OPEN ACCESS
Finance & Accounting Research Journal
P-ISSN: 2708-633X, E-ISSN: 2708-6348
Volume 6, Issue 3, P.No. 408-420, March 2024
DOI: 10.51594/farj.v6i3.926
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/farj

ASSESSING THE ROLE OF CLIMATE FINANCE IN SUPPORTING DEVELOPING NATIONS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Wags Numoipiri Digitemie[1] & Ifeanyi Onyedika Ekemezie[2]

[1&2] Shell Energy, Nigeria Plc, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author: Wags Numoipiri Digitemie
Corresponding Author Email: wdigitemie@gmail.com

Article Received: 10-01-24 **Accepted:** 05-03-24 **Published:** 21-03-24

ABSTRACT

Climate finance plays a critical role in supporting developing nations to mitigate and adapt to the impacts of climate change. This comprehensive review examines the multifaceted role of climate finance in assisting developing countries in their efforts to address climate change challenges. The review begins by providing an overview of the global climate finance landscape, highlighting key sources of climate finance, including public funds, private investments, and innovative financing mechanisms. It then explores the allocation and distribution of climate finance, analyzing trends, disparities, and challenges in accessing and utilizing funds among developing nations. Furthermore, the review assesses the effectiveness of climate finance in supporting mitigation and adaptation activities in developing countries. It examines case studies and best practices to illustrate how climate finance has been utilized to finance renewable energy projects, enhance resilience in vulnerable communities, and promote sustainable development. Additionally, the review evaluates the governance and institutional frameworks governing climate finance, including the role of international financial institutions, national governments, and civil society organizations in mobilizing and disbursing funds. Moreover, the review examines the challenges and opportunities associated with scaling up climate finance to meet the growing needs of developing nations. It discusses issues such as

financial transparency, accountability, and the alignment of climate finance with national development priorities. Additionally, the review explores innovative financing mechanisms, such as green bonds, climate insurance, and carbon pricing, as potential avenues for mobilizing additional resources for climate action in developing countries. In conclusion, this comprehensive review underscores the importance of climate finance in supporting developing nations to address climate change challenges and achieve sustainable development goals. It highlights the need for enhanced international cooperation, policy coherence, and investment mobilization to accelerate climate action and build resilience in the most vulnerable regions. By leveraging climate finance effectively and efficiently, developing countries can transition to low-carbon, climate-resilient economies while advancing their development objectives.
Keywords: Assess, Climate, Finance, Developing Nations, Support.

INTRODUCTION

Climate change poses a formidable challenge to global sustainability, with developing nations often bearing the brunt of its impacts due to their limited resources and vulnerability to environmental stresses (Arshadl, 2023). In response to this pressing issue, the concept of climate finance has emerged as a crucial mechanism to support developing nations in their efforts to mitigate and adapt to climate change. This comprehensive review seeks to assess the role of climate finance in supporting developing nations, examining its definition, significance, importance, and effectiveness in addressing climate change challenges.

Climate finance refers to financial resources mobilized to address climate change mitigation, adaptation, and resilience-building efforts (Panda, 2023). It encompasses funding from various sources, including public funds, private investments, and international mechanisms, aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions, enhancing climate resilience, and promoting sustainable development. Climate finance plays a pivotal role in facilitating the transition to low-carbon, climate-resilient economies and supporting the implementation of climate-related policies and projects worldwide (Pauw et al., 2021).

Developing nations, particularly those in low-income and vulnerable regions, are disproportionately affected by climate change impacts, including extreme weather events, sea-level rise, and disruptions to ecosystems and livelihoods. Climate finance is instrumental in helping these countries cope with the adverse effects of climate change by providing financial resources for adaptation measures, renewable energy projects, and sustainable development initiatives. Moreover, climate finance is essential for addressing the underlying drivers of vulnerability and inequality, promoting social equity, and enhancing the resilience of communities and ecosystems in developing nations (Hussain et al., 2021).

The purpose of this review is to comprehensively assess the role of climate finance in supporting developing nations in their efforts to address climate change challenges. It aims to examine the allocation, distribution, and effectiveness of climate finance, as well as the governance and institutional frameworks governing its implementation. Furthermore, the review will analyze case studies, best practices, and lessons learned to provide insights into the opportunities and challenges associated with scaling up climate finance for developing nations. By synthesizing existing knowledge and identifying gaps in research, this review seeks to inform policy, practice, and future research directions in the field of climate finance and development (Debrah, 2023).

Overview of Global Climate Finance Landscape

Climate finance plays a pivotal role in supporting developing nations to mitigate and adapt to the impacts of climate change (Khan et al., 2020). This section provides an overview of the global climate finance landscape, including sources of climate finance, trends and disparities in allocation, and challenges faced by developing nations in accessing and utilizing climate finance.

Public funds constitute a significant source of climate finance, provided by governments, multilateral development banks (MDBs), and international financial institutions (IFIs). These funds may be allocated through bilateral or multilateral channels, including official development assistance (ODA), climate funds such as the Green Climate Fund (GCF) and the Global Environment Facility (GEF), and domestic budgets earmarked for climate-related projects and programs. Public funds are essential for financing climate change mitigation and adaptation activities, including renewable energy projects, ecosystem restoration, and disaster risk reduction initiatives (Ishiwatari, 2022.).

Private investments play a crucial role in scaling up climate finance and mobilizing additional resources for climate action (Adhikari and Safaee Chalkasra, 2023). Private sector engagement in climate finance encompasses a wide range of activities, including investments in renewable energy, energy efficiency, clean technology, and sustainable infrastructure projects. Institutional investors, commercial banks, venture capital firms, and impact investors contribute to climate finance through various financing mechanisms, such as green bonds, carbon markets, climate funds, and public-private partnerships (PPPs). Leveraging private investments is essential for bridging the climate finance gap and catalyzing transformative change in the transition to a low-carbon, climate-resilient economy (Panda, 2023).

Innovative financing mechanisms are emerging as alternative sources of climate finance, offering new opportunities to mobilize resources and address financing gaps. These mechanisms include green bonds, climate insurance, carbon pricing, climate funds, and crowdfunding platforms, which provide innovative ways to channel finance to climate-related projects and programs. Green bonds, for example, enable investors to finance climate-friendly projects and initiatives, while climate insurance products provide financial protection against climate risks and disasters (Rossitto, 2021). Moreover, carbon pricing mechanisms such as carbon taxes and emissions trading systems incentivize emission reductions and generate revenue for climate finance initiatives.

The allocation of climate finance exhibits significant trends and disparities, with variations in funding levels, sectors, and regions receiving support (Nawaz et al., 2021). Developed countries typically contribute the majority of climate finance, reflecting their historical responsibility for greenhouse gas emissions and their commitment to supporting developing nations in addressing climate change. However, there are disparities in funding allocation, with some regions and sectors receiving more support than others. For example, renewable energy projects often attract higher levels of investment compared to adaptation projects in vulnerable regions (Garschagen and Doshi, 2022.).

Moreover, there are disparities in access to climate finance among developing nations, with least developed countries (LDCs), small island developing states (SIDS), and African countries facing challenges in accessing and utilizing finance due to institutional capacity constraints, limited technical expertise, and complex procedures. Additionally, there is a need for greater

transparency, accountability, and predictability in climate finance allocation to ensure that funds reach the most vulnerable communities and are effectively utilized for climate-resilient development (Islam, 2022.).

Developing nations face several challenges in accessing and utilizing climate finance, hindering their efforts to implement climate change mitigation and adaptation measures effectively. These challenges include: Limited Institutional Capacity: Many developing countries lack the institutional capacity and technical expertise to access, manage, and implement climate finance effectively. Capacity constraints in project design, implementation, and monitoring and evaluation hamper the efficient utilization of climate finance and may result in project delays or inefficiencies. Complex Procedures and Requirements: The application and approval processes for climate finance can be complex and bureaucratic, requiring extensive documentation, feasibility studies, and project proposals. Developing nations may struggle to meet these requirements, leading to delays in accessing finance and implementing climate projects (Barua, 2020.).

Developing countries often face uncertainty in funding flows, with climate finance allocations subject to changing donor priorities, political dynamics, and economic conditions. Fluctuations in funding levels and delays in disbursements can disrupt project timelines and hinder long-term planning and implementation efforts (FERESEW, 2022). Limited access to financial instruments and mechanisms, such as grants, concessional loans, and risk mitigation instruments, restricts developing nations' ability to finance climate projects. High borrowing costs, limited availability of credit, and stringent eligibility criteria further exacerbate the financing gap for climate-resilient development.

Addressing these challenges requires concerted efforts from governments, international organizations, financial institutions, and civil society to strengthen institutional capacity, streamline procedures, and enhance access to finance for developing nations. By overcoming these barriers, developing countries can effectively utilize climate finance to implement climate change mitigation and adaptation measures, promote sustainable development, and build resilience to climate impacts (Mungai, 2022).

Allocation and Distribution of Climate Finance

Climate finance plays a crucial role in supporting developing nations to address the challenges of climate change and transition towards sustainable, low-carbon economies (Lee et al., 2022). This section delves into the allocation and distribution of climate finance, examining funding flows to developing countries, assessing distribution mechanisms and criteria, and illustrating effective allocation and utilization through case studies (Buto et al., 2021,).

Over the past decade, there has been a significant increase in climate finance flows to developing countries, reflecting growing international recognition of the need to support vulnerable nations in their efforts to combat climate change. According to the Climate Policy Initiative, global climate finance flows reached approximately $579 billion in 2019, with a substantial portion directed towards developing countries. This includes both public and private finance, as well as contributions from international climate funds such as the Green Climate Fund (GCF) and the Global Environment Facility (GEF) (Cui et al., 2020).

While overall climate finance flows to developing countries have increased, there are significant regional disparities in funding allocation. Countries in Africa, Asia, and small island developing states (SIDS) often receive a smaller share of climate finance compared to other

regions, despite being the most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. This disparity underscores the need for targeted efforts to address the specific adaptation and mitigation needs of these regions, including investments in resilient infrastructure, disaster risk reduction, and ecosystem restoration (Chiroli et al., 2023).

Multilateral climate funds such as the Green Climate Fund (GCF) and the Adaptation Fund play a key role in channeling climate finance to developing countries. These funds utilize various distribution mechanisms and criteria to allocate resources, including country eligibility criteria, project selection criteria, and funding modalities. *The GCF, for example, prioritizes projects that contribute to the Paris Agreement goals, enhance climate resilience, and support gender equality and social inclusion (*Puno, 2021*). Additionally, the GCF provides direct access to finance for national and sub-national entities in developing countries, allowing them* to design and implement climate projects tailored to their specific needs and priorities.

Bilateral and multilateral development assistance also contributes to climate finance flows to developing countries, with donor countries providing financial support through official development assistance (ODA), grants, concessional loans, and technical assistance. These funds are often allocated based on development priorities, national climate action plans, and partnership agreements between donor and recipient countries. Additionally, bilateral and multilateral development banks play a crucial role in financing climate-related projects and programs in developing countries, leveraging private investments and providing technical expertise to enhance project effectiveness and sustainability (Prasad et al., 2022).

India has successfully utilized climate finance to finance renewable energy projects, such as solar and wind power installations, through partnerships with international financial institutions and private investors. By leveraging climate finance, India has significantly increased its renewable energy capacity and reduced its dependence on fossil fuels, contributing to emissions reductions and sustainable development goals (PAVANETTO and NICOLO, 2020). Bangladesh has implemented community-based adaptation projects with support from international climate funds, such as the Adaptation Fund and the GCF, to address climate risks and build resilience in vulnerable communities. These projects include initiatives such as climate-smart agriculture, flood-resistant housing, and early warning systems, which empower local communities to adapt to changing climatic conditions and reduce their vulnerability to climate-related hazards (Rahman et al., 2022).

Brazil has received climate finance to support sustainable forest management initiatives aimed at reducing deforestation and promoting biodiversity conservation in the Amazon rainforest. Through partnerships with international donors and NGOs, Brazil has implemented projects to strengthen forest governance, monitor deforestation, and support indigenous and local communities in sustainable livelihoods. These efforts have helped to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, protect biodiversity, and enhance ecosystem resilience in the Amazon region (Bustamante et al., 2019).

In conclusion, the allocation and distribution of climate finance to developing countries are critical for supporting climate action and sustainable development efforts. By analyzing funding flows, assessing distribution mechanisms, and highlighting effective case studies, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can identify opportunities to enhance the impact and effectiveness of climate finance in supporting developing nations' efforts to address climate change challenges and build resilience to its impacts (Barbhuiya and Das, 2023).

Effectiveness of Climate Finance in Supporting Mitigation and Adaptation

Climate finance plays a crucial role in supporting both mitigation and adaptation efforts to address the challenges posed by climate change (Hallegatte, 2020). This section evaluates the effectiveness of climate finance in funding mitigation activities, such as renewable energy projects and energy efficiency initiatives, as well as adaptation projects and programs, including resilience-building measures in vulnerable communities and climate-smart agriculture and water management projects. Climate finance has been instrumental in supporting renewable energy projects worldwide, contributing to the expansion of clean energy sources and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Investments in solar, wind, hydroelectric, and other renewable energy technologies have been facilitated through various channels, including international climate funds, bilateral and multilateral development assistance, and private sector investments (Chen et al., 2021). These projects have helped to increase access to clean and sustainable energy, reduce dependence on fossil fuels, and mitigate climate change impacts. For example, solar power projects in India and wind farms in China have received substantial funding from climate finance sources, leading to significant increases in renewable energy capacity and reductions in carbon emissions.

Climate finance has also supported energy efficiency initiatives aimed at reducing energy consumption and improving energy efficiency in various sectors, including buildings, transportation, industry, and agriculture (Fekete et al., 2021). Investments in energy-efficient technologies, infrastructure upgrades, and behavioral change programs have helped to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, enhance energy security, and promote sustainable development. For instance, energy efficiency retrofits in buildings and industrial facilities, supported by climate finance, have led to significant energy savings and emissions reductions, while promoting economic growth and job creation (Mungai, 2022).

Climate finance has been crucial in supporting resilience-building measures in vulnerable communities, particularly in developing countries facing the impacts of climate change (Mathur and Roy, 2021). Adaptation projects and programs funded by climate finance aim to strengthen the resilience of communities to climate-related hazards such as extreme weather events, sea-level rise, and droughts. These projects include infrastructure upgrades, early warning systems, ecosystem restoration, and livelihood diversification initiatives designed to reduce vulnerability and enhance adaptive capacity (Kuhl, 2020). For example, coastal communities in Bangladesh have implemented climate resilience projects funded by international climate funds, such as the Green Climate Fund (GCF), to build cyclone shelters, reinforce embankments, and restore mangrove forests, reducing the risk of climate-related disasters and protecting lives and livelihoods.

Climate finance has also supported climate-smart agriculture and water management projects aimed at enhancing agricultural productivity, food security, and resilience to climate change impacts (Matteoli, 2020). These projects promote sustainable farming practices, improved irrigation techniques, soil conservation measures, and drought-resistant crop varieties to mitigate the impacts of climate change on agricultural systems. By investing in climate-smart agriculture, developing countries can adapt to changing climatic conditions, reduce greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture, and enhance food security and livelihoods for rural communities. For instance, irrigation infrastructure projects in Sub-Saharan Africa, funded by climate finance sources such as the African Development Bank (AfDB) and the World Bank,

have helped to increase agricultural productivity and resilience to climate variability, improving food security and incomes for smallholder farmers.

In conclusion, climate finance plays a critical role in supporting both mitigation and adaptation efforts to address the challenges of climate change (Nawaz et al., 2021). By funding renewable energy projects, energy efficiency initiatives, resilience-building measures in vulnerable communities, and climate-smart agriculture and water management projects, climate finance contributes to building a more sustainable, resilient, and low-carbon future. However, ensuring the effectiveness and impact of climate finance requires robust monitoring, evaluation, and accountability mechanisms to track progress, measure outcomes, and learn from best practices and lessons learned (Schoenefeld, 2021). By evaluating the effectiveness of climate finance in supporting mitigation and adaptation activities, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can identify opportunities to enhance the impact and efficiency of climate finance in addressing climate change challenges and promoting sustainable development.

Governance and Institutional Frameworks for Climate Finance

Climate finance governance and institutional frameworks are essential for effective coordination, management, and utilization of financial resources to address climate change challenges (Chaudhury, 2020). This section examines the role of international financial institutions, national strategies and policies for climate finance, involvement of civil society organizations and non-governmental actors, and challenges in governance and accountability.

International financial institutions (IFIs) play a crucial role in mobilizing and disbursing climate finance to developing countries (Attridge and Gouett, 2021). These institutions, including multilateral development banks (MDBs) such as the World Bank, Asian Development Bank (ADB), African Development Bank (AfDB), and regional development banks, provide financing, technical assistance, and capacity building support for climate-related projects and programs. IFIs facilitate access to climate finance through various channels, including concessional loans, grants, guarantees, and risk mitigation instruments, to support mitigation and adaptation initiatives in developing countries. Additionally, IFIs serve as knowledge hubs, conveners, and catalysts for climate action, facilitating knowledge sharing, capacity building, and peer learning among stakeholders (Manahan and Kumar, 2021).

National strategies and policies for climate finance are essential for guiding and coordinating climate-related investments, ensuring alignment with national development priorities and international commitments. Many countries have developed national climate finance strategies, action plans, and institutional frameworks to enhance coordination, transparency, and accountability in climate finance governance (Bracking and Leffel, 2021). These strategies outline priorities, objectives, and targets for climate-related investments, identify financing needs and gaps, and establish mechanisms for resource mobilization, allocation, and utilization. Moreover, national policies and regulations play a crucial role in creating an enabling environment for climate finance, including incentives for private sector investment, financial instruments, and mechanisms for risk management and insurance (Tall et al., 2021).

Civil society organizations (CSOs) and non-governmental actors play a vital role in climate finance governance by advocating for transparency, accountability, and equity in the allocation and utilization of climate finance resources (Ghaus-Pasha, 2005). CSOs engage in monitoring, evaluation, and oversight of climate finance projects and programs, ensuring that funds reach the most vulnerable communities and are utilized effectively to achieve intended outcomes .

Moreover, CSOs contribute to knowledge generation, capacity building, and awareness-raising on climate change issues, mobilizing public support and participation in climate action. Non-governmental actors, including academia, research institutions, think tanks, and private sector entities, also play a crucial role in generating innovative solutions, providing technical expertise, and fostering collaboration and partnerships for climate finance initiatives.

Despite progress in climate finance governance, there are several challenges and areas for improvement in governance and accountability mechanisms (Basak, and van der Werf, 2019). These include: Limited Institutional Capacity: Many developing countries lack the institutional capacity and technical expertise to effectively manage and utilize climate finance resources, resulting in delays, inefficiencies, and gaps in project implementation and outcomes. Lack of Transparency and Accountability: Transparency and accountability in climate finance governance are essential for ensuring that funds are allocated and utilized effectively, and that outcomes are measured and reported accurately. However, there are often gaps in transparency, data availability, and reporting mechanisms, hindering effective monitoring, evaluation, and oversight of climate finance projects and programs (Ameli et al., 2020).

Complex Funding Mechanisms: The complexity of climate finance mechanisms, including multiple funding sources, modalities, and criteria, can create challenges in accessing and navigating funding opportunities for developing countries and stakeholders. Simplifying and harmonizing funding mechanisms could improve accessibility and efficiency in climate finance governance. Ensuring Equity and Inclusiveness: Climate finance governance should prioritize equity, inclusiveness, and participation, ensuring that the needs and priorities of vulnerable communities, indigenous peoples, women, and marginalized groups are addressed in decision-making processes and resource allocation (Omukuti, 2020). In conclusion, effective governance and institutional frameworks are essential for mobilizing, allocating, and utilizing climate finance resources to address climate change challenges and promote sustainable development. By enhancing coordination, transparency, accountability, and inclusiveness in climate finance governance, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can maximize the impact and effectiveness of climate finance initiatives, advance climate action, and build resilience to climate change impacts.

Scaling Up Climate Finance for Developing Nations

Climate finance is critical for supporting developing nations in their efforts to mitigate and adapt to the impacts of climate change (Omukuti, 2020). However, despite the growing recognition of the importance of climate finance, there are significant challenges in scaling up funding to meet the needs of developing countries. This section explores the barriers to scaling up climate finance, opportunities for mobilizing additional resources, including green bonds and climate funds, climate insurance and risk-sharing mechanisms, and innovative financing mechanisms and their potential impact.

Limited Funding Availability: One of the primary barriers to scaling up climate finance is the limited availability of funding, particularly for adaptation efforts in developing countries (Timilsina, 2021). Despite commitments from developed nations to provide climate finance, actual funding falls short of the estimated needs, leaving many countries unable to access the resources necessary to implement climate-related projects and programs. Complex Funding Mechanisms: The complexity of climate finance mechanisms, including multiple funding sources, modalities, and criteria, can create barriers for developing countries in accessing and

navigating funding opportunities. The fragmented nature of climate finance governance and the lack of coordination among donors and financing institutions further exacerbate these challenges, leading to inefficiencies and delays in resource mobilization and allocation (Cash and Swatuk, 2022).

Institutional Capacity Constraints: Many developing countries lack the institutional capacity and technical expertise to effectively manage and utilize climate finance resources, resulting in delays, inefficiencies, and gaps in project implementation and outcomes. Strengthening institutional capacity, enhancing technical expertise, and improving coordination among stakeholders are essential for overcoming these barriers and scaling up climate finance effectively.

Green bonds are debt securities issued to finance climate-related projects and initiatives, such as renewable energy projects, energy efficiency improvements, and sustainable infrastructure development. Climate funds, such as the Green Climate Fund (GCF) and the Climate Investment Funds (CIFs), provide financial support for climate-related projects and programs in developing countries, leveraging public and private sector investments to scale up climate finance. These instruments offer opportunities for mobilizing additional resources for climate action and promoting sustainable development.

Climate insurance and risk-sharing mechanisms provide financial protection against climate-related risks and disasters, helping to reduce the economic and social impacts of extreme weather events on vulnerable communities and economies. These mechanisms, including weather index insurance, catastrophe bonds, and risk pooling arrangements, transfer the financial risk associated with climate hazards from vulnerable populations to insurers, governments, and international financial institutions, providing a safety net for those most affected by climate change.

Carbon pricing mechanisms, such as carbon taxes and emissions trading systems, incentivize emission reductions and generate revenue for climate finance initiatives (Green, 2021). By putting a price on carbon emissions, these mechanisms internalize the social and environmental costs of climate change, encouraging polluters to transition to cleaner, low-carbon technologies and practices. Carbon pricing can mobilize significant financial resources for climate action, providing a stable and predictable source of funding for mitigation and adaptation efforts.

Impact investing involves investing in projects, companies, and funds with the intention of generating positive social and environmental impacts, alongside financial returns. Impact investors prioritize investments that address climate change, promote sustainable development, and enhance resilience to climate-related risks. By aligning financial interests with environmental and social objectives, impact investing can mobilize capital for climate finance initiatives and support the transition to a low-carbon, climate-resilient economy (Oguntuase, 2021).

In conclusion, scaling up climate finance for developing nations requires concerted efforts from governments, international organizations, financial institutions, and civil society to overcome barriers, mobilize additional resources, and promote innovative financing mechanisms. By identifying opportunities for mobilizing resources, including green bonds and climate funds, climate insurance and risk-sharing mechanisms, and innovative financing instruments, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can accelerate climate action, enhance resilience to climate change impacts, and achieve sustainable development goals.

CONCLUSION

Through a comprehensive review of the role of climate finance in supporting developing nations, several key findings and insights have emerged: Climate finance is critical for supporting both mitigation and adaptation efforts in developing countries, helping them to address the challenges posed by climate change and transition towards sustainable, low-carbon economies. The allocation and distribution of climate finance exhibit significant disparities, with some regions and sectors receiving more support than others. Addressing these disparities is essential for ensuring equitable access to finance and addressing the needs of the most vulnerable communities. Governance and institutional frameworks play a crucial role in mobilizing, allocating, and utilizing climate finance resources effectively. Strengthening transparency, accountability, and coordination mechanisms is essential for maximizing the impact and effectiveness of climate finance initiatives. Despite progress in scaling up climate finance, significant challenges remain, including limited funding availability, complex funding mechanisms, and institutional capacity constraints. Overcoming these barriers requires concerted efforts from governments, international organizations, financial institutions, and civil society to enhance resource mobilization, allocation, and utilization.

Based on the key findings and insights, several recommendations can be made to enhance the role of climate finance in supporting developing nations: Increase Funding Commitments: Developed countries should fulfill their commitments to provide climate finance to developing countries, including both public and private sector contributions. Scaling up funding levels is essential for meeting the adaptation and mitigation needs of developing nations and achieving global climate goals. Improve Governance and Accountability: Strengthening governance and institutional frameworks for climate finance is crucial for ensuring transparency, accountability, and effectiveness in resource mobilization, allocation, and utilization. Enhancing coordination among stakeholders, streamlining funding mechanisms, and improving monitoring and evaluation processes can enhance the impact and efficiency of climate finance initiatives.

Foster Innovation and Collaboration: Promoting innovative financing mechanisms, such as green bonds, climate insurance, and impact investing, can mobilize additional resources for climate action and support the transition to a low-carbon, climate-resilient economy. Fostering collaboration and partnerships among governments, international organizations, financial institutions, and civil society is essential for leveraging expertise, resources, and networks to address climate change challenges effectively.

Looking ahead, future research on the role of climate finance in supporting developing nations should focus on several key areas: Assessing the Impact of Climate Finance: Further research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness and impact of climate finance initiatives in achieving mitigation and adaptation objectives, enhancing resilience to climate change impacts, and promoting sustainable development outcomes. Exploring Innovative Financing Mechanisms: Research on innovative financing mechanisms, including green bonds, climate insurance, and impact investing, can provide insights into their potential to mobilize additional resources and support climate action in developing countries. Strengthening Capacity Building and Technical Assistance: Research on capacity building, knowledge sharing, and technical assistance initiatives can help identify best practices and lessons learned for strengthening institutional capacity and enhancing expertise in accessing and utilizing climate finance resources

effectively. In conclusion, climate finance plays a crucial role in supporting developing nations' efforts to address climate change challenges and promote sustainable development. By implementing recommendations, fostering innovation, and prioritizing research on key areas, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can enhance the role of climate finance in supporting developing nations and advancing global climate goals.

Reference

Adhikari, B., & Safaee Chalkasra, L.S. (2023). Mobilizing private sector investment for climate action: enhancing ambition and scaling up implementation. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, *13*(2), 1110-1127.

Ameli, N., Drummond, P., Bisaro, A., Grubb, M., & Chenet, H. (2020). Climate finance and disclosure for institutional investors: why transparency is not enough. *Climatic Change*, *160*, 565-589.

Arshad, M.U., Hassan, S., & Yasir, S. (2023). Exacerbating Gender Inequalities amidst Climate Change: A Comprehensive Exploration of Vulnerabilities, Coping Strategies, and Resource Dynamics. *Journal of Humanities, Health and Social Sciences*, *1*(1), 35-50.

Attridge, S., & Gouett, M. (2021). *Development finance institutions: the need for bold action to invest better*. ODI Report.

Barbhuiya, S., & Das, B.B. (2023). Life Cycle Assessment of construction materials: Methodologies, applications and future directions for sustainable decision-making. *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, e02326.

Barua, S. (2020). Financing sustainable development goals: A review of challenges and mitigation strategies. *Business Strategy & Development*, *3*(3), 277-293.

Basak, R., & van der Werf, E. (2019). Accountability mechanisms in international climate change financing. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, *19*(3), 297-313.

Bracking, S., & Leffel, B. (2021). Climate finance governance: Fit for purpose?. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *12*(4), e709.

Bustamante, M.M., Silva, J.S., Scariot, A., Sampaio, A.B., Mascia, D.L., Garcia, E., Sano, E., Fernandes, G.W., Durigan, G., Roitman, I., & Figueiredo, I. (2019). Ecological restoration as a strategy for mitigating and adapting to climate change: lessons and challenges from Brazil. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, *24*, 1249-1270.

Buto, O., Galbiati, G.M., Alekseeva, N., & Bernoux, M. (2021). *Climate finance in the agriculture and land use sector–Global and regional trends between 2000 and 2018*. Food & Agriculture Org.

Cash, C., & Swatuk, L.A. (2022). Climate Finance: Lessons from Development Finance. In *The Political Economy of Climate Finance: Lessons from International Development* (pp. 1-19). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Chaudhury, A. (2020). Role of intermediaries in shaping climate finance in developing countries—lessons from the Green Climate Fund. *Sustainability*, *12*(14), 5507.

Chen, X., Li, Z., Gallagher, K.P., & Mauzerall, D.L. (2021). Financing carbon lock-in in developing countries: Bilateral financing for power generation technologies from China, Japan, and the United States. *Applied Energy*, *300*, 117318

Chiroli, D.M.D.G., Menezes, M.G., Zola, F.C., Aragão, F.V., de Almeida, R.D., & Tebcherani, S.M. (2023). Integrating resilience and sustainability: A systematic analysis of resilient cities using ISO 37123. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 103960.

Cui, L., Sun, Y., Song, M., & Zhu, L. (2020). Co-financing in the green climate fund: Lessons from the global environment facility. *Climate Policy*, *20*(1), 95-108.

Debrah, C., Darko, A., & Chan, A.P.C. (2023). A bibliometric-qualitative literature review of green finance gap and future research directions. *Climate and Development*, *15*(5), 432-455.

Fekete, H., Kuramochi, T., Roelfsema, M., den Elzen, M., Forsell, N., Höhne, N., Luna, L., Hans, F., Sterl, S., Olivier, J., & van Soest, H. (2021). A review of successful climate change mitigation policies in major emitting economies and the potential of global replication. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *137*, p.110602.

Feresew, D. (2022). Factors affecting the delay of project implementation: the case of Wegagen bank financed projects (Doctoral dissertation, ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY).

Garschagen, M., & Doshi, D. (2022). Does funds-based adaptation finance reach the most vulnerable countries?. *Global Environmental Change*, *73*, 102450.

Ghaus-Pasha, A. (2005, May). Role of civil society organizations in governance. In *Kertas Persidangan 6th Global Forum on Reinventing Government Towards Participatory and Transparent Governance. Seoul* (pp. 24-27).

Green, J.F. (2021). Does carbon pricing reduce emissions? A review of ex-post analyses. *Environmental Research Letters*, *16*(4), 043004.

Hallegatte, S., Rentschler, J., & Rozenberg, J. (2020). Adaptation principles: a guide for designing strategies for climate change adaptation and resilience.

Hussain, A.B., Islam, M., Ahmed, K.J., Haq, S.M.A., & Islam, M.N. (2021). Financial inclusion, financial resilience, and climate change resilience. In *Handbook of Climate Change Management: Research, Leadership, Transformation* (pp. 2085-2107). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Ishiwatari, M. (2022). Disaster risk reduction. In *Handbook of Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation* (pp. 3019-3045). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Islam, M.M. (2022). Distributive justice in global climate finance–Recipients' climate vulnerability and the allocation of climate funds. *Global Environmental Change*, *73*, 102475.

Khan, M., Robinson, S.A., Weikmans, R., Ciplet, D., & Roberts, J.T. (2020). Twenty-five years of adaptation finance through a climate justice lens. *Climatic Change*, *161*, 251-269.

Kuhl, L., Van Maanen, K., & Scyphers, S. (2020). An analysis of UNFCCC-financed coastal adaptation projects: Assessing patterns of project design and contributions to adaptive capacity. *World Development*, *127*, 104748.

Lee, C.C., Li, X., Yu, C.H., & Zhao, J. (2022). The contribution of climate finance toward environmental sustainability: New global evidence. *Energy Economics*, *111*, 106072.

Manahan, M.A., & Kumar, M. (2021). The great takeover: mapping of multistakeholderism in global governance.

Mathur, V., & Roy, A. (2021). Financing Resilience. *Terra Nova*.

Matteoli, F., Schnetzer, J., & Jacobs, H. (2020). Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA): An Integrated Approach for Climate Change Management in the Agriculture Sector.

Handbook of Climate Change Management: Research, Leadership, Transformation, 1-29.

Mungai, E.M., Ndiritu, S.W., & Da Silva, I. (2022). Unlocking climate finance potential and policy barriers—A case of renewable energy and energy efficiency in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Resources, Environment and Sustainability*, *7*, 100043.

Nawaz, M.A., Seshadri, U., Kumar, P., Aqdas, R., Patwary, A.K., & Riaz, M. (2021). Nexus between green finance and climate change mitigation in N-11 and BRICS countries: empirical estimation through difference in differences (DID) approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*, 6504-6519.

Oguntuase, O.J. (2021). Between Making Money and Driving Change: How Impact Investors Are Cultivating Middle Ground in Climate Finance. In *Handbook of Climate Change Management: Research, Leadership, Transformation* (pp. 1-20). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Omukuti, J. (2020). Country ownership of adaptation: Stakeholder influence or government control?. *Geoforum*, *113*, 26-38.

Panda, A. (2023). Transformative finance for climate-resilient development. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *64*, 101327.

Pauw, W.P., König, M., Sadikhova, K., & Stutzmann, T. (2021). Financing Low-Carbon and Climate Resilient Development: Do countries integrate Article 2.1 (c) of the Paris Agreement in their long-term strategies?.

Pavanetto, M., & Nicolo, R. (2020). Finance for future. The role of multilateral development banks in green finance.

Prasad, M.A., Loukoianova, M.E., Feng, A.X., & Oman, W. (2022). *Mobilizing Private Climate Financing in Emerging Market and Developing Economies*. International Monetary Fund.

Puno, R.V.D. (2021). Integrating social and environmental safeguards in the implementation of the Paris agreement's sustainable development mechanism. *Environmental Law*, *51*(1), 205-222.

Rahman, M.M., Siddika, F., Ahmed, T., & Hadi, T. (2022). Lessons from Climate Change Adaptation Actions in Bangladesh. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Climate Resilient Societies* (pp. 1595-1624). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Rossitto, N. (2021). Green Bonds: an alternative source of financing in the Era of Climate Change.

Schoenefeld, J.J. (2021). The European Green Deal: What prospects for governing climate change with policy monitoring?. *Politics and Governance*, *9*(3), 370-379.

Tall, A., Lynagh, S., Blanco Vecchi, C., Bardouille, P., Montoya Pino, F., Shabahat, E., Stenek, V., Stewart, F., Power, S., Paladines, C., & Neves, P. (2021). Enabling private investment in climate adaptation and resilience.

Timilsina, G.R. (2021). Financing climate change adaptation: International initiatives. *Sustainability*, *13*(12), 6515.