



OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences

P-ISSN: 2706-9176, E-ISSN: 2706-9184

Volume 6, Issue 3, P.No. 185-197, March 2024

DOI: 10.51594/ijarss.v6i3.849

Fair East Publishers

Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijarss



PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT LAWS IN CHILD EDUCATION: A USA AND AFRICAN REVIEW

Chidiogo Uzoamaka Akpuokwe¹, Seun Solomon Bakare², Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh³,
& Adekunle Oyeyemi Adeniyi⁴

¹Independent Researcher, Seattle, Washington State, USA.

²Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, Faculty of Law, Leiden University, Netherlands

³Department of Public Law, University of Cape, Town South Africa

⁴United Nations Population Fund, Sri Lanka

Corresponding Author: Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh

Corresponding Author Email: enehnkechi@gmail.com

Article Received: 10-01-24

Accepted: 10-02-24

Published: 08-03-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

This research explores the legal frameworks and implications of parental involvement in child education in both the United States and African countries. The study recognizes the crucial role that parents play in shaping a child's academic success and well-being and aims to compare and contrast the diverse approaches adopted by the USA and various African nations. In the United States, the analysis delves into the landscape of federal and state-level parental involvement laws, examining their historical development and their impact on fostering collaboration between parents, educators, and schools. The research investigates the effectiveness of these laws in promoting student achievement, addressing educational disparities, and ensuring equitable access to quality education across diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. Concurrently, the study extends its focus to the African context, acknowledging the unique socio-cultural, economic, and political factors that influence the implementation of parental involvement laws in different nations on the

continent. With a diverse range of educational systems, the research seeks to identify commonalities and disparities in parental involvement strategies across African countries. It explores the extent to which cultural norms and governmental policies influence parental engagement in the educational process, and how this, in turn, impacts student outcomes. Furthermore, the research investigates the challenges faced by both the United States and African countries in implementing and enforcing parental involvement laws. It scrutinizes potential barriers, such as socioeconomic disparities, cultural differences, and infrastructural limitations, and explores strategies to overcome these obstacles. The comparative analysis presented in this study contributes to a nuanced understanding of the global landscape of parental involvement in child education. By shedding light on the successes and challenges faced by both the USA and African nations, policymakers, educators, and researchers can glean valuable insights to inform the development and refinement of parental involvement laws, ultimately striving for educational systems that empower parents and optimize the learning experiences of children worldwide.

Keywords: Parental, Laws, Child, Education, USA, Africa, Review.

INTRODUCTION

Parental involvement in child education has been widely recognized as a crucial factor in determining a child's academic success and overall well-being. The importance of parental involvement in child education is evident in its positive impact on student achievement, behavior, and attitudes (Hill et al., 2004). Furthermore, research has shown that when parents are actively involved in their children's education, students demonstrate higher grades, better attendance, and more positive attitudes towards school (Henderson & Mapp, 2002). Given these benefits, it is imperative to explore the legal frameworks that foster collaboration between parents and educational institutions.

The significance of legal frameworks in fostering collaboration between parents and schools lies in their ability to formalize and institutionalize the role of parents in their children's education. By establishing laws and policies that mandate parental involvement, educational institutions can ensure that parents are active participants in their children's learning process. This not only strengthens the partnership between schools and families but also promotes a more holistic approach to education that takes into account the social and cultural contexts in which students learn (Epstein, 2011).

The objectives of this study are threefold. Firstly, the study aims to compare parental involvement laws in the United States and various African countries. By examining the legal frameworks in these two contexts, we can gain insights into the similarities and differences in how parental involvement is regulated and supported. Secondly, the study seeks to analyze the historical development and implementation of parental involvement laws in both the USA and Africa. Understanding the evolution of these laws can provide valuable context for assessing their current impact and identifying areas for improvement. Lastly, the study aims to examine the impact of parental involvement laws on student achievement and educational equity. By evaluating the outcomes of these legal frameworks, we can assess their effectiveness in promoting equitable educational opportunities for all students.

In summary, this study seeks to shed light on the role of parental involvement laws in child education by comparing and analyzing the legal frameworks in the USA and Africa. By examining the historical development, implementation, and impact of these laws, we aim to contribute to a deeper understanding of how legal frameworks can support and enhance parental involvement in education.

Parental Involvement Laws in Child Education

Parental involvement in child education is widely recognized as a crucial factor in determining a child's academic success and overall well-being. Research has shown that when parents are actively involved in their children's education, students demonstrate higher grades, better attendance, and more positive attitudes towards school. The significance of legal frameworks in fostering collaboration between parents and schools lies in their ability to formalize and institutionalize the role of parents in their children's education. By establishing laws and policies that mandate parental involvement, educational institutions can ensure that parents are active participants in their children's learning process, promoting a more holistic approach to education that takes into account the social and cultural contexts in which students learn.

The objectives of this study are to compare parental involvement laws in the United States and various African countries, analyze the historical development and implementation of these laws, and examine their impact on student achievement and educational equity. By examining the legal frameworks in these two contexts, we can gain insights into the similarities and differences in how parental involvement is regulated and supported. Understanding the evolution of these laws can provide valuable context for assessing their current impact and identifying areas for improvement. Additionally, evaluating the outcomes of these legal frameworks can help assess their effectiveness in promoting equitable educational opportunities for all students.

The literature on parental involvement in child education has also highlighted the importance of parental self-efficacy, general self-efficacy, and child and maternal characteristics in fostering parental involvement and satisfaction in the education process (Marschall, 2006). Furthermore, research has shown that the quality of parent-child relationships during foster care visits significantly impacts the experiences of parents and children, as well as the outcomes for children (Coleman & Karraker, 2000). Additionally, the meanings of parental involvement in different contexts, such as inner-city high schools, have been explored, revealing themes such as participation at school, being there outside of school, communication, achievement, and village keepers (Haight et al., 2003). Moreover, the impact of parental involvement on students' school engagement and performance has been studied, emphasizing the proactive role of parents in their children's education (McWey & Cui, 2017).

In conclusion, parental involvement laws play a crucial role in shaping the educational experiences of children. By comparing, analyzing, and examining the impact of these laws in the USA and Africa, this study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of how legal frameworks can support and enhance parental involvement in education, ultimately promoting equitable educational opportunities for all students.

Parental Involvement Laws in the United States

Parental involvement laws in the United States encompass federal legislation, state-level regulations, and historical context. At the federal level, Title I involvement requirements mandate

the engagement of parents in the educational process (Gordon, 2004). The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) further emphasizes parental involvement by requiring schools to develop compacts with parents, outlining how the school and parents will work together to ensure student success (Abedi, 2004). State-level regulations vary, with some states implementing innovative approaches to parental involvement, such as establishing parent advisory councils or offering training programs for parents (Sunderman, 2001). Notable case studies include the impact of accountability mandates on the implementation of Title I schoolwide programs in urban districts (Sunderman, 2001).

The historical context of parental involvement laws in the USA has evolved significantly. The federal government's involvement in education increased during the 1970s, leading to more prescriptive regulations related to school and student services, programs, and their evaluation (Grant & Arnold, 2015). Influential milestones include the shift towards holding all students to high standards and all schools and school districts accountable for student progress towards those standards (Conderman & Pedersen, 2010). Additionally, the NCLB Act marked a significant shift by emphasizing accountability and assessment for English Language Learners, addressing assessment and accountability issues for this demographic (Abedi, 2004).

In conclusion, parental involvement laws in the United States have been shaped by federal legislation such as Title I involvement requirements and the NCLB Act, as well as diverse state-level regulations and historical milestones. These laws reflect a concerted effort to enhance parental engagement in education and ensure accountability for student success.

Implementation and Effectiveness in the United States

In the United States, the implementation of educational policies has shown both successes and challenges. The implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) transition mandates at the state level has been effective in improving the educational outcomes for students with disabilities (Hasazi et al., 1999). This demonstrates the positive impact of state-level implementation on student performance and educational equity. However, challenges persist, particularly in the realm of distance education, where the absence of unified federal guidelines has left the implementation to the discretion of individual universities.

Moreover, educational disparities in adult health across U.S. states have been linked to economic factors, highlighting the impact of socioeconomic disparities on educational outcomes and health (Montez & Cheng, 2022). This underscores the influence of socioeconomic factors on student involvement and the reduction of educational disparities. Additionally, the implementation of gender equity legislation in higher education has played a crucial role in promoting equality in educational opportunities, reflecting the impact of legal frameworks on educational disparities (Zhang, 2022).

Comparatively, the state-level impact on educational disparities and health outcomes has been significant, with variations across different states (Montez et al., 2019). This highlights the contrasting effects of federal and state-level implementation on educational disparities and health outcomes. The findings emphasize the importance of understanding the role of institutional actors, such as states, in shaping the significance of education for health. However, it's important to note that the study by Montez et al. (2019) focused on comparing educational disparities in health and mortality across countries, finding differences in the magnitude of disparities (Montez et al., 2019).

In conclusion, the implementation of educational policies in the United States has demonstrated successes in improving student performance and reducing educational disparities, particularly at the state level. However, challenges related to socioeconomic factors, logistical obstacles, and disparities in adult health persist. Comparative analysis has revealed the contrasting impacts of federal and state-level implementation, emphasizing the need for continuous improvements to address educational disparities and promote health equity.

Parental Involvement Laws in African Countries

Parental involvement laws in African countries are influenced by diverse legal frameworks and cultural nuances. The incorporation of Western hegemonic ideas of childhood into national laws creates contradictions in the application of criteria for adjudging the adequacy of parental supervision in sub-Saharan Africa (Laird, 2015). Additionally, there is a lack of good quality data on men's involvement with children in diverse settings in sub-Saharan Africa, indicating the need for a nuanced understanding of cultural influences on parental engagement (Hosegood & Madhavan, 2010). Furthermore, stakeholder experiences and attitudes on inclusive education for children with developmental disabilities in sub-Saharan Africa highlight the need to consider diverse legal frameworks across African nations to promote parental involvement in education (Genovesi et al., 2022).

Governmental policies promoting parental involvement face challenges in implementation and enforcement. Inner-city African American parental involvement in schools reflects the complexities of cultural influences on parental engagement, emphasizing the need for tailored policies in African countries (Williams & Sánchez, 2012). Additionally, a national examination of group differences in parent involvement at school entry underscores the importance of understanding diverse legal frameworks across African nations to address disparities in parental engagement (Graves & Wright, 2011).

In conclusion, to effectively promote parental engagement, policies need to consider the regional variations and cultural influences on parenting practices. Understanding these complexities is crucial for the successful implementation and enforcement of governmental policies aimed at enhancing parental involvement in African countries.

Case Studies of Parental Involvement Laws in USA and Africa

Parental involvement laws have been a subject of extensive research, particularly in the context of their impact on adolescent pregnancies and abortion rates. In the United States, studies have shown that these laws have led to a reduction in the incidence of pregnancies among minors by decreasing the frequency of unprotected sexual activity (Medoff, 2010). Furthermore, research has indicated that mandatory parental involvement in minors' abortions has had effects on abortion rates, in-state abortion rates, odds of interstate travel, and odds of late abortion for minors (Ellertson, 1997). Additionally, the impact of mandatory waiting periods and parental consent laws on the timing and occurrence of abortions among adolescents has been studied, providing insights into the specific effects of these laws on the demographic and geographic aspects of abortions (Joyce & Kaestner, 2001).

In the African context, specific countries have implemented varying approaches to parental involvement in adolescent reproductive health. However, the literature available primarily focuses on the United States, and there is a scarcity of comprehensive studies addressing the impact of

parental involvement laws in African countries. While the references provide valuable insights into the impact of parental involvement laws in the USA, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding the specific approaches and outcomes of these laws in African countries.

The impact of parental involvement laws on educational outcomes, particularly in the African context, remains an area that requires further exploration. While the references offer valuable insights into the impact of these laws on adolescent pregnancies and abortion rates, there is a need for more research focusing on the broader implications of parental involvement laws on educational outcomes in both the USA and African countries. This would involve examining the potential correlations between parental involvement laws, educational access, and academic achievement among adolescents in different legal and cultural contexts.

In conclusion, while the impact of parental involvement laws on adolescent pregnancies and abortion rates has been extensively studied in the USA, there is a need for more comprehensive research that includes specific African countries and assesses the broader impact on educational outcomes. This would contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the implications of parental involvement laws in diverse socio-cultural settings.

Cultural and Socioeconomic Factors

Parental involvement in child education is influenced by cultural norms, socioeconomic disparities, and region-specific nuances. Cultural norms and expectations significantly impact parental involvement (Womack & Johnson, 2021). For instance, in some cultures, parental involvement in homework is highly valued, while in others, it may not be as emphasized. Socioeconomic disparities also play a crucial role in parental participation, with parents from lower socioeconomic backgrounds facing more challenges in engaging with their children's education (Leffel & Suskind, 2013). Research has shown that socioeconomic factors, such as family income and parental education, impact children's language-related brain structure and reading skills, highlighting the influence of socioeconomic disparities on parental involvement (Merz et al., 2019). Moreover, disparities in parental educational attainment have been linked to variations in child exposure to disciplinary actions, indicating the impact of socioeconomic disparities on parenting practices (Assari, 2020).

Comparative analysis between the US and African cultural influences reveals contrasting perspectives on parental involvement. While the US emphasizes parental involvement in education through policies like the No Child Left Behind Act, African cultural influences may have different priorities and expectations regarding parental roles in education (Park & Holloway, 2013). Identifying universal challenges and region-specific nuances is essential for understanding the diverse factors that shape parental involvement. For instance, the likelihood of parents' homework involvement may vary based on cultural norms and socioeconomic factors, highlighting the need to consider region-specific nuances in promoting parental engagement (Womack & Johnson, 2021).

In conclusion, cultural and socioeconomic factors significantly influence parental involvement in child education. Understanding the interplay between cultural norms, socioeconomic disparities, and region-specific nuances is crucial for developing effective strategies to enhance parental participation in education, ultimately benefiting children's academic success and overall development.

Challenges and Opportunities for Improvement

Parental involvement in child education is crucial for the overall development and academic success of children. However, there are various challenges and opportunities for improvement in parental involvement laws in the USA and Africa. Common barriers to parental involvement include socioeconomic challenges and cultural differences (Hornby & Lafaele, 2011; Qin & Han, 2014; Davids et al., 2021). Socioeconomic challenges such as poverty and lack of resources can hinder parents' ability to engage in their children's education, while cultural differences may lead to varying perspectives on the role of parents in education. These barriers can impact the extent and quality of parental involvement in their children's education.

To overcome these challenges, strategies such as policy adjustments and community engagement initiatives can be implemented (Pek & Mee, 2020; Engelbrecht et al., 2005; Kalyanpur et al., 2000). Policy adjustments can include measures to provide support and resources to parents from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds, ensuring equitable opportunities for parental involvement. Additionally, community engagement initiatives, including programs tailored to immigrant and local communities, can help bridge cultural differences and enhance parental involvement in education (Qin & Han, 2014; Engelbrecht et al., 2005).

Furthermore, it is essential to recognize the significance of parental involvement in promoting children's social relationships, self-esteem, and self-efficacy (Pek & Mee, 2020). Studies have shown that parental involvement mediates the effect of parental acculturation on intergenerational relationships, highlighting its importance in child outcomes (Ying & Han, 2008). However, it is crucial to address the challenges experienced by parents, particularly those parenting children with hearing loss, and ensure that their needs are adequately researched and supported (Davids et al., 2021).

In the context of South Africa, where parental involvement laws are being implemented, it is important to consider the experiences of parents in monitoring their adolescents' compliance with diversion orders, as this can impact their involvement in their children's education (Abdulla & Goliath, 2015). Additionally, understanding parents' experiences of their rights in the implementation of inclusive education is crucial for ensuring effective parental involvement (Engelbrecht et al., 2005).

The COVID-19 pandemic has also brought to light the challenges faced by parents in supporting their children's education during lockdowns and school closures (Shahali et al., 2023). This emphasizes the need for flexible and adaptable parental involvement laws that can accommodate unforeseen circumstances and ensure continuous support for children's education.

In conclusion, addressing the challenges and opportunities for improvement of parental involvement laws in child education requires a multifaceted approach. By recognizing and addressing socioeconomic challenges, cultural differences, and specific needs of parents, and implementing policy adjustments and community engagement initiatives, parental involvement in child education can be enhanced, leading to improved academic outcomes and overall well-being for children.

Future Outlook

The future outlook of parental involvement laws in child education in the USA and Africa is a topic of significant importance. Parental involvement has been shown to positively impact

children's academic and social development (Nokali et al., 2010). Traditionally, parental involvement has been defined as engaging parents in school-based activities and events related to their child's education (Paul & Ngirande, 2014). In African American families, maternal education has been linked to children's behavioral outcomes, challenging the view that African American parents are usually authoritarian (Tamis-LeMonda et al., 2009). Furthermore, a study on the influence of parental involvement on students' academic achievement overcame limitations in current research by including simultaneous considerations of multidimensional, longitudinal, mediational, and ethnic factors (Sehee & Ho, 2005). Parent involvement, as defined by teachers' perception of the positive attitude parents have towards their child's education, teacher, and school, has been found to be associated with student academic performance (Topor et al., 2010). Additionally, parental involvement has been found to have significant effects on students' school engagement and performance, with three key components: parent-child relationship, parental involvement in school, and parents' educational aspirations for their child (Yun & Singh, 2008). In the context of African American parents with children with disabilities, it has been emphasized that a one-size-fits-all policy does not work in terms of encouraging parental participation, and recognition by schools concerning the non-homogeneity among African American parents is important (Brandon et al., 2021). The lack of involvement by African American parents and families may be due to parental alienation from the school, experiencing real or perceived discrimination, or having a sense of estrangement when interacting with the educators of their children (Brandon & Brown, 2009). Moreover, the positive outlooks for the future of children have been highlighted as an important aspect of parental involvement in the education of children with autism (Ng & Bunn, 2022).

In the USA and Africa, the future outlook of parental involvement laws in child education is crucial, especially in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Parental involvement in a child's education has been shown to have a significant impact on the teaching-learning process, and future interventions aimed at improving parental involvement should consider parental level of knowledge, experience, educational level, and social network (Guimary & Buniel, 2022). Furthermore, the results of a study suggest important relationships among parents' initiative to become involved, their perceptions of the quality of services provided to their child, and their views of schools' efforts to facilitate their involvement (Rodriguez et al., 2014).

In conclusion, the future outlook of parental involvement laws in child education in the USA and Africa is multifaceted and requires a nuanced approach that considers the diverse needs and experiences of parents and children. It is essential to recognize the impact of parental involvement on children's academic and social development and to address the barriers to involvement faced by certain communities.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comparative review of Parental Involvement Laws in Child Education across the USA and African nations has provided valuable insights into the dynamic interplay between legal frameworks, cultural contexts, and educational outcomes.

The examination of parental involvement laws in the USA revealed a multifaceted landscape, with federal and state-level regulations influencing the collaborative efforts between parents, educators, and schools. Notable successes were identified, including positive impacts on student achievement

and the reduction of educational disparities. However, challenges such as socioeconomic barriers persist. In the African context, diverse legal frameworks and cultural influences shape parental engagement, with the study shedding light on regional variations and the need for tailored approaches. Commonalities and disparities in challenges across both regions underscore the complex nature of fostering parental involvement in education.

The findings underscore the need for continuous research and adaptive policy development to address the evolving landscape of parental involvement in child education. Future research should focus on understanding the long-term impact of parental involvement laws, particularly in the context of technological advancements, socioeconomic disparities, and cross-cultural dynamics. Policymakers should consider refining existing laws, implementing targeted interventions for vulnerable communities, and fostering global collaboration to share best practices. Additionally, the role of technology in facilitating virtual parental involvement should be explored, along with the potential for data-driven decision-making to optimize the effectiveness of parental engagement strategies.

The global landscape of parental involvement laws in child education is marked by both shared challenges and unique regional considerations. As we look to the future, it is crucial to recognize that effective parental involvement is not a one-size-fits-all approach. Culturally sensitive strategies, technological integration, and inclusive policies will play pivotal roles in shaping the trajectory of parental engagement in education. Moreover, the collaborative efforts between the USA and African nations, along with international partnerships, are essential for enriching the collective understanding of successful parental involvement practices. By fostering an environment of continuous learning, research, and adaptation, we can strive towards educational systems that empower parents as active partners in the holistic development of children on a global scale.

References

- Abdulla, Z., & Goliath, V. (2015). Parents' experiences of monitoring their adolescents' compliance with diversion orders. *Social Work/Maatskaplike Werk*, 51(2). <https://doi.org/10.15270/51-2-443>
- Abedi, J. (2004). The no child left behind act and English language learners: assessment and accountability issues. *Educational Researcher*, 33(1), 4-14. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x033001004>
- Assari, S. (2020). Parental education and spanking of american children: blacks' diminished returns. *World Journal of Educational Research*, 7(3), p19. <https://doi.org/10.22158/wjer.v7n3p19>
- Brandon, R., & Brown, M. (2009). African American families in the special education process. *Intervention in School and Clinic*. 45(2), 85-90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451209340218>
- Brandon, R., Higgins, K., Jones, V., & Dobbins, N. (2021). African American parents with children with disabilities: gathering home-school reflections. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 57(2), 119-125. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10534512211001837>

- Coleman, P., & Karraker, K. (2000). Parenting self-efficacy among mothers of school-age children: conceptualization, measurement, and correlates. *Family Relations*, 49(1), 13-24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2000.00013.x>
- Conderman, G., & Pedersen, T. (2010). Preparing students with mild disabilities for taking state and district tests. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 45(4), 232-241. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451209353446>
- Davids, R., Roman, N., & Schenck, R. (2021). The challenges experienced by parents when parenting a child with hearing loss within a South African context. *Journal of Family Social Work*, 24(1), 60-78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10522158.2020.1852639>
- Ellertson, C. (1997). Mandatory parental involvement in minors' abortions: effects of the laws in minnesota, missouri, and indiana. *American Journal of Public Health*, 87(8), 1367-1374. <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.87.8.1367>
- Engelbrecht, P., Oswald, M., Swart, E., Kitching, A., & Eloff, I. (2005). Parents' experiences of their rights in the implementation of inclusive education in South Africa. *School Psychology International*, 26(4), 459-477. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034305059021>
- Epstein, J. (2005). Attainable goals? the spirit and letter of the no child left behind act on parental involvement. *Sociology of Education*, 78(2), 179-182. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003804070507800207>
- Genovesi, E., Jakobsson, C., Nugent, L., Hanlon, C., & Hoekstra, R. (2022). Stakeholder experiences, attitudes and perspectives on inclusive education for children with developmental disabilities in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *Autism*, 26(7), 1606-1625. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613221096208>
- Gordon, N. (2004). Do federal grants boost school spending? evidence from title i. *Journal of Public Economics*, 88(9-10), 1771-1792. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2003.09.002>
- Grant, C., & Arnold, N. (2015). The title i program. *International Journal of Educational Reform*, 24(4), 363-375. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105678791502400405>
- Graves, S., & Wright, L. (2011). Parent involvement at school entry: a national examination of group differences and achievement. *School Psychology International*, 32(1), 35-48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034310396611>
- Guimary, C., & Buniel, J. (2022). Involvement of parents in modular distance learning amidst covid-19 pandemic in the northern part of Mindanao, Philippines. *International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering Review*, 03(03), 123-136. <https://doi.org/10.52267/ijaser.2022.3313>
- Haight, W., Kagle, J., & Black, J. (2003). Understanding and supporting parent-child relationships during foster care visits: attachment theory and research. *Social Work*, 48(2), 195-207. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sw/48.2.195>
- Hasazi, S., Furney, K., & DeStefano, L. (1999). Implementing the idea transition mandates. *Exceptional Children*, 65(4), 555-566. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440299906500409>
- Hoover-Dempsey, K., & Sandler, H. (1997). Why do parents become involved in their children's education?. *Review of Educational Research*, 67(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1170618>
- Hornby, G., & Lafaele, R. (2011). Barriers to parental involvement in education: an explanatory model. *Educational Review*, 63(1), 37-52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2010.488049>

- Hosegood, V., & Madhavan, S. (2010). Data availability on men's involvement in families in sub-saharan africa to inform family-centred programmes for children affected by hiv and aids. *Journal of the International Aids Society*, 13(S2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2652-13-s2-s5>
- Ismail, I., Busa, Y., & Tini, T. (2018). Parental involvement in fostering the character of children's discipline at elementary school. *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif*, 8(2), 53-67. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jpp.v8.i2.201807>
- Joyce, T., & Kaestner, R. (2001). The impact of mandatory waiting periods and parental consent laws on the timing of abortion and state of occurrence among adolescents in Mississippi and South Carolina. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 20(2), 263-282. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pam.2025>
- Kalyanpur, M., Harry, B., & Skrtic, T. (2000). Equity and advocacy expectations of culturally diverse families' participation in special education. *International Journal of Disability Development and Education*, 47(2), 119-136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713671106>
- Laird, S. (2015). 'if parents are punished for asking their children to feed goats': supervisory neglect in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Social Work*, 16(3), 303-321. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468017315572037>
- Leffel, K., & Suskind, D. (2013). Parent-directed approaches to enrich the early language environments of children living in poverty. *Seminars in Speech and Language*, 34(04), 267-278. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1353443>
- Marschall, M. (2006). Parent involvement and educational outcomes for latino students. *Review of Policy Research*, 23(5), 1053-1076. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-1338.2006.00249.x>
- McWey, L., & Cui, M. (2017). Parent-child contact for youth in foster care: research to inform practice. *Family Relations*, 66(4), 684-695. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12276>
- Medoff, M. (2010). State abortion policy and the long-term impact of parental involvement laws. *Politics & Policy*, 38(2), 193-221. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.2010.00235.x>
- Merz, E., Maskus, E., Melvin, S., He, X., & Noble, K. (2019). Socioeconomic disparities in language input are associated with children's language-related brain structure and reading skills. *Child Development*, 91(3), 846-860. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13239>
- Montez, J., & Cheng, K. (2022). Educational disparities in adult health across u.s. states: larger disparities reflect economic factors. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.966434>
- Montez, J., Zajacova, A., Hayward, M., Woolf, S., Chapman, D., & Beckfield, J. (2019). Educational disparities in adult mortality across U.S. states: how do they differ, and have they changed since the mid-1980s?. *Demography*, 56(2), 621-644. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-018-0750-z>
- Ng, R., & Bunn, H. (2022). 'Autism doesn't come with a manual, it comes with a parent who never gives up': a family resilience perspective to exploring the views of black and minority ethnic parents of children with autism. *Decp Debate*, 1(182), 6-22. <https://doi.org/10.53841/bpsdeb.2022.1.182.6>

- Nokali, N., Bachman, H., & Votruba-Drzal, E. (2010). Parent involvement and children's academic and social development in elementary school. *Child Development, 81*(3), 988-1005. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01447.x>
- Park, S., & Holloway, S. (2013). No parent left behind: predicting parental involvement in adolescents' education within a sociodemographically diverse population. *The Journal of Educational Research, 106*(2), 105-119. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2012.667012>
- Paul, M., & Ngirande, H. (2014). The impact of parental involvement on student performance: a case study of a South African secondary school. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences. https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n8p279*
- Pek, L., & Mee, R. (2020). Parental involvement on child's education at home during school lockdown. *JHSS (Journal of Humanities and Social Studies), 4*(2), 192-196. <https://doi.org/10.33751/jhss.v4i2.2502>
- Qin, D., & Han, E. (2014). Tiger parents or sheep parents? struggles of parental involvement in working-class chinese immigrant families. *Teachers College Record, 116*(8), 1-32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811411600807>
- Rodriguez, R., Blatz, E., & Elbaum, B. (2014). Parents' views of schools' involvement efforts. *Exceptional Children, 81*(1), 79-95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0014402914532232>
- Sehee, H., & Ho, H. (2005). Direct and indirect longitudinal effects of parental involvement on student achievement: second-order latent growth modeling across ethnic groups.. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 97*(1), 32-42. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.97.1.32>
- Shahali, A., Hajhosseini, M., & Jahromi, R. (2023). Covid-19 and parent-child interactions: children's educational opportunities and parental challenges during the covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Korean Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 34*(2), 133-140. <https://doi.org/10.5765/jkacap.220033>
- Sunderman, G. (2001). Accountability mandates and the implementation of title i schoolwide programs: a comparison of three urban districts. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 37*(4), 503-532. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00131610121969406>
- Tamis-LeMonda, C., Briggs, R., McClowry, S., & Snow, D. (2009). Maternal control and sensitivity, child gender, and maternal education in relation to children's behavioral outcomes in African American families. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 30*(3), 321-331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2008.12.018>
- Topor, D., Keane, S., Shelton, T., & Calkins, S. (2010). Parent involvement and student academic performance: a multiple mediational analysis. *Journal of Prevention & Intervention in the Community, 38*(3), 183-197. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10852352.2010.486297>
- Williams, T., & Sánchez, B. (2012). Parental involvement (and uninvolvement) at an inner-city high school. *Urban Education, 47*(3), 625-652. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085912437794>
- Womack, T., & Johnson, A. (2021). Examining the likelihood of parents' homework involvement with elementary-age students with individualized education programs. *Remedial and Special Education, 43*(4), 211-221. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07419325211047956>
- Ying, Y., & Han, M. (2008). Parental contributions to southeast Asian American adolescents' well-being. *Youth & Society, 40*(2), 289-306. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118x08315506>

- Yun, M., & Singh, K. (2008). Parents' relationships and involvement: effects on students' school engagement and performance. *Rmle Online*, 31(10), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19404476.2008.11462053>
- Zhang, C. (2022). Features and insights of gender equity legislation in higher education in the united states. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.220105.159>