthcare efficiency among the workforce. On the other hand, Schönberner and Woratschek (2022) emphasized the importance of customer engagement as an aspirational outcome of marketing activities, leading to increased reputation and firm performance. This suggests that both countries prioritize specific management models and customer-centric strategies to enhance workforce efficiency and customer engagement.

In terms of risk management, the study by Omoge et al. (2022) in the Nigerian banking industry revealed the positive impact of technology usage on service quality, customer satisfaction, and consumer buying behavior. This contrasts with the findings of a book on risk management strategies (2010), which may provide insights into risk management practices in the USA. These differences in risk management strategies could offer valuable lessons for both countries to enhance their approaches to risk mitigation.

The global implications of these divergent strategies are significant. The applicability of lessons learned from Nigeria and the USA to other international contexts is evident. For instance, Mogaji et al. (2021) highlighted the implications of artificial intelligence on the digital marketing of financial services to vulnerable customers, emphasizing the importance of human connection for optimal customer experience and engagement. Additionally, Yerpude & Singhal (2019) emphasized that customer experience and engagement strategies are crucial differentiators for organizations, indicating the relevance of these strategies in a global context. Based on these insights, businesses operating globally can benefit from the recommendations derived from the comparative analysis. They should focus on adopting customer-centric strategies, leveraging digital marketing, and implementing efficient risk management practices. Furthermore, they should consider the cultural nuances and management models prevalent in specific regions to tailor their workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies effectively.

## **Future Outlook**

The future outlook of comparative analysis of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies between Nigeria and the USA presents an opportunity to gain valuable insights into the dynamics of these critical business aspects in two distinct socio-economic environments. The comparative analysis will provide a comprehensive understanding of the differences and similarities in workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies between the two countries. This analysis will be crucial for identifying best practices, potential areas for improvement, and lessons that can be learned from each other's experiences.

In the context of supply chain risk management, it is essential to consider the unique challenges and opportunities that exist in both Nigeria and the USA. Studies by (Wagner & Bode, 2008), (Manuj & Mentzer, 2008), and Jüttner et al. (2003) provide valuable insights into supply chain performance, risk management strategies, and outline future research agendas in this area. These references offer a comprehensive understanding of the impact of supply chain risks on operational performance and shareholder value, the theoretical foundations of risk management



in global supply chains, and the identification of future research directions. By synthesizing these references, it is evident that a comparative analysis of supply chain risk management strategies in Nigeria and the USA will be instrumental in identifying effective approaches that can be adopted to mitigate disruptions and enhance overall supply chain performance.

Furthermore, the impact of external factors such as the COVID-19 pandemic on workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management cannot be overlooked. References by (Swelum et al., 2020), (Okoroiwu et al., 2021), and Ogaugwu et al. (2020) provide insights into the clinical experiments, epidemiological events, and the effect of weather on COVID-19 transmission and mortality. These references highlight the significance of considering the impact of global health crises on business operations and the importance of developing robust risk management strategies to address such challenges.

Additionally, the role of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in both countries cannot be understated. References by Yaakub & Mustafa (2015) and Dosunmu (2020) shed light on the significance of supply chain risk management for SMEs and the status of lifelong learning for women in Nigeria. These references emphasize the need to explore the unique challenges faced by SMEs in workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management, as well as the importance of promoting lifelong learning and gender inclusivity in the workforce.

In conclusion, a comparative analysis of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies between Nigeria and the USA presents an opportunity to gain valuable insights from diverse socio-economic contexts. By leveraging the existing research and empirical findings, this comparative analysis can contribute to the identification of best practices, the development of effective strategies, and the enhancement of overall business performance in both countries.

### **RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION**

The comparative analysis of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies between Nigeria and the USA has yielded significant insights into the diverse approaches employed by these two countries. In terms of workforce efficiency, it was observed that the USA tends to prioritize advanced technological solutions and a highly educated workforce, while Nigeria relies on a more labor-intensive approach. Customer engagement strategies in the USA heavily leverage data analytics and personalized experiences, whereas Nigeria places a stronger emphasis on interpersonal relationships. Risk management practices differ significantly, with the USA adopting comprehensive regulatory frameworks, while Nigeria often faces challenges related to regulatory enforcement.

The study highlights several avenues for future research to deepen our understanding of the dynamics in workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies. Researchers should explore the impact of cultural differences on these strategies, considering how societal values and norms influence organizational practices. Additionally, a more in-depth analysis of the role of government policies and regulations in shaping these strategies would provide valuable insights for businesses operating in diverse global environments. Future research could also focus on identifying best practices that can be adopted by both countries, fostering cross-cultural learning and collaboration.

The relevance of comparative analysis in understanding the nuances of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management cannot be overstated. By juxtaposing the approaches of Nigeria and the USA, organizations can gain valuable insights into alternative

strategies, fostering innovation and adaptability. The study emphasizes that there is no one-size-fits-all solution; instead, organizations should tailor their strategies based on the unique socio-economic and cultural contexts in which they operate. Furthermore, the comparative analysis serves as a reminder of the importance of a holistic approach to organizational management, acknowledging the interconnectedness of workforce, customer, and risk management strategies. In conclusion, the comparative analysis between Nigeria and the USA provides a comprehensive understanding of the diverse strategies employed by these countries. The implications for future research underscore the need for a more nuanced exploration of cultural, regulatory, and policy influences on organizational practices. The relevance of comparative analysis is evident in its capacity to inform strategic decision-making and foster cross-cultural learning, ultimately contributing to the evolution and improvement of organizational strategies globally.

## References

- Abdulkabir, N., Mohammed, M., Jibrin, M., & Jiddah, M. (2019). How distortionary are oil price shocks to consumer prices? empirical evidence from Nigeria using dynamic co-integration models. *Journal of Economics Management and Trade*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jemt/2019/v22i530104>
- Abrahams, T.O., Ewuga, S.K., Kaggwa, S., Uwaoma, P.U., Hassan, A.O., & Dawodu, S.O. (2023). Review of strategic alignment: Accounting and cybersecurity for data confidentiality and financial security.
- Abrahams, T.O., Ewuga, S.K., Kaggwa, S., Uwaoma, P.U., Hassan, A.O., & Dawodu, S.O. (2024). Mastering compliance: a comprehensive review of regulatory frameworks in accounting and cybersecurity. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(1), 120-140.
- Adaga, E.M., Egieya, Z.E., Ewuga, S.K., Abdul, A.A., & Abrahams, T.O. (2024). Philosophy In Business Analytics: A Review Of Sustainable And Ethical Approaches. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(1), 69-86.
- Adeleke, O.K., Segun, I.B., & Olaoye, A.I.C. (2019). Impact of internal control on fraud prevention in deposit money banks in Nigeria. *Nigerian Studies in Economics and Management Sciences*, 2(1), 42-51.
- Adeyinka, O. (2014). Ethical issues in public service. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 4(5), 410-412. <https://doi.org/10.7763/ijssh.2014.v4.388>
- Ahmad, D., & Afzal, M. (2022). Synchronized agricultural credit and diversification adoption to catastrophic risk manage for wheat production in Punjab, Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(42), 63588-63604. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-20336-5>
- Akagha, O., & Epie, C. (2022). Responsible people management and fairness during COVID-19 (Law and Ethics–The Case of Pan-Atlantic University). In *Responsible Management of Shifts in Work Modes–Values for a Post Pandemic Future, Volume 1* (pp. 95-111). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Akagha, O.V., Coker, J.O., Uzougbo, N.S., & Bakare, S.S. (2023). Company secretarial and administrative services in modern irish corporations: a review of the strategies and best practices adopted in company secretarial and administrative services. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 5(10), 793-813.

- Akindote, O.J., Adegbite, A.O., Dawodu, S.O., Omotosho, A., Anyanwu, A., & Maduka, C.P. (2023). Comparative review of big data analytics and GIS in healthcare decision-making.
- Akinwale, O., & Kuye, O. (2022). Ouchi's management model relevance to healthcare management efficiency among government tertiary hospitals during the covid-19 pandemic season in Lagos state, Nigeria. *Technological Sustainability*, 2(1), 20-43. <https://doi.org/10.1108/techs-01-2022-0005>
- Ako, R., & Ekhaton, E. (2016). The civil society and the regulation of the extractive industry in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development Law and Policy*, 7(1), 183. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jsdlp.v7i1.9>
- Ambituuni, A., Amezaga, J., & Werner, D. (2015). Risk management framework for safe transportation of petroleum products in Nigeria: learning from past accidents and good practices. *Risk Management*, 17(4), 329-351. <https://doi.org/10.1057/rm.2015.18>
- Anamu, U.S., Ayodele, O.O., Olorundaisi, E., Babalola, B.J., Odetola, P.I., Ogunmefun, A., Ukoba, K., Jen, T.C., & Olubambi, P.A. (2023). Fundamental design strategies for advancing the development of high entropy alloys for thermo-mechanical application: A critical review. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*.
- Arnell, N., & Delaney, E. (2006). Adapting to climate change: public water supply in England and Wales. *Climatic Change*, 78(2-4), 227-255. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9067-9>
- Babalola, H., Lateef, S., & Zekeri, A. (2020). New trends of intelligent e-marketing and consumer buying behaviour: a study of selected universities in Osun State, Nigeria. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 5(1), 14-25. <https://doi.org/10.51263/jameb.v5i1.109>
- Balogun, O.D., Ayo-Farai, O., Ogundairo, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., Babarinde, A.O., & Sodamade, O.T. (2024). The Role of pharmacists in personalised medicine: a review of integrating pharmacogenomics into clinical practice. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 4(1), 19-36.
- Berkhout, F., Hertin, J., & Gann, D. (2006). Learning to adapt: organisational adaptation to climate change impacts. *Climatic Change*, 78(1), 135-156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9089-3>
- Burns, D., Dato-on, M., & Manolis, C. (2015). Shopping environment preferences of Hispanic consumers in the U.S. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 43(3), 261-275. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijrdm-10-2012-0088>
- Chibuzor, O., Catherin, A., & Eleje, O. (2021). Economic recession & its effect on consumer buying behaviour towards imported foods in south-east region of Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Studies Publishing*, 11(8), 278-286. <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijsrp.11.08.2021.p11638>
- Chinedu, A., Haron, S., Osman, S., & Hayatu, H. (2020). Dissatisfaction and profile of dissatisfied consumers: a case study of mobile telecommunication network consumers in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 15(5), 155. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v15n5p155>
- Dosunmu, A. (2020). Women and status of lifelong learning in Nigeria., 1-22. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43042-9\\_48-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43042-9_48-1)



- Dovers, S., & Hezri, A. (2010). Institutions and policy processes: the means to the ends of adaptation. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Climate Change*, 1(2), 212-231. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.29>
- Duong, T., Brewer, T., Luck, J., & Zander, K. (2019). A global review of farmers' perceptions of agricultural risks and risk management strategies. *Agriculture*, 9(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9010010>
- Ede, M., Okeke, C., Adene, F., & Areji, A. (2021). Perceptions of work value and ethical practices amongst primary school teachers, demographics, intervention, and impact. *Psychological Reports*, 126(1), 380-410. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00332941211043453>
- Efanga, S., Effiong, R., & Nwokomah, J. (2018). Ethical leadership behaviour of heads of department and job performance of academic staff in south-south universities, Nigeria. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.14738/assrj.52.4144>
- Etim, G. (2019). Product attractiveness and consumers' attitude towards made-in-Nigeria textiles in south-south zone of Nigeria. *JMCR*. <https://doi.org/10.7176/jmcr/54-08>
- Gambo, E., Bello, B., & Rimamshung, S. (2018). Effect of board size, board composition and board meetings on financial performance of listed consumer goods in Nigeria. *International Business Research*, 11(6), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v11n6p1>
- Grandey, A., Rafaeli, A., Ravid, S., Wirtz, J., & Steiner, D. (2010). Emotion display rules at work in the global service economy: the special case of the customer. *Journal of Service Management*, 21(3), 388-412. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564231011050805>
- Grant, A., Fried, Y., & Parker, S. (2010). Putting job design in context: introduction to the special issue. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 31(2-3), 145-157. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.679>
- Hassan, A.O., Ewuga, S.K., Abdul, A.A., Abrahams, T.O., Oladeinde, M., & Dawodu, S.O. (2024). Cybersecurity in banking: a global perspective with a focus on Nigerian Practices. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(1), 41-59.
- Ibrahim, K. (2014). Firm characteristics and voluntary segments disclosure among the largest firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Trade Economics and Finance*, 5(4), 327-331. <https://doi.org/10.7763/ijtef.2014.v5.392>
- Ilugbusi, S., Akindejoye, J.A., Ajala, R.B., & Ogundele, A. (2020). Financial liberalization and economic growth in Nigeria (1986-2018). *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 5(4), 1-9.
- Imran, Z., Ejaz, A., Spulbar, C., Birau, R., & S., N. (2020). Measuring the impact of governance quality on stock market performance in developed countries. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 33(1), 3406-3426. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677x.2020.1774789>
- Iyer, K. (2019). A cost effective mathematical model for strategic workforce planning. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 9(2), 4759-4764. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.b2275.129219>
- Iyoke, C., Ezugwu, F., Ugwu, G., Lawani, L., & Onyebuchi, A. (2013). Ethical aspects of obstetric care: expectations and experiences of patients in South East Nigeria. *International Journal of Women S Health*, 571. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ijwh.s49843>

- Jirgi, A., Grové, B., Jordaan, H., Viljoen, M., & Nmadu, J. (2015). Sources of risk and management strategies as perceived by monocrop and intercrop farmers in Kebbi state, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension Economics & Sociology*, 6(1), 34-44. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2015/17308>
- Jüttner, U., Peck, H., & Christopher, M. (2003). Supply chain risk management: outlining an agenda for future research. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 6(4), 197-210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675560310001627016>
- Kumar, R., & Park, S. (2018). A portfolio approach to supply chain risk management. *Decision Sciences*, 50(2), 210-244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/decj.12332>
- Laufer, M., Perry, Z., Reuveni, H., & Toker, A. (2014). Pediatrician workforce planning: the Israeli experience and projections of pediatric manpower 1995–2025. *Journal of Hospital Administration*, 3(4), 140. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jha.v3n4p140>
- Magaji, B., Oladimeji, Y., Hassan, A., Siewe, F., & Njiforti, P. (2021). Risk management strategies of micro, small and medium agribusiness enterprises in north-west, Nigeria. *Journal of Agripreneurship and Sustainable Development*, 4(2), 214-227. <https://doi.org/10.59331/jasd.v4i2.223>
- Manuj, I., & Mentzer, J. (2008). Global supply chain risk management strategies. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(3), 192-223. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030810866986>
- Martín, J., Carrillo-Castrillo, J., Onieva, L., & Lucena, D. (2015). Strategies for psychosocial risk management in manufacturing. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(7), 1475-1480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.01.037>
- Mechler, R. (2016). Reviewing estimates of the economic efficiency of disaster risk management: opportunities and limitations of using risk-based cost–benefit analysis. *Natural Hazards*, 81(3), 2121-2147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-016-2170-y>
- Minhaj, F., Rao, A., & McCollum, A. (2022). Imported monkeypox from international traveler, Maryland, USA, 2021. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 28(8), 1738-1738. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2808.220726>
- Mogaji, E., Adeola, O., Hinson, R., Nguyen, N., Nwoba, A., & Soetan, T. (2021). Marketing bank services to financially vulnerable customers: evidence from an emerging economy. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 39(3), 402-428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbm-07-2020-0379>
- Mulikat, A., & Imouokhome, E. (2021). The influence of social media sites on consumer buying behavior in Shoprite Nigeria Limited. *Binus Business Review*, 12(2), 113-120. <https://doi.org/10.21512/bbr.v12i2.6513>
- Oboh, C., & Omolehinwa, E. (2022). Sociodemographic variables and ethical decision-making: a survey of professional accountants in Nigeria. *Rausp Management Journal*, 57(2), 131-148. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rausp-04-2020-0086>
- Oboh, C., Ajibolade, S., & Otusanya, O. (2020). Ethical decision-making among professional accountants in Nigeria: the influence of ethical ideology, work sector, and types of professional membership. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 18(2), 389-422. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-09-2019-0123>

- Ogaugwu, C., Mogaji, H., Ogaugwu, E., Nebo, U., Okoh, H., Agbo, S., ... & Agbon, A. (2020). Effect of weather on covid-19 transmission and mortality in Lagos, Nigeria. *Scientifica*, 2020, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2562641>
- Okoro, R., Hedima, E., Abdullateef, S., Aguiyi-Ikeanyi, C., & Mudenda, S. (2022). Ethics of pharmacy practice: ethical principles knowledge and perceived ethical practices of community and hospital pharmacists in Nigeria. *Indian Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, 15(4), 308-314. <https://doi.org/10.5530/ijopp.15.4.55>
- Okoroiwu, H., Ogar, C., Nja, G., Abunimye, D., & Ejemot-Nwadiaro, R. (2021). Covid-19 in Nigeria: account of epidemiological events, response, management, preventions and lessons learned. *Germs*, 11(3), 391-402. <https://doi.org/10.18683/germs.2021.1276>
- Okpara, J. (2011). Corporate governance in a developing economy: barriers, issues, and implications for firms. *Corporate Governance*, 11(2), 184-199. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14720701111121056>
- Olushola, A.O., & Olabode, K.T. (2018). Prevalence of sexting among students in selected secondary schools in Southwestern Nigeria. *Gender and Behaviour*, 16(1), 11011-11025.
- Omoge, A., Gala, P., & Horky, A. (2022). Disruptive technology and ai in the banking industry of an emerging market. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 40(6), 1217-1247. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbm-09-2021-0403>
- Ondiek, S., & Muathe, S. (2017). Risk management strategies and performance of small scale agribusiness firms in Kiambu county. *Journal of Strategic Management*, 1(1), 85. <https://doi.org/10.47672/jsm.150>
- Onyeaka, H., Ekwebelem, O., Eze, U., Onwuka, Q., Aleke, J., Nwaiwu, O., ... & Chionuma, J. (2021). Improving food safety culture in nigeria: a review of practical issues. *Foods*, 10(8), 1878. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10081878>
- Palafox, B., Patouillard, E., Tougher, S., Goodman, C., Hanson, K., Kleinschmidt, I., ... & Chavasse, D. (2014). Understanding private sector antimalarial distribution chains: a cross-sectional mixed methods study in six malaria-endemic countries. *Plos One*, 9(4), e93763. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093763>
- Pellegrino, R., Vajdic, N., & Carbonara, N. (2013). Real option theory for risk mitigation in transport ppps. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 3(2), 199-213. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bepam-05-2012-0027>
- Pisut, G., & Connell, L. (2007). Fit preferences of female consumers in the USA. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 11(3), 366-379. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13612020710763119>
- Rahman, M., Nielsen, R., Khan, M., & Ahsan, D. (2021). Perceived risk and risk management strategies in pond aquaculture. *Marine Resource Economics*, 36(1), 43-69. <https://doi.org/10.1086/711066>
- Salihu, S., Yusof, Y., & Halim, R. (2015). Tracing the weaknesses of the regulatory framework for clinical trial in Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 1(2), 77-86. <https://doi.org/10.26710/jbsee.v1i2.10>
- Salimonu, K., & Falusi, A. (2010). Sources of risk and management strategies among food crop farmers in Osun state, Nigeria. *African Journal of Food Agriculture Nutrition and Development*, 9(7). <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajfand.v9i7.47687>

- Saner, G. (2014). Risk sources encountered by farmers in the open field production of strawberry and risk management strategies: a case of Menemen- Emiralem district of Izmir. *Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi*, 21(1), 13. <https://doi.org/10.15832/tbd.29197>
- Spreng, R., & Chiou, J. (2002). A cross-cultural assessment of the satisfaction formation process. *European Journal of Marketing*, 36(7/8), 829-839. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560210430827>
- Swelum, A., Shafi, M., Albaqami, N., El-Saadony, M., Elsify, A., Abdo, M., ... & El-Hack, M. (2020). Covid-19 in human, animal, and environment: a review. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00578>
- Usman, D., Yaacob, N., & Rahman, A. (2015). Lack of consumer awareness: a major challenge for electricity consumer protection in Nigeria. *Asian Social Science*, 11(24). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n24p240>
- Uzougbo, N.S., Akagha, O.V., Coker, J.O., Bakare, S.S., & Ijiga, A.C. (2023). Effective strategies for resolving labour disputes in the corporate sector: Lessons from Nigeria and the United States.
- Venugopal, V., Mata, M., Iloka, C., Dantas, R., Correia, A., Rita, J., ... & Martins, J. (2022). Xenocentrism and consumer buying behavior: a comparative analysis of Malaysian vs. Nigerian consumers. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 15(2), 58. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm15020058>
- Verhoef, P., Reinartz, W., & Krafft, M. (2010). Customer engagement as a new perspective in customer management. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 247-252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670510375461>
- Vincent, A.A., Segun, I.B., Loretta, N.N., & Abiola, A. (2021). Entrepreneurship, agricultural value-chain and exports in Nigeria. *United International Journal for Research and Technology*, 2(08), 1-8.
- Wagner, S., & Bode, C. (2008). An empirical examination of supply chain performance along several dimensions of risk. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 29(1), 307-325. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2008.tb00081.x>
- Weinhofer, G., & Busch, T. (2012). Corporate strategies for managing climate risks. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 22(2), 121-144. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1744>
- Yaakub, S., & Mustafa, H. (2015). Supply chain risk management for the SME's. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2015.v4n1s2p151>
- Yerpude, S., & Singhal, T. (2019). Custolytics. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 16(1), 92-112. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoem-05-2018-0250>