



OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences

P-ISSN: 2706-9176, E-ISSN: 2706-9184

Volume 6, Issue 3, P.No. 409-420, March 2024

DOI: 10.51594/ijarss.v6i3.966

Fair East Publishers

Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijarss



SOCIOECONOMIC DETERMINANTS OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE USE: A REVIEW AND CONCEPTUAL SOLUTIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY

Chinyere Assumpta Onyenwe¹, Chinyere Onwumere², & Ifeoma Pamela Odilibe³

¹Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

²Abia State University Teaching Hospital, Aba. (ABSUTH), Nigeria

³Independent Researcher, Houston, Texas, USA.

Corresponding Author: Chinyere Assumpta Onyenwe

Corresponding Author Email: chinweiwuanyanwu@yahoo.com

Article Received: 10-01-24

Accepted: 02-03-24

Published: 27-03-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

The review provides a concise summary of the outlined paper on Socioeconomic Determinants of Mental Health and Substance Use, focusing on a review of relevant factors and proposing conceptual solutions for public health policy. This paper examines the intricate relationship between socioeconomic determinants and mental health, as well as substance use disorders, emphasizing their significant impact on individuals' well-being and the broader public health landscape. Through a comprehensive review, it elucidates how factors such as income, education, employment, and housing conditions shape patterns of mental health outcomes and substance use behaviors. The analysis underscores the pervasive influence of socioeconomic disparities, highlighting the disproportionate burden borne by marginalized communities. Low income, limited educational opportunities, unstable employment, and inadequate housing are identified as critical determinants exacerbating mental health issues and fostering substance use vulnerabilities.

Furthermore, the paper offers conceptual solutions for public health policy aimed at addressing these socioeconomic determinants. It advocates for multifaceted approaches that target systemic inequalities, including income support programs, accessible education initiatives, strengthened mental health services, and comprehensive substance use prevention and treatment strategies. Emphasizing the importance of supportive housing environments, the proposed solutions prioritize holistic interventions that address the root causes of mental health disparities and substance use challenges. This paper underscores the imperative for policymakers to prioritize socioeconomic equity in crafting effective public health policies. By addressing the underlying determinants outlined herein, policymakers can foster a more inclusive and resilient society, where individuals have equitable access to resources and opportunities for optimal mental health and well-being.

Keywords: Socioeconomic, Mental Health, Substance Use, Public Health, Policy, Review.

INTRODUCTION

Mental health and substance use disorders represent significant public health challenges, with far-reaching consequences for individuals, families, and communities worldwide (Sowers, et al., 2019; World Health Organization, 2001). Mental health disorders, encompassing conditions such as depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia, affect millions of individuals globally, contributing to disability, reduced quality of life, and increased mortality rates (Gureje, and Oladeji, 2008). Similarly, substance use disorders, including addiction to drugs and alcohol, pose substantial health risks, leading to physical and psychological harm and placing burdens on healthcare systems (Charlson, et al., 2015; Van Boekel, et al., 2013; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2016).

Amidst the multifaceted nature of these disorders, socioeconomic determinants play a pivotal role in shaping patterns of mental health and substance use. Socioeconomic factors, such as income, education, employment status, and housing conditions, exert profound influences on individuals' vulnerability to mental health issues and substance misuse (Compton, and Shim, 2015; Martin-Carrasco, et al., 2016). Disparities in socioeconomic status exacerbate inequalities in access to resources, opportunities, and support systems, thereby amplifying the risk of experiencing mental health disorders and engaging in substance use behaviors (Mezzina, et al., 2022).

Recognizing the critical interplay between socioeconomic factors and mental health outcomes, this review aims to explore the complex relationship between socioeconomic determinants and mental health/substance use disorders (Caroppo, et al., 2023; Kirkbride, et al., 2024;). By examining existing literature and empirical evidence, the review seeks to elucidate the mechanisms through which socioeconomic inequalities contribute to disparities in mental health and substance use patterns. Moreover, this review goes beyond mere elucidation by proposing conceptual solutions for public health policy. By identifying key socioeconomic determinants and their impact on mental health and substance use, this review aims to inform the development of targeted interventions and policy initiatives aimed at addressing root causes and promoting equitable access to resources and support systems. Ultimately, the overarching goal is to contribute to the formulation of comprehensive public health strategies that prioritize socioeconomic equity and foster mental health and well-being for all individuals and communities (Weinberger, et al., 2022).

Socioeconomic Determinants of Mental Health

Income and socioeconomic status (SES) are fundamental determinants of mental health outcomes, exerting significant influence on individuals' well-being and susceptibility to mental health disorders (Navarro-Carrillo, et al., 2020; Santiago, et al., 2011). Poverty is strongly associated with adverse mental health outcomes. Individuals experiencing poverty are more likely to develop depression, anxiety, and other mental health disorders due to chronic stress, social isolation, and limited access to resources. Economic hardships can exacerbate existing mental health conditions and contribute to a cycle of poverty and poor mental health outcomes. Research indicates that individuals living below the poverty line are at higher risk of experiencing mental health problems compared to those with higher incomes. The stress of financial instability, inability to afford basic necessities, and limited access to mental health services further compound the mental health burden among impoverished populations ((Lund, 2012; Patel, et al., 2003).

Socioeconomic disparities also extend to access to mental health services. Individuals from lower-income households face barriers such as lack of insurance coverage, transportation issues, and stigma, which hinder their ability to seek and receive appropriate mental health care. Limited financial resources may restrict access to therapy, medication, and other essential treatments, exacerbating mental health disparities. Consequently, individuals with higher incomes are more likely to access timely and quality mental health care, leading to better treatment outcomes and overall mental well-being. Addressing income-based disparities in access to mental health services is crucial for reducing the burden of mental illness among marginalized communities (Kim, et al., 2018; Villatoro, et al., 2018).

Education plays a critical role in shaping mental health outcomes, with educational attainment serving as a key socioeconomic determinant (Zimmerman, et al., 2015; Braveman, et al., 2011). Higher levels of education are associated with better mental health outcomes. Individuals with higher educational attainment tend to have lower rates of depression, anxiety, and other mental health disorders compared to those with lower levels of education. Education provides individuals with cognitive skills, problem-solving abilities, and resilience, which are protective factors against mental illness (Morrison, et al., 2006).

Additionally, higher education levels are linked to increased socioeconomic opportunities, including access to stable employment, higher income, and better living conditions, all of which contribute to improved mental well-being. Educational opportunities also influence substance use patterns. Research suggests that individuals with lower levels of education are more susceptible to engaging in substance misuse compared to their more educated counterparts. Limited access to educational resources and opportunities may contribute to feelings of hopelessness, social marginalization, and peer pressure, increasing the likelihood of substance use as a coping mechanism. Conversely, individuals with higher levels of education may possess greater knowledge about the risks of substance abuse and have access to supportive social networks and resources that discourage substance use (Lander, et al., 2013; Griffin, and Botvin, 2010).

Employment status and job security significantly impact mental health outcomes, with unemployment and precarious employment posing substantial risks. Unemployment is associated with increased rates of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation. Job loss can lead to financial strain, loss of social identity, and feelings of worthlessness, all of which contribute to poor mental

health outcomes. Long-term unemployment may also erode individuals' self-esteem, exacerbate existing mental health conditions, and hinder their ability to reintegrate into the workforce (Rönblad, et al., 2019; Llosa, et al., 2019; Green, F., 2011).

Moreover, unemployed individuals often face stigma and discrimination, which further compounds their mental health challenges and impedes their efforts to secure employment (Subramaniam, et al., 2022; Stuart, 2004; World Health Organization, 2022.). Precarious employment, characterized by job insecurity, low wages, and lack of benefits, is associated with increased risk of substance use. The stress and instability of precarious work environments can lead to coping mechanisms such as substance use as individuals seek to alleviate stress and manage emotional distress. Additionally, job insecurity may hinder individuals' access to healthcare and mental health services, further exacerbating mental health and substance use issues (Rönblad, et al., 2019; Gunn, et al., 2022; Babatunde, et al., 2021).

Housing stability and neighborhood environment play critical roles in shaping mental health outcomes and substance use behaviors. Housing instability, including homelessness and inadequate housing conditions, is strongly associated with poor mental health outcomes. Individuals experiencing housing instability often face chronic stress, exposure to violence, and lack of social support, all of which contribute to increased rates of mental health disorders such as depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

Moreover, homelessness and housing insecurity can impede individuals' access to healthcare and mental health services, exacerbating mental health disparities among marginalized populations (Suglia, et al., 2011; Singh, et al., 2019; Lukong, et al., 2019).

Neighborhood characteristics, such as poverty concentration, crime rates, and availability of substance outlets, influence substance use behaviors. Individuals residing in neighborhoods with high levels of poverty and crime may be more likely to engage in substance use as a coping mechanism or as a means of socializing with peers. Additionally, limited access to recreational facilities, social services, and supportive networks in disadvantaged neighborhoods may contribute to heightened substance use vulnerability among residents (Kadushin, et al., 1998; Rudolph, et al., 2018; Gardner, et al., 2010).

In conclusion, socioeconomic determinants such as income, education, employment, and housing conditions exert profound influences on mental health outcomes and substance use behaviors. Addressing socioeconomic inequalities and implementing targeted interventions to improve access to resources, support systems, and mental health services are essential for mitigating the impact of these determinants and promoting mental well-being for all individuals and communities.

Socioeconomic Determinants of Substance Use

Substance use behaviors are intricately linked to socioeconomic factors, with economic status, education, employment, and housing conditions playing significant roles in shaping individuals' susceptibility to substance misuse (Stephenson, et al., 2023; Karriker-Jaffe, 2013; et al., Kunene, et al., 2022).

Economic factors exert considerable influence on substance use behaviors, impacting both access to substances and patterns of consumption. The cost of substances, such as alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drugs, presents a barrier to access for individuals with lower incomes. Socioeconomic disparities in purchasing power limit the ability of economically disadvantaged individuals to

afford substances, leading to differential rates of substance use across socioeconomic strata. Higher-income individuals may have greater financial resources to afford substances, whereas lower-income individuals may face challenges in accessing and affording substances, contributing to disparities in substance use prevalence.

Economic hardship and financial stress can drive individuals to use substances as a coping mechanism. Individuals facing financial strain may turn to substances such as alcohol or drugs to alleviate stress, escape from reality, or cope with negative emotions. Substance use as a means of self-medication for financial stress can perpetuate a cycle of substance dependence and exacerbate socioeconomic challenges, further deepening the impact of economic factors on substance use behaviors (Goodman, and Huang, 2002; Ukoba, et al. 2018).

Education level and peer influence play significant roles in shaping individuals' susceptibility to substance use. Education level is inversely associated with susceptibility to peer pressure related to substance use. Individuals with lower levels of education may be more susceptible to peer influence and social norms endorsing substance use, leading to higher rates of initiation and experimentation with substances. Conversely, individuals with higher levels of education may possess greater critical thinking skills and awareness of the risks associated with substance use, making them less susceptible to peer pressure. Social networks and peer groups significantly influence substance use behaviors. Individuals who associate with peers who engage in substance use are more likely to adopt similar behaviors themselves. Social networks characterized by norms endorsing substance use can facilitate access to substances, normalize use, and reinforce patterns of consumption. Additionally, social isolation and lack of supportive social networks may exacerbate substance use vulnerability among individuals with limited social ties (De La Rosa, et al., 2018).

Employment status and workplace factors influence individuals' propensity for substance use and misuse. Work-related stressors, such as job demands, low job control, and workplace conflicts, can contribute to substance abuse among employees. Individuals facing high levels of workplace stress may turn to substances as a coping mechanism to alleviate stress and regulate emotions. Substance use as a maladaptive coping strategy in response to workplace stressors can impair job performance, exacerbate interpersonal conflicts, and jeopardize occupational functioning. Substance use can have detrimental effects on job performance and employment stability. Individuals struggling with substance abuse may experience absenteeism, tardiness, and impaired productivity at work, jeopardizing their job security and prospects for career advancement. Substance-related impairment in job performance can lead to disciplinary actions, termination, and economic instability, further exacerbating socioeconomic challenges (Frone, 2008; Biron, et al., 2011).

Housing instability and living environment play significant roles in shaping patterns of substance use and misuse.

Homelessness is strongly associated with substance use disorders. Individuals experiencing homelessness face numerous stressors, including exposure to environmental risks, social marginalization, and lack of access to healthcare and supportive services. Substance use may serve as a coping mechanism for the challenges associated with homelessness, exacerbating substance use disorders and perpetuating cycles of poverty and substance dependence. Living environment,

including neighborhood characteristics and housing conditions, significantly influences substance use patterns. Neighborhoods characterized by high levels of poverty, crime, and social disorganization may foster environments conducive to substance use and distribution. Additionally, inadequate housing conditions, such as overcrowding and lack of stability, can contribute to substance use vulnerability among residents. Conversely, access to stable housing and supportive environments can serve as protective factors against substance use and promote recovery among individuals with substance use disorders (Thompson, et al., 2013; McVicar, et al., 2015; Stringfellow, et al., 2016).

In conclusion, socioeconomic determinants significantly influence substance use behaviors, shaping access to substances, patterns of consumption, and vulnerability to substance misuse. Addressing socioeconomic inequalities and implementing targeted interventions that address economic disparities, promote education and positive peer influences, create supportive workplaces, and improve housing stability are essential for mitigating the impact of socioeconomic factors on substance use and promoting healthier communities.

Conceptual Solutions for Public Health Policy

Implementing income support programs, such as cash transfers, social assistance, and earned income tax credits, can help alleviate poverty and reduce socioeconomic inequalities. These programs provide financial assistance to low-income individuals and families, enabling them to meet their basic needs, access healthcare services, and pursue opportunities for economic mobility (Stringfellow, et al., 2016; Hendriks, et al., 2013).

Investing in accessible education and vocational training initiatives is essential for promoting socioeconomic mobility and addressing disparities in educational attainment. Providing equitable access to quality education, including early childhood education, K-12 schooling, and higher education, ensures that all individuals have the opportunity to acquire the knowledge and skills necessary for success in the workforce. Additionally, vocational training programs and apprenticeships can equip individuals with marketable skills and facilitate entry into stable and well-paying employment.

Allocating adequate funding to mental health programs is crucial for expanding access to mental health services and addressing unmet mental health needs. Increased funding can support the development and implementation of community-based mental health services, crisis intervention programs, and culturally competent care initiatives. Additionally, investing in research and innovation in mental health care delivery can enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of services (Mechanic, 2014; Garfield, et al., 2010).

Integrating mental health services into primary care and community settings facilitates early detection, intervention, and treatment of mental health disorders. Collaborative care models, where mental health professionals work alongside primary care providers, can improve coordination of care and ensure that individuals receive holistic and comprehensive support. Furthermore, expanding access to mental health services in community settings, such as schools, workplaces, and faith-based organizations, reduces barriers to care and promotes mental health awareness and literacy.

Implementing targeted interventions for at-risk populations, such as adolescents, young adults, and marginalized communities, is critical for preventing substance use initiation and reducing

substance-related harm. Prevention efforts may include school-based prevention programs, community outreach initiatives, and targeted messaging campaigns that address risk factors and promote protective factors against substance use. Additionally, early intervention and screening programs can identify individuals at risk of developing substance use disorders and connect them with appropriate support services. Emphasizing rehabilitation and harm reduction strategies is essential for addressing substance use disorders and mitigating the negative consequences of substance misuse. Providing access to evidence-based treatment modalities, such as medication-assisted treatment, counseling, and support groups, promotes recovery and reduces relapse rates among individuals with substance use disorders. Additionally, implementing harm reduction measures, such as needle exchange programs, supervised consumption sites, and overdose prevention education, reduces the incidence of substance-related harm and enhances community safety (Wallace, et al., 2018; Ethun, 2016).

Implementing affordable housing initiatives, such as subsidized housing, rent assistance programs, and supportive housing vouchers, increases access to stable and affordable housing for individuals and families experiencing homelessness or housing instability. Affordable housing ensures that individuals have a safe and secure place to live, which is foundational to overall health and well-being. Adopting supportive housing models that provide integrated services, including mental health care, substance use treatment, case management, and social services, promotes housing stability and improves health outcomes among vulnerable populations. Supportive housing programs offer wraparound services tailored to individuals' needs, addressing the underlying factors contributing to homelessness and facilitating pathways to independence and self-sufficiency.

In conclusion, conceptual solutions for public health policy aimed at addressing socioeconomic determinants, strengthening mental health services, implementing substance use prevention and treatment programs, and creating supportive housing environments are essential for promoting health equity and improving population health outcomes. By prioritizing these interventions, policymakers can address the root causes of health disparities and build more resilient and inclusive communities.

Future Outlook

As we look to the future, it is imperative to recognize the evolving landscape of mental health and substance use, and the continued importance of addressing socioeconomic determinants in these areas. The intersectionality of socioeconomic factors with mental health and substance use underscores the need for comprehensive and integrated approaches in research, policy, and practice (Fiszbein, and Schady, 2009; Hanna, and Olken, 2018).

Advancements in technology and data analytics offer opportunities for more targeted interventions and personalized approaches to addressing mental health and substance use disorders. Additionally, greater emphasis on community-based interventions and peer support networks can foster resilience and promote well-being among vulnerable populations. Collaborative efforts between government agencies, healthcare providers, community organizations, and other stakeholders will be essential in driving progress and achieving meaningful outcomes in mental health and substance use prevention, treatment, and recovery (Mohr, et al., 2013; Budney, et al., 2019).

RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION

The preceding discussion underscores the critical role of socioeconomic determinants in shaping mental health outcomes and substance use behaviors. From income and education to employment and housing, socioeconomic factors exert profound influences on individuals' vulnerability to mental health disorders and substance misuse. Addressing socioeconomic inequalities is essential for promoting health equity and improving population health outcomes.

Policymakers must prioritize addressing socioeconomic inequalities as a fundamental component of public health policy. This entails implementing policies and programs that aim to reduce poverty, expand access to education and employment opportunities, and create supportive environments that foster mental health and well-being. By addressing the root causes of socioeconomic disparities, policymakers can mitigate the impact of these factors on mental health and substance use and promote equitable access to resources and support systems for all individuals and communities.

Future research and policy development efforts should focus on advancing our understanding of the complex interplay between socioeconomic determinants, mental health, and substance use. This includes conducting longitudinal studies to elucidate the pathways through which socioeconomic factors influence mental health outcomes and substance use behaviors, as well as evaluating the effectiveness of interventions aimed at addressing socioeconomic disparities. Additionally, there is a need for greater collaboration and knowledge-sharing across disciplines and sectors to inform evidence-based policy and practice and drive meaningful change in promoting mental health and preventing substance misuse.

In conclusion, addressing socioeconomic determinants is integral to improving mental health and reducing substance use-related harm. By prioritizing socioeconomic equity and implementing targeted interventions, policymakers can create more resilient and inclusive societies where all individuals have the opportunity to thrive.

Reference

- Babatunde, F.O., Omotayo, A.B., Oluwole, O.I., & Ukoba, K., 2021, April. A Review on Waste-wood Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composites for Sustainable Development. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 1107, No. 1, p. 012057). IOP Publishing
- Biron, M., Bamberger, P.A., & Noyman, T. (2011). Work-related risk factors and employee substance use: Insights from a sample of Israeli blue-collar workers. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 16*(2), 247.
- Braveman, P., Egerter, S., & Williams, D.R. (2011). The social determinants of health: coming of age. *Annual Review of Public Health, 32*, 381-398.
- Budney, A.J., Borodovsky, J.T., Marsch, L.A., & Lord, S.E. (2019). Technological innovations in addiction treatment. In *The Assessment and treatment of addiction* (pp. 75-90). Elsevier.
- Caroppo, E., Sapienza, M., Mazza, M., Sannella, A., Cecchi, R., Marano, G., Kondo, T., Calabrese, C., & De Lellis, P. (2023). Unveiling the dark nexus: a systematic review on the interplay of mental health, substance abuse, and socio-cultural factors in femicide. *Legal Medicine, 102334*.

- Charlson, F.J., Baxter, A.J., Dua, T., Degenhardt, L., Whiteford, H.A., & Vos, T. (2015). Excess mortality from mental, neurological and substance use disorders in the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *24*(2), 121-140.
- Compton, M.T., & Shim, R.S. (2015). The social determinants of mental health. *Focus*, *13*(4), 419-425.
- De La Rosa, M., Huang, H., Brook, J.S., Sanchez, M., Rojas, P., Kanamori, M., Cano, M.Á., & Martinez, M. (2018). Sociocultural determinants of substance misuse among adult Latinas of Caribbean and South and Central American descent: A longitudinal study of a community-based sample. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, *17*(3), 303-323.
- Ethun, L.C. (2016). Harm reduction interventions to reduce the burden of opioid overdose: a comprehensive literature review of naloxone distribution programs and safe injection facilities.
- Fiszbein, A., & Schady, N.R. (2009). *Conditional cash transfers: reducing present and future poverty*. World Bank Publications.
- Frone, M.R. (2008). Are work stressors related to employee substance use? The importance of temporal context assessments of alcohol and illicit drug use. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *93*(1), 199.
- Gardner, M., Barajas, R.G., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2010). Neighborhood influences on substance use etiology: Is where you live important?.
- Garfield, R.L., Lave, J.R., & Donohue, J.M. (2010). Health reform and the scope of benefits for mental health and substance use disorder services. *Psychiatric Services*, *61*(11), 1081-1086.
- Goodman, E., & Huang, B. (2002). Socioeconomic status, depressive symptoms, and adolescent substance use. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, *156*(5), 448-453.
- Green, F. (2011). Unpacking the misery multiplier: How employability modifies the impacts of unemployment and job insecurity on life satisfaction and mental health. *Journal of Health Economics*, *30*(2), 265-276.
- Griffin, K.W., & Botvin, G.J. (2010). Evidence-based interventions for preventing substance use disorders in adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics*, *19*(3), 505-526.
- Gunn, V., Kreshpaj, B., Matilla-Santander, N., Vignola, E.F., Wegman, D.H., Hogstedt, C., Ahonen, E.Q., Bodin, T., Orellana, C., Baron, S., & Muntaner, C. (2022). Initiatives addressing precarious employment and its effects on workers' health and well-being: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(4), 2232.
- Gureje, O., & Oladeji, B., 2008. Mental health, morbidity and impact.
- Hanna, R., & Olken, B.A. (2018). Universal basic incomes versus targeted transfers: Anti-poverty programs in developing countries. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *32*(4), 201-226.
- Hendriks, A.M., Jansen, M.W., Gubbels, J.S., De Vries, N.K., Paulussen, T., & Kremers, S.P. (2013). Proposing a conceptual framework for integrated local public health policy, applied to childhood obesity-the behavior change

- Kadushin, C., Reber, E., Saxe, L., & Livert, D. (1998). The substance use system: social and neighborhood environments associated with substance use and misuse. *Substance use & misuse*, 33(8), 1681-1710.
- Karriker-Jaffe, K.J. (2013). Neighborhood socioeconomic status and substance use by US adults. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 133(1), 212-221.
- Kim, M., Garcia, A.R., Yang, S., & Jung, N. (2018). Area-socioeconomic disparities in mental health service use among children involved in the child welfare system. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 82, 59-71.
- Kirkbride, J.B., Anglin, D.M., Colman, I., Dykxhoorn, J., Jones, P.B., Patalay, P., Pitman, A., Sonesson, E., Steare, T., Wright, T., & Griffiths, S.L. (2024). The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations. *World Psychiatry*, 23(1), p.58.
- Kunene, T.J., Tartibu, L.K., Karimzadeh, S., Oviroh, P.O., Ukoba, K., & Jen, T.C. (2022). Molecular Dynamics of Atomic Layer Deposition: Sticking Coefficient Investigation. *Applied Sciences*, 12(4), 2188.
- Lander, L., Howsare, J., & Byrne, M. (2013). The impact of substance use disorders on families and children: from theory to practice. *Social work in public health*, 28(3-4), 194-205.
- Llosa, J.A., Menéndez-Espina, S., Agulló-Tomás, E., & Rodríguez-Suárez, J. (2018). Job insecurity and mental health: A meta-analytical review of the consequences of precarious work in clinical disorders.
- Lukong, V.T., Ukoba, K., Yoro, K.O., & Jen, T.C. (2022). Annealing temperature variation and its influence on the self-cleaning properties of TiO₂ thin films. *Heliyon*, 8(5).
- Lund, C. (2012). Poverty and mental health: a review of practice and policies. *Neuropsychiatry*, 2(3), 213.
- Martin-Carrasco, M., Evans-Lacko, S., Dom, G., Christodoulou, N.G., Samochowiec, J., González-Fraile, E., Bienkowski, P., Gómez-Beneyto, M., Dos Santos, M.H., & Wasserman, D. (2016). EPA guidance on mental health and economic crises in Europe. *European Archives of Psychiatry And Clinical Neuroscience*, 266, 89-124.
- McVicar, D., Moschion, J., & Van Ours, J.C. (2015). From substance use to homelessness or vice versa?. *Social Science & Medicine*, 136, 89-98.
- Mechanic, D. (2014). More people than ever before are receiving behavioral health care in the United States, but gaps and challenges remain. *Health Affairs*, 33(8), 1416-1424.
- Mezzina, R., Gopikumar, V., Jenkins, J., Saraceno, B., & Sashidharan, S.P. (2022). Social vulnerability and mental health inequalities in the “Syndemic”: Call for action. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 894370.
- Mohr, D.C., Burns, M.N., Schueller, S.M., Clarke, G., & Klinkman, M. (2013). Behavioral intervention technologies: evidence review and recommendations for future research in mental health. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 35(4), 332-338.
- Morrison, G.M., Brown, M., D'Incau, B., O'Farrell, S.L., & Furlong, M.J., 2006. Understanding resilience in educational trajectories: Implications for protective possibilities. *Psychology in the Schools*, 43(1), 19-31.

- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2016. *Ending discrimination against people with mental and substance use disorders: The evidence for stigma change*. National Academies Press.
- Navarro-Carrillo, G., Alonso-Ferres, M., Moya, M., & Valor-Segura, I. (2020). Socioeconomic status and psychological well-being: Revisiting the role of subjective socioeconomic status. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 1303.
- Patel, V., & Kleinman, A., 2003. Poverty and common mental disorders in developing countries. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 81*, 609-615.
- Rönblad, T., Grönholm, E., Jonsson, J., Koranyi, I., Orellana, C., Kreshpaj, B., Chen, L., Stockfelt, L., & Bodin, T. (2019). Precarious employment and mental health. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, 45*(5), 429-443.
- Rudolph, K.E., Sofrygin, O., Schmidt, N.M., Crowder, R., Glymour, M.M., Ahern, J., & Osypuk, T.L. (2018). Mediation of neighborhood effects on adolescent substance use by the school and peer environments. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.), 29*(4), 590.
- Santiago, C.D., Wadsworth, M.E., & Stump, J. (2011). Socioeconomic status, neighborhood disadvantage, and poverty-related stress: Prospective effects on psychological syndromes among diverse low-income families. *Journal of Economic Psychology, 32*(2), 218-230.
- Singh, A., Daniel, L., Baker, E., & Bentley, R. (2019). Housing disadvantage and poor mental health: a systematic review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 57*(2), 262-272.
- Sowers, K.M., Dulmus, C.N., & Linn, B.K. (2019). Mental Illness: Worldwide. In *Encyclopedia of Social Work*.
- Stephenson, L., Van Den Heuvel, C., & Byard, R.W. (2023). Socioeconomic and psychosocial determinants of substance misuse—a national perspective. *Forensic Science, Medicine and Pathology, 1-10*.
- Stringfellow, E.J., Kim, T.W., Gordon, A.J., Pollio, D.E., Grucza, R.A., Austin, E.L., Johnson, N.K., & Kertesz, S.G. (2016). Substance use among persons with homeless experience in primary care. *Substance Abuse, 37*(4), 534-541.
- Stuart, H., 2004. Stigma and work. *Healthcare Papers, 5*(2), 100-111.
- Subramaniam, M., Zhang, Y., Shahwan, S., Vaingankar, J.A., Satghare, P., Teh, W.L., Roystonn, K., Goh, C.M.J., Maniam, Y., Tan, Z.L., & Tay, B. (2022). Employment of young people with mental health conditions: making it work. *Disability and Rehabilitation, 44*(10), 2033-2043.
- Suglia, S.F., Duarte, C.S., & Sandel, M.T. (2011). Housing quality, housing instability, and maternal mental health. *Journal of Urban Health, 88*, 1105-1116.
- Thompson Jr, R.G., Wall, M.M., Greenstein, E., Grant, B.F., & Hasin, D.S. (2013). Substance-use disorders and poverty as prospective predictors of first-time homelessness in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health, 103*(S2), S282-S288.
- Ukoba, K.O., Inambao, F.L., & Njiru, P. (2018). Solar Energy and Post-Harvest Loss Reduction in Roots and Tubers in Africa. In *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science* (Vol. 1).

- Van Boekel, L.C., Brouwers, E.P., Van Weeghel, J., & Garretsen, H.F. (2013). Stigma among health professionals towards patients with substance use disorders and its consequences for healthcare delivery: systematic review. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 131(1-2), 23-35.
- Villatoro, A.P., Mays, V.M., Ponce, N.A., & Aneshensel, C.S. (2018). Perceived need for mental health care: The intersection of race, ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status. *Society and Mental Health*, 8(1), 1-24.
- Wallace, B., Barber, K., & Pauly, B.B. (2018). Sheltering risks: Implementation of harm reduction in homeless shelters during an overdose emergency. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 53, 83-89.
- Weinberger, Andrea H., Marc L. Steinberg, Sarah D. Mills, Sarah S. Dermody, Jaimee L. Heffner, Amanda Y. Kong, Raina D. Pang, and Rachel L. Rosen. 2022 "Assessing sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and mental health concerns in tobacco use disorder treatment research: measurement challenges and recommendations from a society for research on nicotine and tobacco pre-conference workshop." *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* 24, no. 5 (2022): 643-653.
- World Health Organization (2001). The World Health Report 2001: Mental health: new understanding, new hope.
- World Health Organization (2022). World mental health report: transforming mental health for all.
- Zimmerman, E.B., Woolf, S.H., & Haley, A. (2015). Understanding the relationship between education and health: a review of the evidence and an examination of community perspectives. *Population health: behavioral and social science insights. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health-care Research and Quality*, 22(1), 347-84.