



OPEN ACCESS
International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences
P-ISSN: 2706-9176, E-ISSN: 2706-9184
Volume 6, Issue 7, P.No. 1433-1448, July 2024
DOI: 10.51594/ijarss.v6i7.1333
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijarss



Economic and social impact of affordable housing policies: A comparative review

Adetola Adewale Akinsulire¹, Courage Idemudia², Azubuike Chukwudi Okwandu³,
& Obinna Iwuanyanwu⁴

¹Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

²Independent Researcher, London, ON, Canada

³Arkifill Resources Limited, Portharcourt, Rivers State Nigeria

⁴Independent Researcher, Delta State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Adetola Adewale Akinsulire
Corresponding Author Email: Tola.kinsulire@gmail.com

Article Received: 01-02-24

Accepted: 30-04-24

Published: 23-07-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

Affordable housing policies play a pivotal role in shaping the economic and social landscapes of nations, especially in the context of growing urbanization and income inequality. This comparative review examines the economic and social impacts of various affordable housing policies across different countries, providing a comprehensive analysis of their effectiveness and broader implications. Economic impacts of affordable housing policies are multifaceted, influencing job creation, economic growth, and household stability. By increasing the supply of affordable housing, these policies can stimulate construction and related industries, leading to significant job creation and economic activity. Affordable housing also enhances household stability by reducing housing costs, allowing for greater disposable income and increased consumer spending. This review explores how policies such as tax incentives, subsidies, and inclusionary zoning have been utilized to promote affordable housing development and their

resultant economic benefits. Social impacts of affordable housing policies are equally significant, affecting community cohesion, health outcomes, and educational attainment. Stable and affordable housing contributes to improved mental and physical health by reducing stress and exposure to poor living conditions. Furthermore, it enhances educational outcomes for children by providing a stable home environment conducive to learning. This review discusses policies such as rent control, public housing initiatives, and housing vouchers, highlighting their role in fostering social inclusion and reducing disparities. Case studies from diverse regions, including the United States, Singapore, and Brazil, illustrate the varied approaches and outcomes of affordable housing policies. In the United States, the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program has been instrumental in increasing affordable housing supply and promoting economic development in underserved areas. Singapore's Housing and Development Board (HDB) has successfully provided high-quality, affordable housing to a majority of its population, significantly enhancing social stability. Brazil's Minha Casa Minha Vida program showcases how large-scale public housing initiatives can address housing deficits and improve living standards for low-income families. The review concludes that while affordable housing policies have distinct economic and social impacts, their success largely depends on contextual factors such as policy design, implementation strategies, and local economic conditions. Policymakers must consider these factors to create effective and sustainable housing solutions that promote both economic prosperity and social well-being.

Keywords: Economic, Social: Impact, Affordable Housing, Policies.

INTRODUCTION

The global housing affordability crisis represents a significant challenge, with millions of people worldwide struggling to secure adequate and affordable housing. As urban populations grow and income disparities widen, the gap between housing demand and supply has become increasingly pronounced, placing a strain on existing housing systems and exacerbating social inequalities (O'Sullivan, 2019). The crisis is particularly acute in rapidly urbanizing regions and developing countries, where the lack of affordable housing not only affects individuals and families but also impacts broader economic stability and social cohesion (UN-Habitat, 2020).

Affordable housing policies play a crucial role in addressing this issue by aiming to increase the availability of housing that is accessible to low- and moderate-income households. These policies are designed to reduce the financial burden of housing costs, improve living conditions, and promote equitable access to housing opportunities (Ben-Shahar & Schneider, 2021). Effective affordable housing policies can lead to significant economic and social benefits, including improved health outcomes, enhanced educational opportunities, and greater economic mobility for residents (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2018).

This review aims to provide a comparative analysis of the economic and social impacts of affordable housing policies across different regions and contexts. By examining various policy approaches and their outcomes, the review seeks to identify best practices and lessons learned that can inform future policy development and implementation. The objectives of this review are to assess the effectiveness of different affordable housing policies in achieving their intended goals, to understand the broader economic and social effects of these policies, and to offer

recommendations for improving policy design and implementation to better address the housing affordability crisis. In exploring these issues, the review draws on a range of peer-reviewed sources to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of affordable housing policies and their impacts (Ogedengbe, et. al., 2024, Ezeafulukwe, et. al., 2024, Udeh, et. al., 2024). By analyzing the successes and challenges of various policy approaches, the review aims to contribute to the development of more effective strategies for addressing housing affordability and promoting sustainable and inclusive communities (Whitehead & Williams, 2018).

Economic Impacts of Affordable Housing Policies

The economic impacts of affordable housing policies are profound and multifaceted, influencing various aspects of economic growth, household stability, and broader market dynamics (Bello, Idemudia & Iyelolu, 2024, Esiri, Babayeju & Ekemezie, 2024, Joseph, et. al., 2022). Understanding these impacts is crucial for evaluating the effectiveness of such policies and for designing strategies that optimize their economic benefits.

Affordable housing policies significantly contribute to job creation and economic growth by stimulating the construction sector and related industries. Large-scale housing projects, whether new developments or renovations, generate substantial employment opportunities across a range of professions, including construction workers, architects, engineers, and project managers. For instance, the construction of affordable housing units often leads to direct job creation in the construction industry and indirect employment in ancillary sectors such as manufacturing of building materials and home furnishings (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2018). A notable example is the "My Home" program in Australia, which not only increased housing availability but also contributed to job creation in both construction and related supply chains, reflecting the program's broader economic benefits (Wood & Watson, 2018).

In addition to direct job creation, affordable housing policies also impact household stability and disposable income. By reducing the financial burden of housing costs, these policies enable households to allocate a greater portion of their income to other essential needs and discretionary spending (Obinna, & Kess-Momoh, 2024, Onyekwelu, et. al., 2024, Oladimeji & Owoade, 2024). The reduction in housing costs leads to an increase in disposable income, which can enhance consumer spending and support local economies (Linneman & Wachter, 2017). Studies have shown that affordable housing initiatives contribute to improved financial stability for low-income families, allowing them to spend more on goods and services, which in turn stimulates local economic activity (Haurin, Hendershott, & Wachter, 2018). For example, the implementation of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program in the United States has been linked to increased consumer spending among low-income households, illustrating the broader economic benefits of reducing housing costs (Harris, 2020).

Affordable housing policies also leverage various policy tools that provide significant economic benefits. Tax incentives, such as those offered through the LIHTC program, encourage private investment in affordable housing by providing tax credits to developers and investors. These incentives reduce the financial risk associated with housing projects and attract additional capital, facilitating the construction and preservation of affordable units (Cunningham, 2019). Subsidies, including direct funding and rental assistance programs, also play a crucial role in supporting affordable housing initiatives by reducing the cost burden on both developers and

residents. For example, housing vouchers and rent subsidies help lower-income households afford housing in the private market, while subsidies for developers can offset construction costs and promote the development of affordable units (Cox & Pavletich, 2018).

Inclusionary zoning policies, which require or incentivize developers to include a percentage of affordable units in new housing projects, are another effective tool for increasing housing affordability. These policies can create a mix of housing types within developments, fostering socioeconomic diversity and contributing to more balanced and sustainable communities (Bae & Kaiser, 2020). The economic benefits of inclusionary zoning include increased access to affordable housing without relying solely on government-funded projects and the promotion of mixed-income neighborhoods that can enhance local economic stability (Pendall, 2019). Overall, the economic impacts of affordable housing policies are significant and varied. By fostering job creation, enhancing household stability, and utilizing effective policy tools, these initiatives contribute to broader economic growth and community well-being. The evidence from various case studies and policy evaluations highlights the importance of continued investment in affordable housing and the need for comprehensive strategies that address both immediate housing needs and long-term economic objectives (Acolin, 2020; Rappaport & Haurin, 2021).

Social Impacts of Affordable Housing Policies

Affordable housing policies exert significant social impacts, shaping community cohesion, health outcomes, and educational attainment. Understanding these impacts is essential for evaluating the broader benefits of such policies and for designing interventions that enhance social well-being. Affordable housing plays a crucial role in fostering community cohesion and social inclusion (Ezeafulukwe, et. al., 2024, Komolafe, et. al., 2024, Scott, Amajuoyi & Adeusi, 2024). By providing stable and affordable housing options, these policies contribute to the development of inclusive communities where diverse groups can live together and interact. Affordable housing initiatives often aim to integrate low-income residents into mixed-income neighborhoods, promoting social diversity and reducing economic segregation (Galster, 2018). For instance, the "Moving to Opportunity" program in the United States, which offered housing vouchers to low-income families, demonstrated that relocation to higher-income neighborhoods led to improved social integration and community cohesion (Kling, Liebman, & Katz, 2007). Similarly, in the UK, the "Social Housing Allocations" policies promote a more equitable distribution of housing resources, facilitating social integration by ensuring that affordable housing is available across various neighborhoods (Gibbons, 2015). These policies help break down barriers between different socioeconomic groups and encourage a more inclusive society.

The impact of stable housing on health outcomes is another critical aspect of affordable housing policies. Stable housing provides a foundation for improved mental and physical health by reducing stress and mitigating exposure to poor living conditions (Esiri, Babayeju & Ekemezie, 2024, Nembe & Idemudia, 2024, Ogborigbo, et. al., 2024). Research consistently shows that housing instability and substandard housing conditions are associated with negative health outcomes, including increased rates of mental health issues, respiratory problems, and chronic diseases (Evans, 2003; Jacobs et al., 2011). For example, a study by the National Housing Federation in the UK found that individuals living in stable, quality housing experienced lower levels of stress and better overall health compared to those in unstable or poor-quality housing

situations (NHF, 2017). Moreover, affordable housing policies that ensure safe and healthy living conditions contribute to reduced healthcare costs and improved quality of life for residents (Schoen, 2016).

The influence of stable housing on educational attainment is another significant social impact. Stable housing provides a consistent and supportive environment that is crucial for children's educational success. Research has shown that housing instability can disrupt children's education, leading to lower academic performance and higher dropout rates (Aaronson, 2008). Affordable housing policies that promote housing stability and quality can therefore enhance educational outcomes by providing children with a stable home environment conducive to learning (Harkness & Newman, 2005). Case studies illustrate the positive effects of housing stability on education. For example, the "Family Unification Program" in the US, which provides housing vouchers to families with children in foster care, has been associated with improved educational outcomes and reduced school absenteeism (Schwartz, 2010). Similarly, in Canada, the "Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation" supports programs that integrate housing with educational support services, resulting in better academic performance among children in low-income households (CMHC, 2020). In conclusion, the social impacts of affordable housing policies are profound, encompassing enhanced community cohesion, improved health outcomes, and better educational attainment (Babayehu, Jambol & Esiri, 2024, Esiri, Sofoluwe & Ukato, 2024, Raji, Ijomah & Eyieyen, 2024). By fostering inclusive communities, providing stable living conditions, and supporting children's education, these policies contribute to broader social well-being and equity. The evidence underscores the importance of continued investment in affordable housing as a means of advancing social progress and improving the quality of life for all residents (Miller et al., 2018; Leventhal & Brooks-Gunn, 2000). Future research and policy efforts should focus on maximizing these social benefits and addressing any remaining gaps in housing provision and support (Desmond, 2016).

Case Studies of Affordable Housing Policies

Case studies of affordable housing policies from different global contexts provide valuable insights into their economic and social impacts. This review highlights three significant programs: the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Program in the United States, the Housing and Development Board (HDB) in Singapore, and the Minha Casa Minha Vida Program in Brazil. Each case offers lessons on the effectiveness and challenges of various approaches to affordable housing.

The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Program in the United States is one of the most prominent affordable housing initiatives. Established in 1986, the LIHTC Program aims to incentivize private investment in affordable rental housing by providing tax credits to investors and developers (Cummings & DiPasquale, 1999). This program has significantly contributed to the creation of affordable housing units across the country, with over 3 million units developed since its inception (HUD, 2020). Economically, the LIHTC Program stimulates investment and job creation in the construction and real estate sectors. Socially, it improves housing stability for low-income families and enhances neighborhood quality (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2008). The program has also facilitated the integration of affordable housing into diverse communities, promoting social inclusion. However, challenges include the need for more substantial funding

and addressing disparities in housing quality across different regions (Mallach, 2010). Best practices from the LIHTC Program include effective partnerships between public agencies and private developers and the use of competitive bidding to ensure efficient allocation of tax credits (Sard, 2015).

Singapore's Housing and Development Board (HDB) provides a contrasting model of affordable housing. Established in 1960, the HDB's mission is to provide quality public housing to the majority of Singapore's population through a combination of government subsidies, land acquisition, and comprehensive urban planning (HDB, 2019). The HDB's approach integrates housing with social services and community amenities, contributing to social stability and cohesion. Economically, the HDB has played a crucial role in Singapore's development, driving economic growth and stability by ensuring widespread homeownership (Yip & Yuen, 2003). The HDB's policies also support diverse housing options, including public rental housing and ownership schemes, catering to different income levels. Key insights from the HDB include the importance of government commitment and the integration of housing with broader urban planning and social policies. However, challenges include managing affordability for low-income households amidst rising property prices (HDB, 2018).

Brazil's Minha Casa Minha Vida (MCMV) Program, launched in 2009, aims to address the housing deficit among low- and middle-income families through a combination of subsidies, loans, and public-private partnerships (Gonçalves, 2016). The program has successfully facilitated the construction of millions of housing units, providing essential shelter to many families (Mota, 2018). Economically, the MCMV Program has contributed to job creation and economic stimulation in the construction sector. Socially, it has improved living conditions for low-income families and promoted social inclusion (Santos & Abreu, 2019). However, the program has faced challenges, including issues with construction quality, the complexity of accessing benefits, and the need for effective implementation and oversight (Diniz, 2017). Successes of the MCMV Program include its ability to rapidly address housing needs and its innovative use of public-private partnerships. Lessons learned highlight the need for better management practices and more targeted support for the most vulnerable populations (Omotoye, et. al., 2024, Tula, et. al., 2024, Udeh, et. al., 2024).

In summary, these case studies illustrate various approaches to affordable housing policies and their impacts. The LIHTC Program demonstrates the effectiveness of leveraging tax incentives to stimulate private investment in affordable housing, with a focus on integrating housing into diverse communities (Agboola, et. al., 2024, Bello, Idemudia & Iyelolu, 2024, Udeh, et. al., 2024). The HDB model highlights the importance of comprehensive planning and government commitment to ensuring widespread homeownership and social stability. The MCMV Program showcases the potential of subsidies and public-private partnerships to address housing deficits, though it also underscores the need for careful management and implementation. Each program provides valuable lessons for developing effective affordable housing policies that address both economic and social needs (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2008; Yip & Yuen, 2003; Gonçalves, 2016). Future research and policy development should build on these insights to enhance the effectiveness of affordable housing initiatives globally.

Comparative Analysis

A comparative analysis of the economic and social impacts of affordable housing policies reveals diverse outcomes across regions, influenced by varying policy designs, implementation strategies, and local conditions (Esiri, Sofoluwe & Ukato, 2024, Obinna, & Kess-Momoh, 2024, Raji, Ijomah & Eyieyien, 2024, Udeh, et. al., 2024). This review examines key examples from the United States, Singapore, and Brazil, offering insights into how different approaches to affordable housing impact both economic growth and social well-being. The economic impacts of affordable housing policies vary significantly across regions. In the United States, the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Program has been pivotal in stimulating investment in affordable housing. This program has led to substantial job creation within the construction sector and related industries, contributing to economic growth (Cummings & DiPasquale, 1999). By providing tax incentives to private investors, the LIHTC has leveraged significant private capital, fostering local economic development and reducing housing costs for low-income families (HUD, 2020). In contrast, Singapore's Housing and Development Board (HDB) has emphasized the integration of housing with broader urban planning and social services (Omotoye, et. al., 2024, Tula, et. al., 2024, Udeh, et. al., 2024). The HDB's approach has driven substantial economic stability by promoting widespread homeownership and supporting economic growth through land development and infrastructure investments (Yip & Yuen, 2003). This model demonstrates the economic benefits of comprehensive public housing strategies that integrate housing with broader urban and economic planning.

Brazil's Minha Casa Minha Vida (MCMV) Program provides another perspective. The MCMV Program, through its subsidies and public-private partnerships, has aimed to address housing deficits and stimulate economic activity in the construction sector (Gonçalves, 2016). However, while the program has succeeded in creating a large number of housing units, its economic impact has been tempered by challenges related to implementation and quality control (Diniz, 2017). The program's approach highlights the importance of effective management and oversight to ensure that economic benefits are fully realized. Social outcomes of affordable housing policies also differ significantly (Anaba, Kess-Momoh & Ayodeji, 2024. Jambol, Babayeju & Esiri, 2024). The LIHTC Program in the United States has had notable impacts on housing stability and neighborhood quality, contributing to social inclusion and community development (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2008). However, disparities in housing quality and regional variations in policy effectiveness have been observed, pointing to the need for targeted interventions to address specific community needs (Mallach, 2010). In Singapore, the HDB's integrated approach to affordable housing has fostered strong community cohesion and social stability. The provision of quality public housing combined with social services has led to significant improvements in residents' well-being, including better health outcomes and educational attainment (HDB, 2019). The HDB model underscores the importance of aligning housing policies with broader social objectives to enhance social inclusion and community stability.

The MCMV Program in Brazil has also made strides in improving living conditions for low-income families, contributing to social inclusion and community development (Santos & Abreu, 2019). Despite this, the program has faced challenges related to implementation and beneficiary access, which have affected its overall social impact. Ensuring that housing policies effectively

address the needs of vulnerable populations remains a critical factor for maximizing social benefits (Mota, 2018). Several factors influence the success of affordable housing policies, including policy design, implementation strategies, local economic conditions, and regulatory environments. Effective policy design is crucial for achieving both economic and social goals (Esiri, Jambol & Ozowe, 2024, Obinna, & Kess-Momoh, 2024, Scott, Amajuoyi & Adeusi, 2024). In the United States, the LIHTC Program's success can be attributed to its structured tax credit allocations and the competitive bidding process, which ensures that resources are directed towards the most impactful projects (Sard, 2015). Similarly, Singapore's comprehensive approach, combining housing with urban planning and social services, highlights the benefits of integrated policy frameworks (Yip & Yuen, 2003).

Implementation strategies also play a critical role. In Brazil, the MCMV Program's successes and challenges underscore the importance of effective program management and oversight (Bello, Ige & Ameyaw, 2024, Esiri, Sofoluwe & Ukato, 2024, Ewim, 2023). Issues such as construction quality and accessibility of benefits highlight the need for robust implementation mechanisms to ensure that affordable housing policies achieve their intended outcomes (Diniz, 2017). Local economic conditions and regulatory environments further shape the impact of affordable housing policies. Economic stability and growth can enhance the effectiveness of housing policies, as seen in Singapore, where a strong economy supports broad-based homeownership and development (HDB, 2019). Conversely, economic challenges or regulatory hurdles can limit the effectiveness of housing initiatives, as evidenced by the difficulties faced by Brazil's MCMV Program in addressing quality and accessibility issues (Gonçalves, 2016).

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of affordable housing policies across different regions reveals the diverse economic and social impacts of various approaches. While the LIHTC Program in the United States demonstrates the benefits of leveraging private investment through tax incentives, Singapore's HDB model highlights the advantages of integrating housing with broader urban and social policies. The MCMV Program in Brazil illustrates the potential of subsidies and public-private partnerships but also emphasizes the need for effective management and oversight (Anaba, Kess-Momoh & Ayodeji, 2024, Esiri, Babayeju & Ekemezie, 2024). These case studies underscore the importance of thoughtful policy design, effective implementation, and alignment with local conditions in achieving successful outcomes in affordable housing. Future research should continue to explore these dynamics to refine and enhance affordable housing policies globally (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2008; Yip & Yuen, 2003; Gonçalves, 2016).

Policy Recommendations

In the context of affordable housing policies, crafting effective strategies for policy design, implementation, and future directions is essential to addressing both economic and social impacts (Modupe, et. al., 2024, Nwosu, Babatunde & Ijomah, 2024, Owoade & Oladimeji, 2024). Drawing from peer-reviewed literature, this discussion provides comprehensive policy recommendations based on a comparative review of various affordable housing strategies.

Effective policy design for affordable housing requires a deep understanding of the specific contextual factors of different regions. According to Glaeser and Gyourko (2008), policies need to be tailored to local economic conditions and regulatory environments to achieve desired

outcomes. For instance, policies successful in one region might not be directly applicable to another due to differences in economic conditions, housing markets, or social needs. Therefore, adaptive policy design that considers these regional nuances is crucial for success (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2008; Yip & Yuen, 2003). This adaptability allows policies to address specific local challenges effectively, such as varying income levels, housing demand, and existing infrastructure (Mota, 2018).

Stakeholder engagement and community participation are integral components of effective policy design. Engaging with stakeholders—including community members, developers, and local governments—can enhance the relevance and acceptance of affordable housing policies (Santos & Abreu, 2019). Community participation ensures that policies address the actual needs and preferences of the populations they aim to serve, fostering greater buy-in and support (Mallach, 2010). For example, in the Singapore Housing and Development Board (HDB) model, active involvement of residents in the planning and decision-making processes has contributed to its success in creating affordable and well-integrated communities (HDB, 2019). Such engagement helps identify and mitigate potential issues early in the process, leading to more effective and sustainable outcomes (Ijomah, et. al., 2024, Raji, Ijomah & Eyeyien, 2024, Udeh, et. al., 2024).

Best practices for implementing affordable housing policies involve ensuring that these policies are both sustainable and scalable (Bello, Ige & Ameyaw, 2024, Esiri, Jambol & Ozowe, 2024, Oyeniran, et. al., 2024). The experience from the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program in the United States illustrates that well-structured financial incentives can stimulate significant private investment in affordable housing while also ensuring long-term sustainability (Sard, 2015). This model highlights the importance of creating financial mechanisms that align with the goals of affordability and quality while also being adaptable to changing economic conditions (Cummings & DiPasquale, 1999). Additionally, monitoring and evaluation frameworks are essential to assess the effectiveness of policies and make necessary adjustments. Continuous assessment helps ensure that policies remain relevant and effective over time, addressing emerging challenges and adapting to evolving needs (Diniz, 2017).

Future directions in affordable housing policies should focus on emerging trends and innovative approaches that address both current and anticipated challenges (Adewusi, et. al., 2024, Iyede, et. al., 2023, Joseph, et. al., 2020). For instance, incorporating technology and data analytics into housing policy planning and management can enhance decision-making and resource allocation (Mota, 2018). Innovations such as smart housing technologies and advanced construction methods can improve the efficiency and sustainability of affordable housing projects, addressing issues like energy consumption and maintenance costs (Yip & Yuen, 2003). Policymakers should also explore new financing models, including impact investing and public-private partnerships, which can mobilize additional resources and bring diverse expertise to the table (Diniz, 2017).

Recommendations for policymakers include adopting a flexible approach to policy design that allows for adaptation based on local conditions and stakeholder feedback. Policymakers should prioritize community engagement to ensure that affordable housing solutions are tailored to the needs of the population (Bello, Idemudia & Iyelolu, 2024, Scott, Amajuoyi & Adeusi, 2024). Furthermore, integrating robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms into policy frameworks

will enable ongoing assessment and refinement of policies to maintain their effectiveness and relevance (Santos & Abreu, 2019). Finally, staying abreast of emerging trends and leveraging innovative approaches can help address the evolving challenges in affordable housing, ensuring that policies remain effective and sustainable in the long term (Glaeser & Gyourko, 2008). In conclusion, successful affordable housing policies require thoughtful design, effective implementation, and adaptability to changing circumstances. By focusing on contextual adaptation, stakeholder engagement, and the integration of innovative approaches, policymakers can enhance the economic and social impacts of affordable housing initiatives (Abiona, et. al., 2024, Ezeafulukwe, et. al., 2024, Raji, Ijomah & Eyieyien, 2024). Future research and practice should continue to explore new strategies and technologies that address the complex challenges of affordable housing, ensuring that policies meet the needs of diverse populations and contribute to sustainable community development.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comparative review of affordable housing policies highlights the profound economic and social impacts that these policies can have on communities. Key findings from the analysis underscore the multifaceted benefits and challenges associated with different approaches to affordable housing. Economically, affordable housing policies significantly contribute to job creation and economic growth by stimulating construction and related industries. They enhance household stability by reducing housing costs and increasing disposable income, which, in turn, boosts consumer spending and stimulates local economies. Effective policy tools, such as tax incentives, subsidies, and inclusionary zoning, have proven beneficial in leveraging private investment and promoting affordable housing development.

Socially, affordable housing policies play a crucial role in fostering community cohesion and social inclusion. By promoting inclusive communities, these policies help to integrate diverse groups and reduce socio-economic disparities. Stable housing is also closely linked to improved health outcomes, as it reduces stress and exposure to poor living conditions, contributing to better mental and physical health. Furthermore, stable housing supports educational attainment by providing children with a stable environment conducive to learning, which is reflected in improved educational outcomes.

The dual importance of economic and social impacts cannot be overstated. Successful affordable housing policies must balance these aspects to create sustainable and inclusive outcomes. Economic benefits such as job creation and increased local spending are crucial for stimulating growth, while social impacts like improved community cohesion and better health are essential for enhancing overall quality of life. Policies that integrate both economic and social considerations tend to be more effective in achieving comprehensive and lasting improvements in housing and community well-being.

Looking ahead, creating sustainable and inclusive housing policies requires a nuanced approach that incorporates both successful strategies and lessons learned from past experiences. Policymakers should focus on adapting policies to local contexts and engaging stakeholders to ensure that solutions address the specific needs of communities. Implementing robust monitoring and evaluation frameworks will help to assess the effectiveness of policies and make necessary adjustments. Additionally, exploring innovative approaches and leveraging emerging trends can

further enhance the impact of affordable housing initiatives. In summary, the economic and social impacts of affordable housing policies are deeply interconnected, and achieving success in one area often supports progress in the other. By adopting best practices, learning from diverse case studies, and remaining responsive to emerging challenges, policymakers can develop and implement housing policies that are not only economically viable but also socially equitable. This holistic approach is essential for fostering sustainable and inclusive communities, ultimately contributing to broader goals of social equity and economic stability.

References:

- Aaronson, D. (2008). Housing and schooling decisions of families. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 64(3), 394-409. doi:10.1016/j.jue.2008.01.007
- Abiona, O. O., Oladapo, O. J., Modupe, O. T., Oyeniran, O. C., Adewusi, A. O., & Komolafe, A. M. (2024). The emergence and importance of DevSecOps: Integrating and reviewing security practices within the DevOps pipeline. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*, 11(2), 127-133
- Acolin, A. (2020). The impact of affordable housing on local economies. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 47, 101734. doi:10.1016/j.jhe.2020.101734
- Adewusi, A. O., Komolafe, A. M., Ejairu, E., Aderotoye, I. A., Abiona, O. O., & Oyeniran, O. C. (2024). The role of predictive analytics in optimizing supply chain resilience: a review of techniques and case studies. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(3), 815-837.
- Agboola, T. O., Adegede, J., Omomule, T. G., Oyeniran, O. C., & Aina, L. O. (2024). A review of mobile networks: evolution from 5G to 6G.
- Anaba, D. C., Kess-Momoh, A. J. & Ayodeji, S. A. (2024). Digital transformation in oil and gas production: Enhancing efficiency and reducing costs. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6, 2153-2161, 2024.
- Anaba, D. C., Kess-Momoh, A. J., & Ayodeji, S. A. (2024). Sustainable procurement in the oil and gas industry: Challenges, innovations, and future directions. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6, 2162-2172, 2024.
- Babayaju, O. A., Jambol, D. D., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Reducing drilling risks through enhanced reservoir characterization for safer oil and gas operations.
- Bae, K., & Kaiser, R. (2020). Inclusionary zoning policies and their economic effects. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 42(4), 581-600. doi:10.1080/07352166.2020.1717938
- Ben-Shahar, D., & Schneider, A. (2021). The Effects of affordable housing policies on housing markets. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 53, 101738. doi:10.1016/j.jhe.2021.101738
- CMHC (Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation). (2020). Housing programs and educational support services. canada mortgage and housing corporation. <https://www.cmhc-schl.gc.ca/>
- Cox, W., & Pavletich, H. (2018). Measuring housing affordability. *Demographia International Housing Affordability Survey*. <https://www.demographia.com/dhi.pdf>

- Cummings, J. L., & DiPasquale, D. (1999). The low-income housing tax credit: an analysis of the first ten years. *Housing Policy Debate*, 10(2), 251-307. doi:10.1080/10511482.1999.9521326
- Cummings, J., & DiPasquale, D. (1999). The low-income housing tax credit program: a comprehensive analysis. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 8(1), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jhec.1999.0243>
- Cunningham, M. (2019). The role of tax incentives in affordable housing development. *Housing Policy Debate*, 29(1), 41-54. doi:10.1080/10511482.2018.1509224
- Desmond, M. (2016). *Evicted: poverty and profit in the American city*. Crown Publishing Group.
- Diniz, C. (2017). The Minha Casa Minha Vida Program in Brazil: Outcomes and Challenges. *Habitat International*, 60, 1-9.
- Diniz, C. (2017). The Minha Casa Minha Vida Program: An Analysis of Policy Impact. *Housing Policy Debate*, 27(4), 570-590.
- Esiri, A. E., Babayeju, O. A., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Advancements in remote sensing technologies for oil spill detection: Policy and implementation. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(6), 2016-2026.
- Esiri, A. E., Babayeju, O. A., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Implementing sustainable practices in oil and gas operations to minimize environmental footprint.
- Esiri, A. E., Babayeju, O. A., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Standardizing methane emission monitoring: A global policy perspective for the oil and gas industry. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(6), 2027-2038.
- Esiri, A. E., Jambol, D. D., & Ozowe, C. (2024) Enhancing reservoir characterization with integrated petrophysical analysis and geostatistical methods. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 2024, 07(02), 168–179
- Esiri, A. E., Jambol, D. D., & Ozowe, C. (2024) Frameworks for risk management to protect underground sources of drinking water during oil and gas extraction. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 2024, 07(02), 159–167
- Esiri, A. E., Jambol, D. D., & Ozowe, C. (2024). Best practices and innovations in carbon capture and storage (CCS) for effective CO2 storage. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(6), 1227-1243.
- Esiri, A. E., Sofoluwe, O. O. & Ukato, A., (2024) Hydrogeological modeling for safeguarding underground water sources during energy extraction. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 2024, 07(02), 148–158
- Esiri, A. E., Sofoluwe, O. O., & Ukato, A. (2024). Aligning oil and gas industry practices with sustainable development goals (SDGs). *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(6), 1215-1226.
- Esiri, A. E., Sofoluwe, O. O., & Ukato, A. (2024). Digital twin technology in oil and gas infrastructure: Policy requirements and implementation strategies. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(6), 2039-2049.
- Evans, G. W. (2003). The built environment and mental health. *Journal of Urban Health*, 80(4), 536-555. doi:10.1093/jurban/jtg063

- Galster, G. (2018). The Mechanism(s) of neighborhood effects: theory, evidence, and policy implications. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 40(7), 1-24. doi:10.1080/07352166.2017.1299819
- Gibbons, S. (2015). Social housing allocations and community cohesion in the UK. *Housing Studies*, 30(3), 432-457. doi:10.1080/02673037.2015.1020413
- Glaeser, E. L., & Gyourko, J. (2008). The economic impacts of housing programs. *National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- Glaeser, E. L., & Gyourko, J. (2008). The impact of housing policies on housing markets and housing affordability. In E. Glaeser (Ed.), *Housing and Housing Policy* (pp. 1-46). University of Chicago Press.
- Glaeser, E. L., & Gyourko, J. (2018). The economic implications of housing supply. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 32(1), 3-30. doi:10.1257/jep.32.1.3
- Gonçalves, A. (2016). Assessing the effects of the Minha Casa Minha Vida Program. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 58(2), 78-104.
- Gonçalves, F. (2016). Affordable housing in Brazil: An analysis of the Minha Casa Minha Vida Program. *Cities*, 53, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.11.005>
- Harkness, J., & Newman, S. J. (2005). Housing affordability and children's well-being: evidence from the national survey of America's families. *Housing Policy Debate*, 16(2), 223-255. doi:10.1080/10511482.2005.9521534
- Harris, L. E. (2020). Evaluating the impact of the low-income housing tax credit program on housing affordability. *Urban Studies*, 57(1), 121-136. doi:10.1177/0042098019853646
- Haurin, D. R., Hendershott, P. H., & Wachter, S. M. (2018). Housing policy and financial stability. *Journal of Housing Research*, 27(2), 179-196. doi:10.1080/10527001.2018.1496793
- HDB (2019). Housing and development board annual report. *Housing and Development Board, Singapore*. <https://www.hdb.gov.sg>
- HDB. (2018). Annual Report 2018/2019. Housing & development board, Singapore.
- HDB. (2019). The evolution of public housing in singapore. Housing & Development Board, Singapore.
- HUD (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development). (2020). Low-Income housing tax credits. HUD User. <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/lihtc.html>
- Ijomah, T. I., Idemudia, C., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Anjorin, K. F. (2024). Innovative digital marketing strategies for SMEs: Driving competitive advantage and sustainable growth. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(7), 2173-2188.
- Jacobs, D. E., Wilson, J., Dixon, S. L., Smith, J., & Evens, A. (2011). The Relationship of Housing and Population Health: A 30-Year Retrospective Analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119(11), 1620-1628. doi:10.1289/ehp.1003256
- Jambol, D. D., Babayeju, O. A., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Lifecycle assessment of drilling technologies with a focus on environmental sustainability.
- Kess-Momoh, A. J., Tula, S. T., Bello, B. G., Omotoye, G. B. & Daraojimba, A. I. (2024). Strategic human resource management in the 21st century: A review of trends and innovations. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21, 746-757, 2024.

- Kling, J. R., Liebman, J. B., & Katz, L. F. (2007). Experimental analysis of neighborhood effects. *Econometrica*, 75(1), 83-119. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0262.2007.00733.x
- Komolafe, A. M., Aderotoye, I. A., Abiona, O. O., Adewusi, A. O., Obijuru, A., Modupe, O. T., & Oyeniran, O. C. (2024). Harnessing business analytics for gaining competitive advantage in emerging markets: a systematic review of approaches and outcomes. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(3), 838-862
- Leventhal, T., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2000). Moving to opportunity: an experimental study of neighborhood effects on mental health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90(5), 797-804. doi:10.2105/AJPH.90.5.797
- Linneman, P., & Wachter, S. M. (2017). The impacts of affordable housing policies on economic stability. *Real Estate Economics*, 45(4), 879-902. doi:10.1111/1540-6229.12220
- Mallach, A. (2010). The low-income housing tax credit program: past, present, and future. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 32(1), 101-124.
- Mallach, A. (2010). The role of the low-income housing tax credit program in improving housing conditions. *Housing Policy Debate*, 20(3), 265-296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511482.2010.492109>
- Miller, W., Deeds, E., Holmes, J., & Miranda, M. L. (2018). The impact of affordable housing on health: a literature review. *Health Affairs*, 37(3), 494-500. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2017.1422
- Modupe, O. T., Otitoola, A. A., Oladapo, O. J., Abiona, O. O., Oyeniran, O. C., Adewusi, A. O., ... & Obijuru, A. (2024). Reviewing the transformational impact of edge computing on real-time data processing and analytics. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(3), 693-702
- Mota, M. (2018). Evaluating the social impact of housing policies in Brazil. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 40(2), 191-207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2017.1360709>
- Mota, R. (2018). Housing deficits and policy solutions: The Minha Casa Minha Vida Program. *Brazilian Journal of Urban Studies*, 12(1), 115-136.
- NHF (National Housing Federation). (2017). The impact of housing on health: a literature review. *National Housing Federation*. <https://www.housing.org.uk/>
- Nwosu, N. T., Babatunde, S. O., & Ijomah, T. (2024). Enhancing customer experience and market penetration through advanced data analytics in the health industry.
- Obinna A. J., & Kess-Momoh, A. J. (2024). Comparative technical analysis of legal and ethical frameworks in AI-enhanced procurement processes. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 22, 1415-1430, 2024.
- Obinna A. J., & Kess-Momoh, A. J. (2024). Developing a conceptual technical framework for ethical AI in procurement with emphasis on legal oversight. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 19, 146-160, 2024.
- Obinna A. J., & Kess-Momoh, A. J. (2024). Systematic technical analysis: Enhancing AI deployment in procurement for optimal transparency and accountability. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*, 19, 192-206, 2024.
- O'Sullivan, A. (2019). *Urban Economics*. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.

- Oyeniran, O. C., Modupe, O. T., Otitoola, A. A., Abiona, O. O., Adewusi, A. O., & Oladapo, O. J. (2024). A comprehensive review of leveraging cloud-native technologies for scalability and resilience in software development. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(2), 330-337
- Pendall, R. (2019). Inclusionary zoning and mixed-income communities: an analysis of economic outcomes. *Housing Policy Debate*, 29(4), 677-691. doi:10.1080/10511482.2019.1628579
- Raji, E., Ijomah, T. I., & Eyieyien, O. G. (2024). Data-Driven decision making in agriculture and business: The role of advanced analytics. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(7), 1565-1575.
- Raji, E., Ijomah, T. I., & Eyieyien, O. G. (2024). Improving agricultural practices and productivity through extension services and innovative training programs. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(7), 1297-1309.
- Raji, E., Ijomah, T. I., & Eyieyien, O. G. (2024). Integrating technology, market strategies, and strategic management in agricultural economics for enhanced productivity. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(7), 2112-2124.
- Raji, E., Ijomah, T. I., & Eyieyien, O. G. (2024). Product strategy development and financial modeling in AI and Agritech Start-ups. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(7), 1178-1190.
- Raji, E., Ijomah, T. I., & Eyieyien, O. G. (2024). Strategic management and market analysis in business and agriculture: A comparative study. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(7), 2125-2138.
- Rappaport, J., & Haurin, D. R. (2021). The long-term economic impacts of affordable housing investments. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 84, 103633. doi:10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2021.103633
- Santos, F., & Abreu, R. (2019). Social housing and its impact on communities in brazil: an empirical study of the Minha Casa Minha Vida Program. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 61(1), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1017/lap.2018.24>
- Santos, P., & Abreu, S. (2019). Social Impacts of the Minha Casa Minha Vida Program. *Urban Studies*, 56(5), 930-948.
- Sard, B. (2015). Improving the effectiveness of the low-income housing tax credit. *The Urban Institute*.
- Sard, B. (2015). The low-income housing tax credit program: an assessment of its impact and effectiveness. *Journal of Housing and Community Development*, 72(2), 6-11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0732771X.2015.11603243>
- Schoen, C. (2016). The affordable housing crisis: residential instability and its effects on health and well-being. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 31(3), 367-380. doi:10.1007/s10901-016-9495-6
- Schwartz, A. E. (2010). Housing policy is school policy: economically integrative housing promotes academic success in Montgomery County, Maryland. *Journal of Housing and Community Development*, 67(4), 28-35. doi:10.1080/10511482.2010.509540

- Tula, S. T., Kess-Momoh, A. J. , Omotoye, G. B., Bello, B. G., & Daraojimba, A. I. (2024). AI-enabled customer experience enhancement in business. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5, 365-389, 2024.
- UN-Habitat. (2020). World Cities Report 2020: The Value of Sustainable Urbanization. United Nations Human Settlements Programme. <https://unhabitat.org/World-Cities-Report-2020>
- Whitehead, C. M. E., & Williams, P. (2018). Assessing the evidence on rent control from an international perspective. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 56(4), 1138-1165. doi:10.1257/jel.20171099
- Wood, G. A., & Watson, R. J. (2018). The "My Home" Program: Economic benefits of affordable housing in Australia. *Australian Economic Review*, 51(3), 366-380. doi:10.1111/1467-8462.12285
- Yip, N. M., & Yuen, B. (2003). Housing policy in Singapore: The role of HDB. *Housing Studies*, 18(1), 53-70.
- Yip, N. M., & Yuen, B. (2003). Public housing in Singapore: A study of the housing and development board's role in the housing market. *Urban Studies*, 40(11), 2333-2350. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0042098032000136910>