



OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences

P-ISSN: 2706-9176, E-ISSN: 2706-9184

Volume 6, Issue 2, P.No. 140-150, February 2024

DOI: 10.51594/ijarss.v6i2.780

Fair East Publishers

Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijarss



EXPLORING CAREER PATHWAYS FOR PEOPLE WITH SPECIAL NEEDS IN STEM AND BEYOND

Catherine Ezeafulukwe¹, Oluwaseyi Rita Owolabi², Onyeka Franca Asuzu³,
Somtochukwu Cynthia Onyekwelu⁴, Chinedu Ugochukwu Ike⁵, & Binaebi Gloria Bello⁶

¹Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

²Independent Researcher, Indianapolis Indiana, USA

³Dangote Sugar Refinery Plc, Lagos, Nigeria

⁴Faculty of Law, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria

⁵Independent Researcher, Anambra, Nigeria

⁶Kings International School, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Onyeka Franca Asuzu

Corresponding Author Email: asuzufranca@yahoo.com

Article Received: 02-01-24

Accepted: 01-02-24

Published: 14-02-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

This research paper explores the challenges and opportunities in creating inclusive career pathways for individuals with special needs, focusing on Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) and beyond. Examining existing literature reveals pervasive societal attitudes, educational barriers, and workplace biases that hinder the seamless integration of individuals with special needs. Acknowledging the importance of diversity and inclusion, the paper discusses initiatives that address these challenges, including mentorship programs, workplace accommodations, and advocacy campaigns. Policy implications underscore the need for systemic reforms to ensure equal opportunities. The paper envisions future directions such as technological advancements, global collaboration, and a holistic approach to inclusion. In

conclusion, the collaborative efforts of governments, educational institutions, and the wider community are crucial for shaping a future where individuals with special needs thrive in diverse professional landscapes.

Keywords: Inclusive Career Pathways, Special Needs, STEM, Diversity and Inclusion.

INTRODUCTION

In contemporary societies, pursuing career opportunities is often considered a fundamental aspect of personal and societal development (Blustein & Noumair, 1996). However, for individuals with special needs, this journey can be fraught with unique challenges that extend beyond the conventional obstacles faced by their counterparts. In particular, the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) domains present a landscape where inclusivity remains an evolving paradigm (Chun, Zhou, Rumrill, & Tittelbach, 2023; Dunn, Rabren, Russell, Massey, & Martin, 2014). This review aims to shed light on the nuanced trajectories of career pathways for people with special needs, specifically focusing on the expansive realm of STEM and its broader implications.

The imperative for inclusivity in the workforce is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of social progress and economic vitality. Yet, despite advancements in awareness and evolving societal attitudes, individuals with special needs continue to encounter impediments that hinder their seamless integration into the professional sphere (Hehir, 2005; Imrie & Hall, 2003; Sahoo & Choudhury, 2023). As the demand for skilled professionals in STEM fields rises, harnessing the untapped potential of individuals with special needs becomes crucial. They understand the multifaceted challenges they face in pursuing STEM careers and beyond, which is a pivotal step toward fostering a genuinely inclusive and diverse workforce.

This review explores existing literature, unraveling the intricate tapestry of challenges individuals with special needs encounter in their journey toward meaningful careers. By delving into the barriers posed by societal attitudes, educational structures, and workplace dynamics, we aim to illuminate the hurdles that impede the realization of equitable career pathways. Furthermore, we will examine support systems, interventions, and best practices that have shown promise in fostering inclusivity, highlighting potential avenues for improvement and replication.

As we navigate this exploration, the paper will also consider the broader implications of these findings on policy development. By evaluating current policies and proposing potential recommendations, we aim to contribute to the ongoing discourse on creating an environment where individuals with special needs can thrive professionally. In doing so, we envision a future where diversity and inclusion are not just aspirations but tangible facets of STEM and other professional landscapes. In essence, this review seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities that define career pathways for individuals with special needs, with a keen focus on the pivotal domains of STEM. In doing so, we aim to contribute valuable insights to inform future research, policy-making, and collective efforts to build a more inclusive and equitable professional world.

Background

Individuals with special needs encounter many challenges when embarking on career pathways, particularly within the demanding fields of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics.

A common challenge lies in the pervasive societal attitudes that often perpetuate stereotypes and misconceptions, hindering the seamless integration of individuals with special needs into the professional sphere (Adams & Zúñiga, 2016; Weinstein, Whittington, & Leiba, 2003). These attitudes create a stigma around their abilities. They may influence educational and workplace environments, shaping an inhospitable landscape for those pursuing STEM careers.

Students with special needs often face systemic barriers in educational settings that impede their access to quality STEM education. Inadequate support structures, limited accommodations, and a lack of inclusive teaching practices can exacerbate disparities, restricting their ability to develop the necessary skills and knowledge for STEM professions. This educational gap not only affects their academic performance but also poses a significant hurdle in the pursuit of STEM careers. Moreover, the professional realm presents unique challenges as well (Fuller, Luckey, Odean, & Lang, 2021; Margot & Kettler, 2019). Workplace environments may lack the necessary accommodations to ensure the full participation of individuals with special needs. Biases and stereotypes can influence hiring decisions, limiting opportunities for those with disabilities to showcase their skills and contribute meaningfully to STEM-related projects. This dual challenge of educational barriers and workplace biases underscores the complex obstacles faced by individuals with special needs in STEM and other career paths (Duran & Lopez, 2015; Mulvey, Hoffman, & McGuire, 2022; Reyes, 2018).

The importance of diversity and inclusion in the workforce cannot be overstated. A diverse workforce reflects human experiences' richness and enhances organizational creativity, innovation, and problem-solving. In the context of individuals with special needs, fostering diversity and inclusion is not just an ethical imperative but an economic necessity. Organizations that actively promote diversity and inclusion create an environment where varied perspectives converge, fostering a culture of creativity and adaptability (Cooke et al., 2019; Rankin-Gomez, 2011; Stanford, 2020). In STEM, where innovation thrives on diverse thought processes and approaches, including individuals with special needs becomes paramount. By harnessing the unique talents and perspectives that individuals with disabilities bring, organizations can achieve a more comprehensive understanding of complex problems and develop more effective and innovative solutions (Wambui, Wangombe, Muthura, Kamau, & Jackson, 2013). Initiatives prioritizing diversity and inclusion in the workforce have consistently demonstrated improved employee morale, increased productivity, and a more positive corporate image. Beyond the ethical considerations, the business case for diversity is compelling, urging organizations to create inclusive environments that celebrate differences and provide equal opportunities for professional growth (Mciver, Lengnick-Hall, Lengnick-Hall, & Ramachandran, 2013).

A growing body of literature has explored initiatives and studies dedicated to addressing the inclusion of people with special needs in STEM fields. These initiatives span various aspects, including educational interventions, workplace accommodations, and awareness campaigns. In education, programs have been developed to provide tailored support for individuals with special needs pursuing STEM studies. These initiatives focus on creating inclusive learning environments, adapting curriculum materials, and incorporating assistive technologies to facilitate equal participation. Moreover, mentorship programs and collaborative learning approaches have shown

promise in enhancing the academic experiences of individuals with special needs in STEM disciplines (Council, 2014; Lindsay, 2007).

Within the workplace, initiatives have emerged to dismantle barriers and promote the inclusion of individuals with special needs in STEM professions. This includes awareness campaigns to challenge stereotypes, the development of accessible workplace technologies, and the implementation of inclusive hiring practices. Some organizations have also championed mentorship programs and workplace accommodations to ensure that individuals with disabilities can thrive in STEM careers (Bilimoria, Joy, & Liang, 2008; Sturm, 2006).

Barriers and Challenges

The pursuit of career paths by individuals with special needs, particularly within the intricate domains of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics, is marred by a multitude of barriers and challenges that extend across various dimensions - societal, educational, and professional.

One of the most pervasive challenges stems from societal attitudes and stereotypes surrounding individuals with special needs. Prejudices and misconceptions often contribute to a stigmatized view of their capabilities, creating barriers in social interactions and influencing perceptions within educational and professional settings. The prevailing societal attitudes can act as a deterrent, shaping a narrative that undermines the potential contributions of individuals with special needs in STEM and other career paths (Hetrick & Martin, 1987). Within educational institutions, individuals with special needs face systemic barriers that hinder their access to quality STEM education. Inadequate accommodations, a lack of inclusive teaching methods, and insufficient support structures can impede their academic progress (Ahmad, 2015). The shortage of specialized resources, such as assistive technologies and accessible learning materials, further exacerbates the challenges faced by students with disabilities, limiting their ability to acquire the necessary skills for STEM professions (Harrison, Bunford, Evans, & Owens, 2013; Mitchell & Sutherland, 2020). Transitioning from education to the professional sphere poses its own set of challenges for individuals with special needs. Workplace environments may lack the necessary accommodations to facilitate their full participation. Biases and stereotypes can influence hiring decisions, resulting in limited opportunities for individuals with disabilities. The absence of inclusive workplace practices, such as flexible work arrangements and accessible technologies, can further isolate individuals with special needs, hindering their professional growth within STEM and other fields. A notable challenge is the lack of representation of individuals with special needs in STEM fields. Limited visibility of successful professionals with disabilities may contribute to a dearth of role models, making it difficult for aspiring individuals to envision a viable career path. This absence of representation not only impacts the confidence and aspirations of individuals with special needs but also perpetuates the notion that STEM careers are not accessible to those with disabilities (Macdonald, 2014). Accessibility remains a critical challenge in both educational and workplace settings. Physical barriers, digital inaccessibility, and a lack of accommodations can impede the seamless participation of individuals with special needs. In STEM, where laboratory environments and specialized equipment are familiar, the absence of universally designed spaces and equipment further limits the inclusivity of these disciplines (Bain, Basson, Faisman, & Kanevsky, 2005; Sahoo & Choudhury, 2023).

Networking is pivotal in career development, but individuals with special needs may face challenges accessing networking opportunities. Social events, conferences, and professional gatherings may not always be designed with inclusivity in mind, making it difficult for individuals with disabilities to establish crucial professional connections (Bates & Davis, 2004). Navigating these barriers and challenges requires a comprehensive and collaborative approach. Addressing societal attitudes, reforming educational systems, implementing inclusive workplace practices, and fostering representation are essential steps toward creating equitable career pathways for individuals with special needs in STEM and beyond. In the subsequent sections of this review, we will delve into support systems, interventions, and best practices that aim to overcome these challenges and pave the way for a more inclusive professional landscape.

Support Systems and Interventions

Recognizing the multifaceted challenges individuals with special needs face in their pursuit of careers, particularly within STEM, various support systems and interventions have been developed to foster inclusivity and equal opportunities. These initiatives span educational institutions, workplaces, and broader societal frameworks.

One of the foundational support systems is the development of inclusive education programs. These programs aim to create learning environments that accommodate diverse learning needs, providing individuals with special needs the necessary support to pursue STEM education. Inclusive curricula, accessible learning materials, and the integration of assistive technologies contribute to a more equitable educational experience, preparing students for future careers in STEM fields. Mentorship programs are pivotal in guiding individuals with special needs along their career paths. Establishing mentorship relationships with experienced professionals in STEM fields provides valuable insights, advice, and support. Peer support networks within educational institutions and workplaces can also contribute to a sense of community and inclusion, offering individuals with disabilities a platform to share experiences and navigate challenges collectively (Betts et al., 2023; Collective et al., 2021; Naslund, Grande, Aschbrenner, & Elwyn, 2014; Waters et al., 2022).

Creating accessible and inclusive workplaces is crucial for the professional success of individuals with special needs. Workplace accommodations, such as accessible facilities, assistive technologies, and flexible work arrangements, provide a supportive environment. Employers can proactively implement policies that ensure equal opportunities for individuals with disabilities, fostering an atmosphere where diverse talents are recognized and valued. Initiatives that aim to sensitize educators, employers, and colleagues about the challenges individuals with special needs face contribute to creating more inclusive environments. Training programs on inclusive teaching practices, disability awareness, and the implementation of universal design principles can enhance the understanding of diverse needs and promote a culture of inclusivity in both educational and workplace settings.

Advocacy and awareness campaigns are crucial in challenging stereotypes and changing societal attitudes. These campaigns can highlight the capabilities and successes of individuals with special needs in STEM and other professions, contributing to a shift in public perception (Tytler, Osborne, Williams, Tytler, & Cripps Clark, 2008; Yoder & Mattheis, 2016). Increased awareness can lead to the creation of a more inclusive and supportive ecosystem within educational institutions,

workplaces, and society at large. Smooth transitions from academic institutions to the workforce are essential for individuals with special needs. Tailored transition programs that address the unique challenges faced during this phase, providing career guidance, skill development, and networking opportunities, can significantly enhance the prospects of successful integration into STEM and other career paths. Investing in research and development initiatives focused on accessible technologies and accommodations is critical. This includes the development of adaptive technologies, universal design principles, and innovative solutions that cater to the diverse needs of individuals with special needs, ensuring their full participation in STEM and other professional domains (Halpern, 1994; Shaw, 2009).

Policy Implications

Creating inclusive career pathways for individuals with special needs necessitates a strategic and comprehensive policy framework that addresses challenges at systemic levels. These policies are crucial in shaping educational systems, guiding workplace practices, and fostering societal attitudes. Developing and implementing inclusive education policies is foundational for promoting equal opportunities in STEM. Policies should mandate the incorporation of inclusive teaching practices, reasonable accommodations, and accessible learning materials in all educational institutions. This ensures that individuals with special needs receive the support they require early, preparing them for successful pursuits in STEM fields.

Policies should set clear standards for workplace accessibility, encompassing physical spaces, digital technologies, and other accommodations. Employers should be required to provide reasonable accommodations to facilitate the full participation of individuals with special needs in STEM and other professions. Legislation should also emphasize the importance of flexible work arrangements to accommodate diverse needs. Affirmative action policies can be instrumental in addressing the underrepresentation of individuals with special needs in STEM fields (Allen-Ramdial & Campbell, 2014; Garces, 2013). Governments and organizations should implement inclusive hiring policies encouraging the recruitment and retention of individuals with disabilities. This involves creating a supportive recruitment process, providing mentorship opportunities, and fostering an inclusive workplace culture (Erickson, von Schrader, Bruyère, & VanLooy, 2014; Hartnett, Stuart, Thurman, Loy, & Batiste, 2011).

Policies should allocate research funding for studies on inclusive practices in STEM education and professions. This funding can support the development of innovative approaches, technologies, and interventions that cater to the diverse needs of individuals with special needs, contributing to advancing inclusive career pathways (Andreas, 2014; Wehman et al., 2018). Incorporating sensitization and training mandates within educational and professional policies is essential. These mandates should require ongoing training programs for educators, employers, and colleagues to enhance their understanding of the challenges individuals with special needs face. Training should emphasize inclusive teaching practices, disability awareness, and the implementation of universal design principles (Adelekea & Onyebuchib; Navarro, Zervas, Gesa, & Sampson, 2016; Park, Roberts, & Delise, 2017).

Educational policies should recognize and celebrate diverse learning styles. This involves acknowledging the varied strengths and capabilities of individuals with special needs in STEM and other disciplines. By promoting a curriculum that embraces different learning approaches,

policies can contribute to a more inclusive educational environment (Felder, Woods, Stice, & Rugarcia, 2000; Gay, 2013). Comprehensive advocacy and anti-discrimination legislation should be in place to protect the rights of individuals with special needs. These policies should address discrimination in educational settings, workplaces, and society. Precise mechanisms for reporting and addressing discrimination should be established to ensure accountability and create a culture of inclusion. Effective policy implementation requires collaboration between government bodies, industry stakeholders, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). Policies should encourage partnerships that collectively facilitate sharing resources, best practices, and expertise to advance inclusive career pathways for individuals with special needs (Abok, 2014; Brown, 2009).

Future Directions

Future efforts should prioritize ongoing research and data collection to deepen our understanding of the evolving challenges and opportunities individuals with special needs face. Comprehensive data on the experiences of these individuals in STEM education, career progression, and workplace dynamics will inform evidence-based strategies and interventions. With the rapid evolution of technology, future initiatives should emphasize developing and integrating cutting-edge solutions for accessibility in STEM. This includes advancements in assistive technologies, virtual learning platforms, and adaptive tools that cater to diverse needs, ensuring a technologically inclusive environment for individuals with special needs.

Collaboration on a global scale is essential for the exchange of knowledge, best practices, and innovative strategies. International partnerships between governments, educational institutions, and organizations can foster a shared commitment to inclusivity, allowing for the adaptation of successful practices across diverse cultural and educational contexts. Enhancing and expanding mentorship programs tailored to individuals with special needs in STEM professions is critical. These programs can provide personalized guidance, career advice, and emotional support, contributing to the professional development and empowerment of individuals with disabilities.

Future initiatives should prioritize advocacy efforts aimed at driving systemic change. This involves challenging ingrained societal attitudes, dispelling stereotypes, and influencing local, national, and international policy development. Advocacy should be a driving force behind dismantling barriers and fostering a more inclusive culture in STEM and other professional domains. Recognizing and embracing neurodiversity within STEM fields is vital for future directions. Efforts should be made to create environments that value diverse cognitive abilities and neurological profiles. This includes developing inclusive teaching methods, workplace practices, and support systems that cater to the unique strengths of neurodivergent individuals.

Expanding work-integrated learning opportunities, such as internships, apprenticeships, and cooperative education programs, can provide valuable hands-on experiences for individuals with special needs. These initiatives bridge the gap between education and employment, offering practical skills development and enhancing the employability of individuals with disabilities in STEM professions. Industry stakeholders should actively engage in collaborative initiatives that promote inclusivity. This involves establishing industry-led programs, partnerships with educational institutions, and implementing inclusive hiring practices. Such initiatives can create a seamless transition from education to employment for individuals with special needs.

Building and strengthening support networks within communities, educational institutions, and workplaces is essential for fostering a sense of belonging and resilience among individuals with special needs. Future efforts should focus on creating robust support structures that address the unique challenges faced by these individuals throughout their educational and professional journeys. Future directions should emphasize a holistic approach to inclusion beyond meeting basic accessibility requirements. This involves cultivating a diversity, equity, and inclusion culture within educational institutions and workplaces. A holistic approach acknowledges the intersectionality of identities and strives to create environments where individuals with special needs can thrive authentically.

CONCLUSION

In the journey towards creating inclusive career pathways for individuals with special needs in Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics and other professions, our exploration has illuminated both the challenges and the promising initiatives shaping this landscape. As we conclude, it is evident that fostering equal opportunities requires concerted efforts across societal, educational, and professional spheres. The challenges faced by individuals with special needs, including societal attitudes, educational barriers, and workplace biases, are complex and interconnected. Tackling these challenges demands a multifaceted approach encompassing policy reforms, innovative support systems, and a fundamental shift in cultural perspectives.

Through the lens of our review, we have observed the importance of diversity and inclusion as not only ethical imperatives but also essential contributors to innovation and progress in STEM and other fields. The intersectionality of identities, including disability, enriches the fabric of professional environments, leading to more creative problem-solving and groundbreaking advancements. Support systems and interventions, ranging from inclusive education programs to workplace accommodations, demonstrate that tangible progress can be achieved. Mentorship, awareness campaigns, and advocacy efforts are pivotal in reshaping societal narratives and dismantling stereotypes, creating a foundation for lasting change.

Our exploration highlighted policy implications that underscore the need for systemic reforms that prioritize accessibility, inclusivity, and anti-discrimination measures. These policies form the backbone of an inclusive framework that permeates educational institutions, workplaces, and broader societal structures. Looking to the future, we envision a landscape where ongoing research, technological advancements, and global collaboration continue to drive progress. Tailored mentorship programs, advocacy for systemic change, and recognition of neurodiversity contribute to a holistic approach that acknowledges the unique strengths and capabilities of individuals with special needs.

In conclusion, the collective efforts of governments, educational institutions, industry leaders, advocacy groups, and the broader community are crucial for realizing the vision of inclusive career pathways. As we navigate the evolving landscape of STEM and beyond, our commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion will catalyze transformative change, ensuring that individuals with special needs participate and thrive in their chosen professional realms. This journey is about creating opportunities and fostering a society where the full spectrum of human potential is celebrated and embraced.

References

- Abok, A. M. (2014). *Factors affecting effective implementation of strategic plans in non-governmental organizations in Kenya*.
- Adams, M., & Zúñiga, X. (2016). Getting started: Core concepts for social justice education. In *Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice* (pp. 95-130): Routledge.
- Adelekea, I. J., & Onyebuchib, C. N. (n.d.). Challenges teachers experience in teaching english second language in secondary schools in the northwest province.
- Ahmad, F. K. (2015). Use of assistive technology in inclusive education: making room for diverse learning needs. *Transcience*, 6(2), 62-77.
- Allen-Ramdial, S.-A. A., & Campbell, A. G. (2014). Reimagining the pipeline: Advancing STEM diversity, persistence, and success. *BioScience*, 64(7), 612-618.
- Andreas, S. (2014). *International Summit on the Teaching Profession Equity, Excellence and Inclusiveness in Education Policy Lessons from Around the World: Policy Lessons from Around the World*: OECD publishing.
- Bain, K., Basson, S., Faisman, A., & Kanevsky, D. (2005). Accessibility, transcription, and access everywhere. *IBM Systems Journal*, 44(3), 589-603.
- Bates, P., & Davis, F. A. (2004). Social capital, social inclusion and services for people with learning disabilities. *Disability & Society*, 19(3), 195-207.
- Betts, K., Creechan, L., Cawkwell, R., Finn-Kelcey, I., Griffin, C., Hagopian, A., . . . O'Donoghue, S. (2023). Neurodiversity, networks, and narratives: Exploring intimacy and expressive freedom in the time of COVID-19. *Social Inclusion*, 11(1), 60.
- Bilimoria, D., Joy, S., & Liang, X. (2008). Breaking barriers and creating inclusiveness: Lessons of organizational transformation to advance women faculty in academic science and engineering. *Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration, the University of Michigan and in Alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management*, 47(3), 423-441.
- Blustein, D. L., & Noumair, D. A. (1996). Self and identity in career development: Implications for theory and practice. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 74(5), 433-441.
- Brown, D. (2009). The effectiveness of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) within Civil Society.
- Chun, J., Zhou, K., Rumrill, S., & Tittelbach, T. (2023). STEM Career pathways for transition-age youth with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Research, Policy, and Education*, 37(1), 36-48.
- Collective, M., Myles-Baltzly, C. C., Ho, H. K., Richardson, I., Greene-Rooks, J., Azim, K. A., . . . & Lim, S. R. (2021). Transformative Collaborations. *International Perspectives in Psychology*.
- Cooke, D. T., Olive, J., Godoy, L., Preventza, O., Mathisen, D. J., & Prager, R. L. (2019). The importance of a diverse specialty: introducing the STS workforce on diversity and inclusion. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, 108(4), 1000-1005.
- Council, N. R. (2014). *STEM integration in K-12 education: Status, prospects, and an agenda for research*: National Academies Press.

- Dunn, C., Rabren, C., Russell, M., Massey, C., & Martin, M. (2014). Transitioning and preparing learners with special needs into STEM careers. *STEM education: Strategies for teaching learners with special needs*, 195-217.
- Duran, A., & Lopez, D. (2015). Women from diverse backgrounds in the science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) professions: Retention and career development. In *Impact of diversity on organization and career development* (pp. 214-251): IGI Global.
- Erickson, W. A., von Schrader, S., Bruyère, S. M., & VanLooy, S. A. (2014). The employment environment: Employer perspectives, policies, and practices regarding the employment of persons with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 57(4), 195-208.
- Felder, R. M., Woods, D. R., Stice, J. E., & Rugarcia, A. (2000). The future of engineering education: Part 2. Teaching methods that work. *Chemical Engineering Education*, 34(1), 26-39.
- Fuller, J. A., Luckey, S., Odean, R., & Lang, S. N. (2021). Creating a diverse, inclusive, and equitable learning environment to support children of color's early introductions to STEM. *Translational Issues in Psychological Science*, 7(4), 473.
- Garces, L. M. (2013). Understanding the impact of affirmative action bans in different graduate fields of study. *American Educational Research Journal*, 50(2), 251-284.
- Gay, G. (2013). Teaching to and through cultural diversity. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 43(1), 48-70.
- Halpern, A. S. (1994). The transition of youth with disabilities to adult life: A position statement of the division on career development and transition, the council for exceptional children. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 17(2), 115-124.
- Harrison, J. R., Bunford, N., Evans, S. W., & Owens, J. S. (2013). Educational accommodations for students with behavioral challenges: A systematic review of the literature. *Review of Educational Research*, 83(4), 551-597.
- Hartnett, H. P., Stuart, H., Thurman, H., Loy, B., & Batiste, L. C. (2011). Employers' perceptions of the benefits of workplace accommodations: Reasons to hire, retain and promote people with disabilities. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 34(1), 17-23.
- Hehir, T. (2005). *New directions in special education: Eliminating ableism in policy and practice*: Harvard Education Press.
- Hetrick, E. S., & Martin, A. D. (1987). Developmental issues and their resolution for gay and lesbian adolescents. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 14(1-2), 25-43.
- Imrie, R., & Hall, P. (2003). *Inclusive design: designing and developing accessible environments*: Taylor & Francis.
- Lindsay, G. (2007). Educational psychology and the effectiveness of inclusive education/mainstreaming. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77(1), 1-24.
- Macdonald, A. (2014). "Not for people like me?" Under-represented groups in science, technology and engineering. *Wise Campaign*.
- Margot, K. C., & Kettler, T. (2019). Teachers' perception of STEM integration and education: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of STEM education*, 6(1), 1-16.
- Mciver, D., Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Lengnick-Hall, M. L., & Ramachandran, I. (2013). Understanding work and knowledge management from a knowledge-in-practice perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 38(4), 597-620.

- Mitchell, D., & Sutherland, D. (2020). *What really works in special and inclusive education: Using evidence-based teaching strategies*: Routledge.
- Mulvey, K. L., Hoffman, A. J., & McGuire, L. (2022). Fairness and Opportunity in Stem Contexts: Gender, Stereotypes, and Moral Judgments. *Handbook of moral development*, 236-252.
- Naslund, J. A., Grande, S. W., Aschbrenner, K. A., & Elwyn, G. (2014). Naturally occurring peer support through social media: the experiences of individuals with severe mental illness using YouTube. *PLOS One*, 9(10), e110171.
- Navarro, S., Zervas, P., Gesa, R., & Sampson, D. (2016). Developing teachers' competences for designing inclusive learning experiences. *Educational Technology and Society*, 19(1), 17-27.
- Park, H. J., Roberts, K., & Delise, D. (2017). The effects of professional development on universal design for instruction on faculty perception and practice. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, 30(2), 123-139.
- Rankin-Gomez, K. (2011). *Managing workplace diversity: the importance of diversity and inclusion*. Davenport University,
- Reyes, S. M. (2018). An investigation of gender bias in a career assessment for a STEM Field.
- Sahoo, S. K., & Choudhury, B. B. (2023). Wheelchair Accessibility: Bridging the Gap to Equality and Inclusion. *Decision Making Advances*, 1(1), 63-85.
- Shaw, S. F. (2009). Transition to postsecondary education. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, 42(2), 1.
- Stanford, F. C. (2020). The importance of diversity and inclusion in the healthcare workforce. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, 112(3), 247-249.
- Tytler, R., Osborne, J., Williams, G., Tytler, K., & Cripps Clark, J. (2008). Opening up pathways: Engagement in STEM across the primary-secondary school transition. *Canberra: Australian Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations*.
- Wambui, T. W., Wangombe, J. G., Muthura, M. W., Kamau, A. W., & Jackson, S. M. (2013). Managing workplace diversity: A Kenyan perspective. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(16), 199-218.
- Waters, L., Cameron, K., Nelson-Coffey, S. K., Crone, D. L., Kern, M. L., Lomas, T., . . . Rashid, T. (2022). Collective wellbeing and posttraumatic growth during COVID-19: How positive psychology can help families, schools, workplaces and marginalized communities. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 17(6), 761-789.
- Wehman, P., Taylor, J., Brooke, V., Avellone, L., Whittenburg, H., Ham, W., . . . Carr, S. (2018). Toward competitive employment for persons with intellectual and developmental disabilities: What progress have we made and where do we need to go. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*, 43(3), 131-144.
- Weinstein, J., Whittington, C., & Leiba, T. (2003). *Collaboration in social work practice*: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Yoder, J. B., & Mattheis, A. (2016). Queer in STEM: Workplace experiences reported in a national survey of LGBTQA individuals in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics careers. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 63(1), 1-27.