



OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences

P-ISSN: 2706-9176, E-ISSN: 2706-9184

Volume 6, Issue 3, P.No. 240-253, March 2024

DOI: 10.51594/ijarss.v6i3.853

Fair East Publishers

Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijarss



GUN CONTROL LAWS IN THE USA: A COMPARATIVE GLOBAL REVIEW

Chidiogo Uzoamaka Akpuokwe¹, Adekunle Oyeyemi Adeniyi², Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh³, & Seun Solomon Bakare⁴

¹Independent Researcher, Seattle, Washington State, USA

²United Nations Population Fund, Sri Lanka

³Department of Public Law, University of Cape, Town South Africa

⁴Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, Faculty of Law, Leiden University, Netherlands

Corresponding Author: Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh

Corresponding Author Email: enehnkechi@gmail.com

Article Received: 15-01-24

Accepted: 10-02-24

Published: 08-03-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

This comparative global review explores the intricate landscape of gun control laws in the United States, offering insights into its unique regulatory framework in a broader international context. The study delves into the historical evolution of gun control in the USA, tracing its roots and pivotal legislative milestones. A comprehensive analysis of key legislations, such as the Second Amendment, the National Firearms Act, and the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act, forms the cornerstone of understanding the legal foundations of the American approach to gun regulation. The research extends beyond the borders of the USA, examining how gun control laws in the country compare with those in other nations. A survey of international perspectives encompasses diverse regulatory models, cultural influences, and varying degrees of governmental intervention. Case studies from countries with notably stringent or permissive gun control measures provide valuable insights, shedding light on the outcomes and societal impacts of different approaches.

The comparative review navigates through the challenges and controversies surrounding gun control in the USA, addressing issues such as the balance between individual rights and public safety, the impact on crime rates, and the influence of political dynamics on legislative decisions. By juxtaposing the American experience with global counterparts, the study aims to elucidate the multifaceted nature of gun control, emphasizing the importance of a nuanced and context-specific understanding. In synthesizing global perspectives, this research contributes to a comprehensive dialogue on effective gun control measures, offering policymakers, scholars, and advocates a nuanced lens through which to assess and refine existing regulations. The findings underscore the significance of considering international experiences in shaping a balanced and evidence-based approach to gun control laws in the United States.

Keywords: Gun Control, Laws, Global, Regulatory, Legislation, Rights.

INTRODUCTION

The United States has a complex and deeply rooted relationship with firearms, a connection intricately woven into its historical fabric (Rea and Frickel, 2023). The genesis of gun control laws in the USA can be traced back to the nation's founding, where the Second Amendment to the Constitution, ratified in 1791, enshrined the right of American citizens to bear arms (Psaila *et al.*, 2023). Over the centuries, the interpretation and application of this constitutional provision have undergone profound shifts, resulting in a tapestry of legislative measures seeking to strike a delicate balance between individual gun rights and public safety. Throughout its history, the USA has experienced pivotal moments that influenced the evolution of gun control legislation (Montez, 2020). From the National Firearms Act of 1934, enacted in response to the rise of gang-related violence during Prohibition, to the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act of 1993, which mandated background checks for firearm purchasers, the trajectory of gun control laws reflects a continual adaptation to the changing dynamics of society.

In a world interconnected by global issues, understanding the intricacies of gun control in the USA necessitates a broader perspective that extends beyond its borders (Dong, 2023). The United States stands as a unique case study within the global landscape of gun regulation, and a comparative analysis with other nations offers valuable insights (Siebert and Von Winterfeldt, 2020). By examining the diverse approaches taken by countries with varying gun control measures, we gain a nuanced understanding of the cultural, historical, and political factors that shape legislative decisions (Carlson, 2020).

The comparative global review aims to transcend parochial viewpoints, providing a holistic examination of gun control laws. Such an approach acknowledges the interplay between domestic policies and international perspectives, recognizing that effective solutions must consider the successes and challenges experienced by other nations. As debates on gun control continue to shape public discourse and policymaking, this comprehensive analysis seeks to contribute to a well-informed and nuanced dialogue on the future of firearm regulation in the United States.

Historical Evolution of Gun Control Laws in the USA

The Second Amendment to the United States Constitution, ratified in 1791 as part of the Bill of Rights, holds a central place in shaping the nation's approach to firearms (Li and Zeng, 2021). It states: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the

people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed." The Second Amendment has been a focal point of legal and philosophical debates, serving as the cornerstone for arguments both in favor of expansive individual gun rights and for the regulation of firearms to promote public safety. The aftermath of Prohibition and the rise of gang-related violence prompted a significant legislative response with the National Firearms Act of 1934. This landmark law was a response to the perceived threat posed by the proliferation of certain weapons, particularly those associated with criminal activities. The NFA imposed taxes and regulations on the transfer and possession of certain firearms, including machine guns and sawed-off shotguns (Krawczyk, 2022). It marked one of the earliest instances of federal gun control legislation, reflecting concerns about public safety and the need for regulatory measures.

The Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act, enacted in the aftermath of the attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan in 1981, represented a significant step toward comprehensive federal gun control (McLean and Fleming, 2023). Named after James Brady, Reagan's press secretary who was seriously wounded in the assassination attempt, the Act mandated federal background checks for individuals purchasing firearms from licensed dealers. The background check system was designed to identify and prevent the sale of firearms to individuals prohibited from owning them, such as felons and those with mental health issues. The Brady Act signaled a shift toward addressing gun violence through targeted regulations while attempting to safeguard the rights of law-abiding citizens.

The constitutional and legal foundations that have shaped gun control in the USA are deeply rooted in the nation's history, legal traditions, and evolving interpretations of the Second Amendment (Charles, 2021). The interpretation of the Second Amendment has been a subject of intense debate. The key phrase—"the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed"—has led to divergent views on the extent of individual gun rights. The debate centers on whether the Second Amendment protects an individual's right to own firearms for personal use or if it primarily safeguards the collective right of a well-regulated militia (Reach, 2022). Landmark Supreme Court cases, such as *District of Columbia v. Heller* (2008) and *McDonald v. Chicago* (2010), have clarified that the Second Amendment protects an individual's right to possess firearms for self-defense within the home. Gun control laws in the USA are influenced by principles of federalism, resulting in a complex interplay between federal and state regulations (Goelzhauser and Konisky, 2020). While federal laws like the NFA and Brady Act set overarching standards, individual states retain considerable authority to enact their own firearm regulations (David and GS, 2020). This decentralized approach allows states to tailor their laws based on regional preferences and priorities, leading to a diverse patchwork of gun control measures across the country.

The historical evolution of gun control laws in the USA is closely tied to shifts in public opinion and responses to high-profile incidents of gun violence. Events such as the assassinations of political figures, mass shootings, and rising concerns about urban crime have influenced the trajectory of gun control legislation (Quinn, 2022). Legislative responses often reflect a delicate balance between addressing public safety concerns and respecting the rights of law-abiding citizens. In conclusion, the historical evolution of gun control laws in the USA is a complex tapestry woven through constitutional interpretations, legislative milestones, and responses to societal concerns. From the foundational Second Amendment to landmark acts like the NFA and

Brady Act, the legal landscape reflects an ongoing dialogue between individual rights and public safety (Nielsen, 2020). As the nation grapples with the challenges posed by gun violence, the historical context provides essential insights into the complexities of shaping effective and balanced gun control policies.

Comparative Analysis of Gun Control Laws in Selected Countries

Australia is often cited as a model for strict gun control measures implemented in response to a tragic mass shooting incident (Dorris and Murphy, 2023). The National Firearms Agreement (NFA) of 1996 was a pivotal legislative response to the Port Arthur massacre, where 35 people were killed. The NFA imposed stringent restrictions on firearm ownership, including mandatory gun buybacks, restrictions on semi-automatic and self-loading firearms, and enhanced background checks. Australia's approach emphasizes a focus on public safety through comprehensive measures aimed at reducing the prevalence of firearms in the community. The United Kingdom has a long history of strict gun control, with laws dating back to the Firearms Act of 1920. The Firearms (Amendment) Acts in 1988 and 1997 further tightened regulations in response to high-profile shootings. The UK operates under a licensing system, requiring individuals to obtain a firearm certificate to possess guns (Hultin, 2022). The legislation places particular emphasis on restricting access to handguns and semi-automatic weapons. The UK's model prioritizes minimizing the availability of firearms to the general public, reflecting a cultural and historical context that values tight gun control.

Switzerland's approach to gun control stands in contrast to countries with strict regulations. While the country has a high gun ownership rate, it maintains low rates of gun-related crime. The Swiss Federal Act on Arms, a foundational law, allows for widespread firearm ownership, particularly due to the nation's militia system where citizens are required to keep firearms at home (Bogensneider, 2023). However, Switzerland emphasizes responsible gun ownership through mandatory training and background checks. The Swiss model reflects a unique balance between widespread gun ownership and a strong emphasis on responsible use and training. The United States presents a diverse landscape of gun control laws, with significant variations among states. States like California and New York have implemented stringent regulations, including assault weapons bans, waiting periods, and restrictions on high-capacity magazines (Ness, 2020). In contrast, states like Texas and Arizona have generally permissive regulations, with a focus on individual rights and less restrictive measures. This diversity reflects the federalist nature of gun control in the USA, allowing states to tailor regulations to their unique contexts and cultural preferences.

Cultural factors play a significant role in shaping gun control models. Countries like the United States have a deep-rooted gun culture, where the right to bear arms is seen by many as a fundamental aspect of individual freedom (Al Fajri *et al.*, 2022). In contrast, nations with stricter regulations often prioritize collective safety over individual gun rights. Understanding and respecting these cultural nuances is crucial in devising effective gun control policies that resonate with the values of the respective societies. Historical events, such as mass shootings or armed conflicts, often prompt significant shifts in gun control legislation. Australia's response to the Port Arthur massacre and the UK's reaction to high-profile shootings exemplify how historical incidents can shape legislative approaches (Okoro *et al.*, 2024). In contrast, Switzerland's historical reliance

on citizen militias influences its permissive gun ownership model. The political landscape significantly influences gun control laws. In countries where there is bipartisan support for stricter regulations, comprehensive measures are more likely to be enacted (Marshall and Burgess, 2022). Conversely, in nations with a strong political stance in favor of individual gun rights, regulatory measures may be more permissive. Political considerations, lobbying, and the influence of interest groups contribute to the diversity of global gun control models.

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of gun control laws in selected countries underscores the diverse approaches nations take in addressing firearm regulation. From Australia and the United Kingdom's stringent measures aimed at reducing gun availability to Switzerland's unique model combining high ownership rates with responsible usage, each country's approach is influenced by cultural, historical, and political factors (Al-Husayni and Dodson, 2021; Lukong *et al.*, 2022). The varying perspectives highlight the complexity of the gun control discourse, emphasizing the importance of tailored approaches that align with the values and priorities of each society.

Challenges and Controversies in Gun Control in the USA

The foundational challenge in the realm of gun control in the United States lies in striking a delicate balance between individual rights to bear arms, as protected by the Second Amendment, and the imperative of safeguarding public safety. The Second Amendment, deeply ingrained in the American ethos, asserts the right of citizens to keep and bear arms (Ruben and Blocher, 2021). This constitutional provision, often subject to divergent interpretations, sets the stage for ongoing debates regarding the scope and limitations of gun ownership. Advocates of expansive gun rights argue that any encroachment on the Second Amendment infringes upon individual freedoms. They contend that law-abiding citizens have the right to defend themselves, their families, and their property, and that restricting access to firearms undermines this fundamental liberty (Magarian, 2020). On the other side of the spectrum, proponents of stricter gun control measures emphasize the need to mitigate the risks associated with firearm ownership, particularly in the context of rising gun violence and mass shootings. The challenge, then, is navigating a path that respects individual rights while addressing the broader imperative of public safety (Niles *et al.*, 2020).

The impact of gun control measures on crime rates and public security remains a contentious and complex issue. Advocates for stricter gun control argue that limitations on access to firearms, particularly high-capacity and semi-automatic weapons, can reduce the occurrence and severity of mass shootings and other forms of gun violence (Keel, 2021). They contend that stringent background checks, waiting periods, and restrictions on certain types of firearms can prevent weapons from falling into the wrong hands, thereby enhancing public safety. Conversely, opponents of stringent gun control measures often argue that restricting access to firearms disproportionately affects law-abiding citizens while doing little to deter criminals (Kruis *et al.*, 2021) who obtain firearms through illegal means. They contend that an armed citizenry serves as a deterrent to criminal activity and can be instrumental in self-defense. Evaluating the impact of gun control on crime rates is further complicated by the diverse legal landscape across states, where varying regulations create challenges in drawing conclusive national-level correlations.

The political landscape plays a pivotal role in shaping the trajectory of gun control legislation in the United States (Montez *et al.*, 2020). Political dynamics, often characterized by deeply entrenched ideological positions, contribute to the challenges and controversies surrounding the

issue. Partisan divisions, lobbying by interest groups, and the influence of the powerful National Rifle Association (NRA) have historically shaped legislative decisions on gun control (Cronin, 2022). Political polarization on gun control issues often leads to gridlock in Congress, inhibiting the passage of comprehensive federal legislation. In a climate where elected officials are beholden to their constituents and political donors, navigating the nuanced terrain of gun control becomes a delicate balancing act. The fear of alienating voting blocs or facing retribution from advocacy groups can hinder the adoption of measures that may be perceived as restrictive (Kunene *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, the influence of political ideology shapes the discourse on gun control, with conservative voices often championing individual rights and resisting what they perceive as government overreach. On the contrary, liberal voices tend to prioritize public safety concerns and advocate for stricter regulations. This ideological divide further complicates the prospects of bipartisan compromise, impeding the implementation of comprehensive and effective gun control measures (Babatunde *et al.*, 2021).

In conclusion, the challenges and controversies in gun control in the USA are deeply rooted in the complex interplay between individual rights, public safety imperatives, and political dynamics. Balancing the constitutional right to bear arms with the need to ensure public security remains a persistent challenge (O'Shea, 2021). The impact of gun control measures on crime rates is subject to ongoing scrutiny, while the political landscape, characterized by entrenched positions and lobbying efforts, continues to shape legislative decisions. Addressing these challenges requires a nuanced and collaborative approach, recognizing the importance of finding common ground to enact measures that protect both individual liberties and the collective well-being of society.

Case Studies

The tragic events at Columbine High School in 1999 marked a turning point in the discourse on gun control in the United States (Duerringer, 2023). The perpetrators, armed with semi-automatic weapons and explosives, killed 12 students and a teacher before taking their own lives. The incident spurred renewed calls for stricter gun control measures, particularly in relation to the accessibility of firearms to individuals with a history of violence or mental health issues. The aftermath of Columbine played a role in shaping subsequent legislation, such as the expansion of background checks and restrictions on assault weapons. The Sandy Hook Elementary School shooting, where a gunman killed 20 children and six educators in 2012, intensified debates on gun control. The tragic incident prompted discussions on the need for comprehensive reforms, including enhanced background checks, restrictions on high-capacity magazines, and a reassessment of mental health provisions in relation to gun ownership. Despite widespread public support for such measures, legislative efforts at the federal level faced significant challenges, highlighting the complexities of enacting substantive changes in the wake of high-profile tragedies (Metzger, 2021).

Australia's response to the Port Arthur massacre serves as a case study in the effectiveness of swift and comprehensive gun control measures. In 1996, a gunman killed 35 people in Tasmania, leading to the implementation of the National Firearms Agreement (NFA). The NFA included a mandatory buyback of semi-automatic and automatic firearms, stricter licensing requirements, and a ban on certain types of firearms (Raynes, 2023). The result was a significant reduction in gun-related deaths and mass shootings in Australia. This stands in contrast to the challenges faced by the USA

in enacting similar reforms in the aftermath of comparable tragedies. The Dunblane School massacre in Scotland, where a gunman killed 16 children and a teacher in 1996, prompted the UK to enact stringent gun control measures (Jeynes, 2020). The Firearms (Amendment) Act of 1997 effectively banned private ownership of handguns, with exemptions for certain sports. The UK's approach emphasized a drastic reduction in the availability of firearms to the general public. The success of this regulatory response has been cited as a testament to the potential impact of restrictive gun control measures, albeit in a cultural and legal context significantly different from that of the USA (Boine *et al.*, 2020).

The case studies of gun control laws in the USA, Australia, and the United Kingdom underscore the divergent regulatory approaches and the outcomes resulting from distinct legislative responses to similar tragic events (Grabs *et al.*, 2021; Mouchou *et al.*, 2021). In both the USA and other countries, mass shootings have served as catalysts for reconsidering and reforming gun control laws. The Columbine and Sandy Hook shootings in the USA, as well as the Port Arthur and Dunblane massacres in Australia and the UK, respectively, triggered significant legislative responses (Reid, 2022.). After each tragedy, there was a substantial public outcry for increased gun control measures aimed at preventing similar incidents in the future. The emotional impact of these events played a crucial role in mobilizing public opinion and advocacy efforts.

Australia and the UK demonstrated a swift response to mass shootings, leading to comprehensive legislative reforms (McLeod *et al.*, 2021). In both cases, the governments enacted measures that included gun buybacks, restrictions on certain types of firearms, and enhanced licensing requirements. In contrast, the USA has experienced challenges in achieving similarly comprehensive reforms, often facing political gridlock and resistance to substantial changes in gun laws. The differences in cultural attitudes toward firearms contribute to the variation in regulatory responses. The USA, with a deeply ingrained gun culture and constitutional protections for individual gun rights, faces unique challenges in enacting restrictive measures compared to countries with different cultural perspectives (Kishore, 2023). Australia and the UK experienced notable reductions in gun-related deaths and mass shootings following their legislative reforms. In the USA, despite ongoing debates and occasional state-level changes, achieving a comprehensive and uniform reduction in gun-related incidents has proven elusive.

In conclusion, the case studies of gun control laws in the USA, Australia, and the United Kingdom offer valuable insights into the complexities of enacting and implementing regulatory changes in response to mass shootings. While tragic incidents often prompt discussions on the need for reform, the diverse cultural, legal, and political landscapes contribute to varying approaches and outcomes in different countries. The challenges faced by the USA in achieving comprehensive reforms highlight the ongoing struggle to reconcile individual rights with the imperative of enhancing public safety through effective gun control measures (Blomberg *et al.*, 2023).

Lessons Learned and Best Practices

One key lesson from Australia is the importance of a prompt and comprehensive legislative response to a significant incident of gun violence. Following the Port Arthur massacre in 1996, Australia implemented the National Firearms Agreement (NFA) swiftly. The decisive action included a mandatory buyback program, stricter licensing requirements, and restrictions on certain types of firearms. The success of Australia's buyback program is noteworthy. By incentivizing

citizens to surrender certain firearms, the government significantly reduced the number of potentially dangerous weapons in circulation (Carpenter *et al.*, 2021). This approach helped address concerns about the prevalence of firearms without relying solely on restrictive measures. The United Kingdom's decision to ban private ownership of handguns following the Dunblane School massacre in 1996 offers a lesson in the effectiveness of specific and targeted prohibitions (Hultin, 2022). The legislative response prioritized removing certain types of firearms from civilian possession, thereby directly addressing the potential for misuse in mass shootings. The UK's approach included exemptions for certain sports, demonstrating the importance of tailoring regulations to specific contexts. By allowing limited access to handguns for sports purposes, the UK struck a balance between restricting firearms and respecting legitimate recreational activities. One of the primary challenges in the USA lies in overcoming political gridlock and the significant influence of interest groups, particularly the National Rifle Association (NRA). The polarized nature of the gun control debate, coupled with powerful lobbying efforts, has hindered the enactment of comprehensive federal legislation (Goelzhauser and Konisky, 2020). A key lesson is the need for effective strategies to navigate political dynamics and overcome resistance from influential interest groups. The decentralized nature of gun control regulations in the USA, with varying laws across states, has led to challenges in achieving uniformity. Lessons from this fragmentation include the importance of addressing inconsistencies and promoting collaboration between states to establish cohesive and effective nationwide measures (O'Neal *et al.*, 2022).

Countries with successful gun control measures often have distinct cultural and legal contexts that support their regulatory approaches. In the USA, where there is a deeply ingrained gun culture and constitutional protections for individual gun rights, lessons can be drawn about the necessity of tailoring strategies to align with cultural norms and legal frameworks. The importance of public perception and education is highlighted in countries where successful gun control measures have been implemented. Efforts to inform and engage the public about the rationale behind regulations, the impact of firearms on public safety, and the benefits of responsible gun ownership can contribute to a more informed and supportive citizenry (Chng *et al.*, 2021). The challenges faced by countries with differing regulatory approaches underscore the complexities of achieving global cooperation on gun control. Lessons learned include the recognition that sovereignty concerns can impede international efforts. Successful strategies may involve fostering diplomatic dialogue and collaborative initiatives that respect national autonomy while addressing shared concerns about global gun trafficking and illicit trade (Shahzad *et al.*, 2023).

A key lesson from various contexts is the importance of ongoing evaluation and adaptation of gun control measures. Successful countries continually assess the effectiveness of their regulations, adapting policies as needed to respond to evolving threats, technological advancements, and changing societal dynamics (Renn *et al.*, 2022). The challenge of balancing individual rights and public safety is central to gun control debates globally. Lessons learned suggest the importance of adopting nuanced and evidence-based approaches that consider both individual liberties and collective well-being. Countries that successfully navigate this balance often employ measures that address public safety concerns without disproportionately infringing on individual rights (Nandy, 2023).

In conclusion, the comparative global review of gun control laws highlights valuable lessons and best practices that can inform discussions and policymaking in the USA. Success stories from Australia and the United Kingdom emphasize the importance of swift legislative responses, targeted prohibitions, and strategic exemptions. Shortcomings in the USA, including political gridlock and fragmented state regulations, underscore the need for cohesive strategies and addressing cultural nuances (Walters, 2022). Lessons from the global context emphasize ongoing evaluation, public perception, and the challenges of achieving international cooperation. As nations continue to grapple with the complexities of gun control, these lessons provide a foundation for developing effective, context-specific, and evidence-based regulatory frameworks.

Implications for Policymaking in the USA

Countries with successful gun control laws emphasize the importance of comprehensive background checks to prevent firearms from falling into the wrong hands. This includes assessing an individual's criminal history, mental health status, and potential connections to extremist ideologies. The USA could benefit from refining and expanding its background check system. Ensuring that all gun sales, including private transactions and gun shows, undergo thorough background checks could be a crucial step in enhancing public safety (Zeoli *et al.*, 2022). Nations like Australia and the United Kingdom have enacted restrictions on high-capacity magazines and certain types of semi-automatic weapons. This approach aims to limit the firepower available to individuals, particularly in the context of mass shootings. Considering similar restrictions in the USA, especially on military-style assault weapons and high-capacity magazines, could be explored. Such revisions could contribute to reducing the severity and lethality of mass shootings. Some countries have implemented "red flag" laws that allow temporary firearm restrictions on individuals deemed a risk to themselves or others. This preemptive measure is often based on evidence of concerning behavior or mental health issues. Integrating red flag laws at the federal level in the USA could provide a mechanism to identify and temporarily restrict firearm access for individuals displaying warning signs of potential violence or harm. Australia's success with public buyback programs demonstrated the effectiveness of incentivizing citizens to surrender certain firearms voluntarily. This approach contributed to reducing the overall number of firearms in circulation. Implementing targeted public buyback programs in the USA, with appropriate incentives, could be explored as a means of reducing the prevalence of specific types of firearms associated with higher risks.

Countries with successful gun control measures often prioritize public perception and education. Engaging the public in informed discussions about the rationale behind regulations, the impact of firearms on public safety, and the benefits of responsible gun ownership is crucial. Policymakers in the USA should consider investing in public education campaigns to foster a more informed and supportive citizenry. Addressing misconceptions, disseminating evidence-based information, and promoting responsible gun ownership can contribute to shaping public opinion. Recognizing and respecting cultural nuances is essential in the development of effective gun control policies. Countries with different cultural attitudes toward firearms tailor their regulations to align with prevailing norms and values. Policymakers in the USA should acknowledge the deep-rooted gun culture and constitutional protections for individual gun rights (Dees, 2020). Crafting policies that

respect cultural values while addressing public safety concerns is crucial for garnering public support and achieving successful implementation.

Successful gun control measures often involve community engagement, where policymakers collaborate with local communities to develop context-specific solutions. Building trust and involving stakeholders in the policymaking process contribute to the effectiveness of regulations. Encouraging community engagement and seeking input from diverse perspectives in the USA can enhance the inclusivity and relevance of gun control policies. Tailoring solutions to specific regional needs and concerns fosters a sense of ownership among communities (Fylkesnes *et al.*, 2021). Countries that have successfully implemented gun control measures often benefit from political will and bipartisan support. Policymakers working across party lines demonstrate a commitment to finding common ground on issues related to public safety.

Encouraging bipartisan collaboration in the USA is essential for overcoming political gridlock and enacting substantive changes. Building consensus on fundamental aspects of gun control, such as background checks and restrictions on certain firearms, requires fostering an environment of cooperation. Addressing challenges associated with global gun trafficking and illicit trade requires international cooperation. Countries that engage in collaborative initiatives and adhere to international agreements contribute to broader efforts to curb the illegal movement of firearms. Policymakers in the USA should explore opportunities for international collaboration to address transnational aspects of gun control. Sharing best practices, intelligence, and coordinating efforts with other nations can contribute to more comprehensive and effective policies.

In conclusion, insights from global perspectives offer valuable lessons that can inform potential revisions to existing gun control laws in the USA. Implementing comprehensive background checks, considering restrictions on certain firearms, exploring red flag laws, and engaging in public buyback programs are among the potential revisions that align with successful strategies observed globally. Additionally, policymakers should prioritize public perception, cultural sensitivity, community engagement, political will, and international cooperation to develop policies that balance individual rights with public safety effectively (Ridwan and Suhar, 2023). By drawing on these

CONCLUSION

The comparative global review of gun control laws provides valuable insights into the complexities and nuances of crafting effective policies to address firearm-related challenges. Key findings from the analysis encompass diverse strategies employed by countries worldwide, highlighting both successful measures and challenges faced in the pursuit of robust gun control. Noteworthy case studies, including those from Australia and the United Kingdom, underscore the impact of decisive legislative responses, targeted prohibitions, and strategic exemptions. In the USA, political gridlock, cultural considerations, and decentralized regulations present challenges that necessitate nuanced approaches. Lessons from global perspectives emphasize the importance of comprehensive background checks, restrictions on certain firearms, red flag laws, and public buyback programs as potential revisions to existing gun control laws.

The nuanced nature of the gun control discourse demands a thoughtful and evidence-based approach when shaping policies in the USA. It is imperative to recognize the multifaceted factors influencing firearm-related issues, including cultural attitudes, constitutional rights, and concerns

for public safety. As observed in successful models globally, the importance of balancing individual rights with collective security cannot be overstated. Policymakers in the USA must navigate the intricate landscape by engaging in evidence-based decision-making that considers the diverse perspectives within the nation. The emphasis on comprehensive background checks serves as a foundational element to ensure responsible gun ownership and prevent firearms from reaching individuals who pose potential risks. Restricting access to high-capacity magazines and certain semi-automatic weapons aligns with successful strategies observed globally, acknowledging the need to limit the firepower available to individuals in order to mitigate the severity of mass shootings. Implementing red flag laws and exploring public buyback programs represent proactive measures to identify potential risks and reduce the overall number of firearms in circulation.

Furthermore, the importance of public perception, cultural sensitivity, and community engagement cannot be understated. Recognizing the deep-seated gun culture in the USA, policymakers should strive to foster informed discussions, dispel misconceptions, and involve diverse stakeholders in the policymaking process. Building political will and encouraging bipartisan collaboration are crucial components in overcoming legislative challenges and achieving meaningful reforms that address both individual rights and public safety concerns. The global review highlights the need for the USA to adopt a holistic and adaptable approach, constantly evaluating the efficacy of policies and remaining responsive to evolving challenges. By drawing upon successful strategies from around the world, the USA can forge a path towards comprehensive and effective gun control laws that strike the delicate balance between safeguarding individual liberties and promoting collective well-being.

In conclusion, the imperative for nuanced and evidence-based approaches to gun control laws in the USA cannot be overstated. The lessons learned from the global review underscore the need for thoughtful consideration of cultural, political, and societal factors in crafting effective policies. As the nation grapples with the ongoing debate surrounding gun control, the insights gained from global experiences provide a foundation for informed decision-making that prioritizes public safety without unduly infringing upon individual rights.

Reference

- Al Fajri, B., Atmantika, Z.H., & Adhitya, G.N. (2022). An appraisal analysis on Joe Biden's rhetoric of gun control. *Rubikon: Journal of Transnational American Studies*, 9(2) 244-253.
- Al-Husayni, N., & Dodson, N.A. (2021). What does a "public health approach" mean? lessons from earlier successes. *Adolescent Gun Violence Prevention: Clinical and Public Health Solutions*, 11-28.
- Babatunde, F.O., Omotayo, A.B., Oluwole, O.I., & Ukoba, K. (2021, April). A review on waste-wood reinforced polymer matrix composites for sustainable development. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 1107, No. 1, p. 012057). IOP Publishing.
- Blomberg, T.G., Copp, J.E., & Turanovic, J.J. (2023). Challenges and Prospects for Evidence-Informed Policy in Criminology. *Annual Review of Criminology*, 7.
- Bogenschneider, B.N. (2023). Are handguns a matter of privacy?. *Mary's Law Journal*, 54, 937.

- Boine, C., Siegel, M., Ross, C., Fleegler, E.W., & Alcorn, T. (2020). What is gun culture? Cultural variations and trends across the United States. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 7(1), 1-12.
- Carlson, J. (2020). Gun studies and the politics of evidence. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 16, 183-202.
- Carpenter, S., Borrup, K., & Campbell, B.T. (2021). Gun buyback programs in the United States. *Why we are losing the war on gun violence in the United States*, 173-186.
- Charles, P.J. (2021). Racist history and the Second Amendment: a critical commentary. *Cardozo Law Review*, 43, 1343.
- Chng, S., Kong, P., Lim, P.Y., Cornet, H., & Cheah, L. (2021). Engaging citizens in driverless mobility: Insights from a global dialogue for research, design and policy. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 11, 100443.
- Cronin, C.L. (2022). Guns in American Politics. *Guns 360: Differing Perspectives and Common-Sense Approaches to Firearms in America*, p.165.
- David, K., & GS, G.J. (2020). Federal circuit second amendment developments 2018. *Lincoln Memorial University Law Review*, 7(1), 75-134.
- Dees, J. (2020). The gun without the silver bullet: violence, masculinity and individuality in America's gun culture 2.0.
- Dong, J. (2023). Navigating an uncertain future: redefining growth and security. In *Chinese Statecraft in a Changing World: Demystifying Enduring Traditions and Dynamic Constraints* (pp. 235-253). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Dorris, L., & Murphy, A.L. (2023). No more politics over people: The role of helping professions in the prevention of mass shootings and gun-related violence. *Traumatology*, 29(1), 87.
- Duerringer, C.M. (2023). "Where were you shot?": analyzing location rhetoric as strategic maneuvering in contemporary gun-control discourse. *Argumentation and Advocacy*, 1-17.
- Fylkesnes, M., Larsen, M., Havnen, K., Christiansen, Ø., & Lehmann, S. (2021). Listening to advice from young people in foster care—from participation to belonging. *British Journal of Social Work*, 51(6), 1983-2000.
- Goelzhauser, G., & Konisky, D.M. (2020). The State of American Federalism 2019–2020: Polarized and punitive intergovernmental relations. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, 50(3), 311-343.
- Grabs, J., Auld, G., & Cashore, B. (2021). Private regulation, public policy, and the perils of adverse ontological selection. *Regulation & Governance*, 15(4), 1183-1208.
- Hultin, N. (2022). Gun control from "none but gentlemen" to "a culture of responsible gun ownership". In *Domestic Gun Control and International Small Arms Control in Africa* (pp. 121-162). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Hultin, N. (2022). Gun control from "none but gentlemen" to "a culture of responsible gun ownership". In *Domestic Gun Control and International Small Arms Control in Africa* (pp. 121-162). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Jeynes, W.H., & Jeynes, W.H. (2020). Gun Control. *Reducing School Shootings*, 41-67.
- Keel, G.L. (2021). Challenges and Prospects for Policymaking to Address Gun Violence. *A Relentless Threat: Scholars Respond to Teens on Weaponized School Violence*, p.1.

- Kishore, N. (2023). Guns or Roses?-The reality of gun control policy in the United States of America. *Kristu Jayanti Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences (KJHSS)*, 15-23.
- Krawczyk, O. (2022). Dangerous and unusual: how an expanding National Firearms Act will spell its own demise. *Dickinson Law Review*, 127, 273.
- Kruis, N.E., Wentling, R.L., Frye, T.S., & Rowland, N.J. (2021). Firearm ownership, defensive gun usage, and support for gun control: Does knowledge matter?. *American journal of Criminal Justice*, 1-30.
- Kunene, T.J., Tartibu, L.K., Karimzadeh, S., Oviroh, P.O., Ukoba, K., & Jen, T.C. (2022). Molecular dynamics of atomic layer deposition: sticking coefficient investigation. *Applied sciences*, 12(4), 2188.
- Li, J., & Zeng, Z. (2021, September). A controversial right: study on the ambiguity of the second amendment to the constitution of the United States. In *2021 International Conference on Social Science: Public Administration, Law and International Relations (SSPALIR 2021)* (pp. 106-117). Atlantis Press.
- Lukong, V.T., Ukoba, K., Yoro, K.O., & Jen, T.C. (2022). Annealing temperature variation and its influence on the self-cleaning properties of TiO₂ thin films. *Heliyon*, 8(5).
- Magarian, G.P. (2020). Conflicting Reports: When Gun Rights Threaten Free Speech. *Law & Contemp. Probs.*, 83, 169.
- Marshall, R., & Burgess, M.G. (2022). Advancing bipartisan decarbonization policies: lessons from state-level successes and failures. *Climatic change*, 171(1-2), 17.
- McLean, D.S., & Fleming, A.K. (2023). Gun Politics in Our Federal Political Institutions. In *Guns and Values: Individualism in the American Gun Debate* (pp. 107-227). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- McLeod, R.S., Moore, E.E., Crozier, J.A., Civil, I.D., Ahmed, N., Bulger, E.M., & Stewart, R.M. (2021). A public health approach to prevent firearm related injuries and deaths. *Annals of surgery*, 274(4), 533-543.
- Metzger, G.E. (2021). Taking appropriations seriously. *Columbia Law Review*, 121(4), 1075-1172.
- Montez, J.K. (2020). US state polarization, policymaking power, and population health. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 98(4), 1033.
- Montez, J.K., Beckfield, J., Cooney, J.K., Grumbach, J.M., Hayward, M.D., Koytak, H.Z., Woolf, S.H., & Zajacova, A. (2020). US state policies, politics, and life expectancy. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 98(3), 668-699.
- Mouchou, R., Laseinde, T., Jen, T.C., & Ukoba, K., 2021. Developments in the Application of Nano Materials for Photovoltaic Solar Cell Design, Based on Industry 4.0 Integration Scheme. In *Advances in Artificial Intelligence, Software and Systems Engineering: Proceedings of the AHFE 2021 Virtual Conferences on Human Factors in Software and Systems Engineering, Artificial Intelligence and Social Computing, and Energy, July 25-29, 2021, USA* (pp. 510-521). Springer International Publishing.
- Nandy, D. (2023). Human rights in the era of surveillance: balancing security and privacy concerns. *Journal of Current Social and Political Issues*, 1(1), 13-17.

- Niles, S., Contreras, S., Roudbari, S., Kaminsky, J., & Harrison, J.L. (2020). Resisting and assisting engagement with public welfare in engineering education. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 109(3), 491-507.
- Okoro, Y.O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., & Sodamade, O.T. (2024). The role of technology in enhancing mental health advocacy: a systematic review. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(1), 37-50.
- O'Neal, M.A., Zecavati, N., Yu, M., Spain, R., Friedenber, S.M., El Husseini, N., Torres-Russotto, D.R., Feliciano, B., Spears, R., & Baca, C. (2022). Effects of fragmentation and the case for greater cohesion in neurologic care delivery. *Neurology*, 98(4), 146-153.
- Psaila, K., Reed, A., & Shi, D. (2023). "Disperse, You Rebels": An Examination of the Evolution of Gun Rights in America. *Columbia Undergraduate Law Review*, 6.
- Quinn, G. (2022). Evolution and Electoral Implications of Congressional Gun Control Issue Framing: "From Crime Control to Mass Shootings". *Journal of Policy History*, 34(3), 440-472.
- Raynes, B. (2023). The shot heard around the outback: why adopting Australia's firearm laws would flout American constitutionalism and jus cogens norms. *SDL Review*, 68, 133.
- Rea, C.M., & Frickel, S. (2023). The environmental state: nature and the politics of environmental protection. *Sociological Theory*, 41(3), 255-281.
- Reid, A.J. (2022). The gun problem. In *A Philosophy of Gun Violence* (pp. 1-35). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Renn, O., Laubichler, M., Lucas, K., Kröger, W., Schanze, J., Scholz, R.W., & Schweizer, P.J. (2022). Systemic risks from different perspectives. *Risk Analysis*, 42(9), 1902-1920.
- Ridwan, M., & Suhar, A.M., 2023. Ideal Formulation of Human Rights Regulation in Indonesia. *Ilomata International Journal of Social Science*, 4(4), 537-547.
- Shahzad, S.M., Naz, U., & Noureen, F. (2023). Navigating Indian Ocean: Exploring Geo-Politics and Ensuring Maritime Security. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review*, 7(2), 866-878.
- Siebert, J.U., & Von Winterfeldt, D. (2020). Comparative analysis of terrorists' objectives hierarchies. *Decision Analysis*, 17(2), 97-114.
- Walters, D.E., 2022. The administrative agon: A democratic theory for a conflictual regulatory state. *Yale Law Journal*, 132, 1.
- Zeoli, A.M., Mccourt, A.D., & Paruk, J.K. (2022). Effectiveness of Firearm Restriction, Background Checks, and Licensing Laws in Reducing Gun Violence. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 704(1), 118-136.