



OPEN ACCESS

Engineering Science & Technology Journal

P-ISSN: 2708-8944, E-ISSN: 2708-8952

Volume 5, Issue 2, P.No. 543-554, February 2024

DOI: 10.51594/estj/v5i2.831

Fair East Publishers

Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/estj



INTEGRATED SIMULATION FRAMEWORKS FOR ASSESSING THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF CHEMICAL POLLUTANTS IN AQUATIC SYSTEMS

Ayodeji Abatan¹, Alexander Obaigbena², Ejike David Ugwuanyi³, Boma Sonimitiem Jacks⁴, Uchenna Joseph Umoga⁵, Onyeka Henry Daraojimba⁶, & Oluwaseun Augustine Lottu⁷

¹Saltwire Network, Halifax, Canada

²Darey.io. United Kingdom

³Department of Chemical, Biochemical and Environmental Engineering,
University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁴Independent Researcher, Nigeria

⁵Independent Researcher, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁶Department of Information Management, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

⁷Independent Researcher, UK

*Corresponding Author: Onyeka Henry Daraojimba

Corresponding Author Email: onyekaonjimba@gmail.com

Article Received: 04-01-24

Accepted: 02-02-24

Published: 26-02-24

Licensing Details: Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

ABSTRACT

The environmental integrity of aquatic ecosystems is increasingly threatened by the discharge of chemical pollutants, posing significant risks to biodiversity and human health. Addressing these concerns requires a comprehensive understanding of pollutant dynamics, transport mechanisms, and their ecological consequences. Integrated simulation frameworks have emerged as powerful tools for assessing the environmental impact of chemical pollutants in aquatic systems. This review explores the key components and applications of such frameworks, highlighting their role in informing environmental management and policy decisions. Integrated simulation frameworks integrate multidisciplinary knowledge, encompassing hydrodynamics, water quality, ecological processes, and chemical fate and

transport models. By combining these elements, these frameworks offer a holistic approach to assessing pollutant behavior in aquatic environments. They simulate complex interactions among physical, chemical, and biological processes, providing insights into pollutant dispersion, transformation pathways, bioaccumulation, and ecological responses. One notable aspect of integrated simulation frameworks is their ability to account for spatial and temporal variability in pollutant concentrations and environmental conditions. Through numerical simulations, these frameworks can predict pollutant dispersion patterns under different scenarios, including varying pollutant sources, hydrological conditions, and mitigation measures. Furthermore, they facilitate the evaluation of potential management strategies and the identification of critical pollutant sources or sensitive ecological receptors. The application of integrated simulation frameworks spans various environmental contexts, from local-scale water bodies to large river basins and coastal regions. They have been instrumental in assessing the impact of industrial discharges, agricultural runoff, urban stormwater runoff, and accidental spills on aquatic ecosystems. Additionally, these frameworks support risk assessment studies, pollution prevention planning, and regulatory compliance efforts. In conclusion, integrated simulation frameworks represent a valuable tool for understanding and managing the environmental impact of chemical pollutants in aquatic systems. By synthesizing diverse data sources and modeling approaches, they provide a comprehensive framework for decision-makers to address pollution challenges and safeguard the health and sustainability of aquatic ecosystems. Continued advancements in modeling techniques and data integration hold promise for enhancing the predictive capabilities and utility of these frameworks in supporting evidence-based environmental management practices.

Keywords: Simulation, Chemical, Pollutants, Aquatic, Environmental, Review.

INTRODUCTION

Aquatic ecosystems face significant threats from the presence of chemical pollutants, which can have adverse effects on both the environment and human health (Bashir et al., 2022). These pollutants, originating from various sources such as industrial activities, agriculture, and urban runoff, can disrupt natural ecological processes, degrade water quality, and harm aquatic organisms. The cumulative impact of chemical pollutants poses complex challenges for the sustainability of aquatic systems worldwide.

Assessing the environmental impact of chemical pollutants is crucial for preserving ecosystem health and ensuring the well-being of human populations that depend on these ecosystems for various services (Schaafsma, 2021.). From providing clean drinking water to supporting fisheries and recreational activities, healthy aquatic ecosystems are essential for numerous societal needs. Moreover, the interconnectedness of aquatic environments with terrestrial ecosystems further underscores the importance of safeguarding their integrity.

Integrated simulation frameworks have emerged as powerful tools for assessing the environmental impact of chemical pollutants in aquatic systems (Tong et al. 2022). These frameworks offer a multidisciplinary approach that combines hydrodynamics, water quality modeling, ecological processes, and chemical fate and transport modeling. By synthesizing diverse data sources and modeling techniques, integrated frameworks provide a comprehensive understanding of pollutant dynamics and their ecological consequences.

Through simulation and predictive modeling, integrated frameworks enable researchers and decision-makers to explore various scenarios and assess the effectiveness of mitigation measures (Hassani et al.,2023). They facilitate the identification of critical pollutant sources, the evaluation of potential risks to aquatic organisms, and the development of strategies for pollution prevention and management. Furthermore, these frameworks support regulatory compliance efforts by providing evidence-based assessments of environmental impacts.

In summary, the environmental challenges posed by chemical pollutants in aquatic systems necessitate robust assessment tools to inform decision-making and policy development (Santos et al.,2021). Integrated simulation frameworks represent a valuable approach for addressing these challenges by integrating diverse data and modeling techniques to understand pollutant dynamics and their ecological impacts. By harnessing the power of simulation and modeling, these frameworks contribute to the preservation and sustainable management of aquatic ecosystems for future generations.

Fundamentals of Integrated Simulation Frameworks

Integrated simulation frameworks are sophisticated computational tools designed to model and simulate the complex interactions occurring within aquatic systems affected by chemical pollutants (Lucas and Deleersnijder, 2020; Ikwuagwu et al., 2020). These frameworks are characterized by their ability to integrate multiple models and data sources to provide a comprehensive understanding of pollutant dynamics and their environmental impacts. Key characteristics of integrated simulation frameworks include; Integrated frameworks incorporate knowledge from various disciplines, including hydrodynamics, water quality, ecology, and chemistry, to capture the interconnected processes influencing pollutant fate and transport in aquatic environments. These frameworks operate at multiple spatial and temporal scales, allowing for detailed simulations of pollutant behavior and environmental processes at different levels of granularity. Integrated frameworks enable the prediction of pollutant dispersion patterns, transformation pathways, and ecological responses under different scenarios, facilitating informed decision-making and risk assessment (Ham and Kim, 2020; Okunade et al., 2023).

Integrated simulation frameworks typically consist of several interconnected components and modules, each addressing specific aspects of pollutant behavior and environmental processes (Lohman et al.,2023; Maduka et al., 2023). These components include; Hydrodynamic models simulate the flow of water within aquatic systems, accounting for factors such as currents, tides, and turbulence. These models provide the foundation for understanding the movement and dispersion of pollutants in water bodies. Water quality models focus on simulating the transport and transformation of pollutants in aquatic environments. They consider processes such as diffusion, advection, sedimentation, and biochemical reactions to track pollutant concentrations over time and space (Okunade et al., 2023; Carrera et al.,2022). Ecological models simulate the interactions between aquatic organisms and their environment, including factors such as nutrient cycling, primary production, and species interactions. These models provide insights into the ecological impacts of chemical pollutants on aquatic ecosystems. Chemical fate and transport models focus on simulating the behavior of specific pollutants, including their partitioning between water, sediment, and biota, as well as processes such as degradation and bioaccumulation (Menéndez and Jaumot, 2020).

Integration of diverse models and data sources is a critical aspect of integrated simulation frameworks (Capelláne et al.,2020). Various methods and approaches are employed to achieve this integration, including; coupling involves linking different models within the framework to exchange information and feedback between components. This allows for the seamless simulation of interactions between hydrodynamics, water quality, ecology, and chemical fate and transport processes. Data assimilation methods are used to incorporate observational data into the simulation framework, improving the accuracy and reliability of model predictions. These techniques enable the assimilation of data from remote sensing, monitoring networks, and field observations to constrain model simulations. Calibration involves adjusting model parameters to improve the agreement between simulated and observed data, while validation assesses the performance of the model in reproducing real-world conditions. These processes ensure the reliability and credibility of model predictions and support their use in decision-making and management applications (Adebisi, 2023).

In summary, integrated simulation frameworks provide a powerful approach for assessing the environmental impact of chemical pollutants in aquatic systems (Tong et al.,2022). By integrating multiple models and data sources, these frameworks offer a comprehensive understanding of pollutant dynamics and their ecological consequences, supporting informed decision-making and management of aquatic ecosystems.

Key Processes Modeled in Integrated Frameworks

The movement of pollutants due to the bulk motion of water, influenced by factors such as currents, tides, and wind (Ouyang et al.,2023; Fabian et al., 2023). The spreading of pollutants from areas of higher to lower concentration due to random molecular motion. The combined effect of advection and diffusion, resulting in the spreading of pollutants over time and space. The settling of particles and associated pollutants from the water column to the sediment bed, influenced by gravity and particle characteristics.

Transformation of pollutants through chemical processes such as oxidation, reduction, hydrolysis, and photolysis (Shi et al.,2022). Biodegradation and microbial activity leading to the breakdown of pollutants by microorganisms, including bacteria, algae, and fungi. Cycling of essential nutrients (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus) in aquatic ecosystems, influencing pollutant transformation and ecosystem productivity. Exchange of pollutants between sediment and water phases, mediated by physical, chemical, and biological processes.

The accumulation of pollutants in the tissues of aquatic organisms over time through uptake from water, sediment, and food sources (Pandiyani et al.,2021). Transfer of pollutants between different trophic levels of the food web, with higher trophic level organisms accumulating higher concentrations of pollutants. Increase in pollutant concentrations at higher trophic levels due to the biomagnification phenomenon, where organisms at higher trophic levels consume larger amounts of contaminated prey.

Interactions between different species (e.g., competition, predation, symbiosis) influenced by pollutant exposure and environmental conditions (Samuel et al.,2023). Changes in population sizes and distributions of aquatic organisms in response to pollutant exposure, including alterations in recruitment, growth, and mortality rates. Shifts in species composition and diversity within aquatic communities due to pollutant-induced stressors and ecological interactions. Changes in ecosystem processes and services (e.g., nutrient cycling, primary

production, habitat provisioning) resulting from pollutant impacts on aquatic organisms and habitats.

In summary, integrated simulation frameworks capture a wide range of key processes involved in the fate and effects of chemical pollutants in aquatic systems (Thacharodi et al.,2024). By incorporating these processes into comprehensive models, these frameworks provide valuable insights into pollutant dynamics, ecological responses, and ecosystem health, supporting informed decision-making and management of aquatic environments.

Applications of Integrated Simulation Frameworks

Integrated simulation frameworks are utilized to assess the environmental impact of industrial discharges into aquatic systems (Nika et al.,2020). These frameworks simulate the dispersion, transport, and fate of pollutants released from industrial sources, considering factors such as pollutant characteristics, discharge rates, and receiving water body characteristics. Case studies evaluate the potential ecological and human health risks associated with industrial pollutants and inform management strategies to mitigate adverse impacts.

Integrated frameworks are employed to model the transport of agricultural pollutants, such as nutrients and pesticides, from fields to adjacent water bodies via runoff and leaching (Trolborg et al.,2022). These frameworks simulate the interactions between agricultural practices, soil properties, hydrology, and water quality, assessing the contribution of agricultural runoff to nutrient loading, eutrophication, and water quality degradation. Case studies inform the development of best management practices (BMPs) and land-use planning strategies to minimize agricultural impacts on aquatic ecosystems (Hubbart, 2021).

Integrated simulation frameworks are applied to characterize the transport and fate of pollutants in urban stormwater runoff, including sediments, heavy metals, and organic contaminants (Rodak et al.,2022). These frameworks model runoff generation, conveyance, and pollutant loading processes in urban catchments, considering factors such as land use, impervious surfaces, drainage infrastructure, and precipitation patterns. Case studies support the design and implementation of stormwater management practices, such as green infrastructure and detention ponds, to mitigate urban runoff impacts on water quality and aquatic habitats.

Integrated frameworks are used to assess the environmental consequences of accidental spills of hazardous substances into aquatic environments (Soares et al.,2020). These frameworks simulate the spill scenario, including spill dynamics, pollutant dispersion, and potential exposure pathways for aquatic organisms and ecosystems. Case studies evaluate spill response strategies, emergency preparedness measures, and the effectiveness of containment and cleanup efforts to minimize ecological and socioeconomic impacts.

Integrated simulation frameworks support environmental risk assessment by quantifying the potential risks posed by chemical pollutants to aquatic ecosystems and human health (Hoang et al.,2021). These frameworks predict pollutant concentrations, exposure pathways, and ecological responses under different scenarios, allowing for the identification of high-risk areas, vulnerable receptors, and priority pollutants. Risk management strategies, such as pollution control measures, remediation actions, and land-use planning, are informed by the findings of risk assessments conducted using integrated frameworks.

Integrated simulation frameworks aid in the development of pollution prevention plans by evaluating the effectiveness of management strategies and regulatory measures in reducing pollutant inputs to aquatic systems (Mousavi et al.,2023). These frameworks model the impacts

of pollution prevention measures, such as source controls, treatment technologies, and best management practices, on pollutant loading, water quality, and ecological health. Pollution prevention plans are optimized based on the cost-effectiveness and environmental benefits identified through simulation studies.

Integrated simulation frameworks provide scientific support for regulatory compliance and policy development related to water quality management and environmental protection (Fu et al.,2020). These frameworks assess compliance with regulatory standards and guidelines for pollutant concentrations, discharge limits, and ecosystem health indicators. Policy decisions, such as setting water quality objectives, establishing pollutant discharge limits, and designating protected areas, are informed by the predictions and recommendations generated by integrated simulation studies (Viceconti et al.,2021).

Challenges and Limitations

Integrated simulation frameworks rely on diverse datasets, including hydrological data, water quality data, ecological data, and pollutant concentration data (Viceconti et al.,2021). However, data availability can be limited, particularly in remote or poorly monitored areas, hindering the development and validation of accurate models. Inaccurate, incomplete, or inconsistent data can compromise the reliability and validity of model simulations. Challenges such as data gaps, measurement errors, and variability in sampling methods can affect the quality of input data, leading to uncertainties in model predictions.

B. Uncertainty and Variability in Model Predictions:

1. Model Uncertainty: Integrated simulation frameworks involve numerous assumptions, simplifications, and parameterizations, leading to inherent uncertainties in model predictions(Herrera ,2021). Uncertainty arises from uncertainties in model structure, input data, model parameters, and boundary conditions, influencing the reliability and robustness of simulation results. Aquatic environments are characterized by complex and dynamic processes, including hydrodynamics, biogeochemical cycling, and ecological interactions, exhibiting spatial and temporal variability. Variability in environmental processes introduces uncertainty into model predictions, challenging the accuracy and precision of simulation outcomes (Raimondo et al.,2021).

Integrated simulation frameworks require significant computational resources to solve complex mathematical equations and simulate interactions among multiple components and processes (Luo ,2021). High computational demands arise from the need to model fine-scale spatial and temporal dynamics, leading to long simulation runtimes and computational challenges. Scaling up integrated frameworks to larger spatial extents or higher resolutions can pose challenges in terms of computational efficiency, storage requirements, and model performance. Scaling considerations are important for applying integrated frameworks to regional or watershed-scale assessments and long-term simulations.

Integrated frameworks often focus on biophysical processes and neglect socio-economic factors influencing pollutant dynamics and management decisions (Castro and Lechthaler, 2022). Incorporating socio-economic data, such as land use, population density, economic activities, and policy instruments, is essential for holistic assessments and effective decision-making. Engaging stakeholders, including communities, policymakers, industries, and non-governmental organizations, is critical for integrating diverse perspectives, incorporating local knowledge, and fostering collaborative approaches to environmental management. However,

stakeholder engagement processes can be challenging to implement effectively, requiring time, resources, and communication strategies to build trust and consensus (Goodman et al.,2020). In summary, addressing the challenges and limitations of integrated simulation frameworks requires concerted efforts to improve data availability and quality, quantify and communicate uncertainty, optimize computational efficiency, and integrate socio-economic considerations into modeling approaches. Overcoming these challenges is essential for enhancing the reliability, credibility, and utility of integrated frameworks for assessing and managing the environmental impact of chemical pollutants in aquatic systems (Mehinto et al.,2022).

Advances and Future Directions

Integration of advanced numerical methods, such as high-resolution modeling, adaptive mesh refinement, and parallel computing, to improve the accuracy and efficiency of simulations, especially for complex hydrodynamic and ecological processes (Asgari et al.,2022). Development of multi-scale modeling approaches to bridge the gap between fine-scale process-based models and coarse-scale regional models, enabling seamless integration of information across different spatial and temporal scales. Advancements in uncertainty quantification techniques, including probabilistic modeling, sensitivity analysis, and Bayesian inference, to better characterize and communicate uncertainties in model predictions, enhancing the reliability of simulation results (Lata ,2020).

Integration of remote sensing data, satellite imagery, and Earth observation datasets to improve spatial and temporal coverage of environmental variables, facilitating data-driven model calibration, validation, and verification (Perez et al.,2020). Advancements in data assimilation methods, such as ensemble Kalman filtering, particle filters, and variational assimilation, to assimilate observational data into simulation models in real-time, improving model predictions and reducing uncertainty. Establishment of standardized protocols for model intercomparison and benchmarking exercises to systematically evaluate the performance of integrated frameworks, foster collaboration among researchers, and promote best practices in modeling (Stach et al.,2021).

Integration of machine learning algorithms, such as neural networks, random forests, and support vector machines, to develop data-driven models for predicting environmental processes, identifying patterns, and extracting actionable insights from complex datasets (Sarker, 2021).

2. Hybrid Modeling Approaches: Integration of physics-based models with machine learning techniques to combine the strengths of both approaches, leveraging domain knowledge and data-driven methods for improved model accuracy and predictive capability (Schuster ,2022).

3. Automated Model Calibration: Development of automated model calibration and optimization algorithms using machine learning approaches to streamline the calibration process, reduce manual intervention, and enhance the efficiency of model development and validation (Ko et al.,2020).

D. Enhancing the Usability and Accessibility of Frameworks for Decision-Makers:

1. User-friendly Interfaces: Development of intuitive, user-friendly interfaces and visualization tools to facilitate interaction with simulation frameworks, enabling decision-makers with diverse backgrounds to access and interpret model outputs (Killen ,2020).

2. Decision Support Systems: Integration of simulation frameworks into decision support systems (DSS), incorporating interactive features, scenario analysis capabilities, and

visualization tools to assist decision-makers in evaluating alternative management strategies and making informed decisions (Dimara et al.,2021).

3. Capacity Building and Training: Implementation of capacity building programs, workshops, and training courses to enhance the technical skills and knowledge of decision-makers, stakeholders, and end-users in using integrated simulation frameworks for environmental assessment and management (Mourhir, 2021).

In summary, the future of integrated simulation frameworks lies in harnessing emerging modeling techniques, leveraging advances in data integration and validation, integrating artificial intelligence and machine learning methods, and enhancing usability and accessibility for decision-makers (Singh et al.,2023). By embracing these advancements and addressing key challenges, integrated frameworks can serve as powerful tools for addressing complex environmental challenges and supporting evidence-based decision-making and policy development in the management of aquatic systems (Bibri et al.,2024).

RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION

A. Summary of the Importance and Utility of Integrated Simulation Frameworks:

Integrated simulation frameworks play a crucial role in assessing the environmental impact of chemical pollutants in aquatic systems by capturing the complex interactions among physical, chemical, and biological processes. These frameworks offer a holistic approach to understanding pollutant dynamics, transport mechanisms, and ecological responses, providing valuable insights for environmental management and policy decisions. By integrating diverse data sources and modeling techniques, integrated frameworks enhance our ability to predict pollutant behavior, evaluate mitigation measures, and safeguard the health and sustainability of aquatic ecosystems.

B. Potential for Addressing Environmental Challenges and Informing Decision-Making:

Integrated simulation frameworks hold significant potential for addressing pressing environmental challenges and informing evidence-based decision-making. By quantifying the environmental risks posed by chemical pollutants, these frameworks enable the identification of priority areas for intervention, the development of targeted pollution prevention strategies, and the evaluation of regulatory compliance measures. Moreover, integrated frameworks facilitate stakeholder engagement, foster interdisciplinary collaboration, and support adaptive management approaches, enhancing the resilience of aquatic ecosystems to environmental stressors.

C. Outlook for Future Developments and Advancements in the Field:

The future of integrated simulation frameworks is characterized by continuous advancements and innovations aimed at enhancing model accuracy, predictive capability, and usability. Emerging developments in modeling techniques, data integration, and artificial intelligence hold promise for improving the reliability and robustness of simulation results. Additionally, efforts to enhance the accessibility and usability of frameworks for decision-makers, stakeholders, and end-users will further enhance their effectiveness in supporting environmental management and policy development. As we continue to address key challenges and leverage technological advancements, integrated simulation frameworks will remain invaluable tools for addressing environmental challenges and ensuring the health and resilience of aquatic ecosystems for future generations.

Reference

- Adebiyi, O.O. (2023). Exploring the impact of predictive analytics on accounting and auditing expertise: A regression analysis of LinkedIn survey data. *Available at SSRN 4626506. and Energy, July 25-29, 2021, USA* (pp. 510-521). Springer International Publishing.
- Asgari, M., Yang, W., Lindsay, J., Tolson, B., & Dehnavi, M.M. (2022). A review of parallel computing applications in calibrating watershed hydrologic models. *Environmental Modelling & Software, 151*, 105370.
- Bashir, I., Lone, F.A., Bhat, R.A., Mir, S.A., Dar, Z.A., & Dar, S.A. (2020). Concerns and threats of contamination on aquatic ecosystems. *Bioremediation and biotechnology: sustainable approaches to pollution degradation*, pp.1-26.
- Bibri, S.E., Krogstie, J., Kaboli, A., & Alahi, A. (2024). Smarter eco-cities and their leading-edge artificial intelligence of things solutions for environmental sustainability: A comprehensive systematic review. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology, 19*, 100330.
- Capellán-Pérez, I., de Blas, I., Nieto, J., de Castro, C., Miguel, L.J., Carpintero, O., Mediavilla, M., Lobejón, L.F., Ferreras-Alonso, N., Rodrigo, P., & Frechoso, F. (2020). MEDEAS: A new modeling framework integrating global biophysical and socioeconomic constraints. *Energy & environmental science, 13*(3), 986-1017.
- Carrera, J., Saaltink, M.W., Soler-Sagarra, J., Wang, J., & Valhondo, C. (2022). Reactive transport: a review of basic concepts with emphasis on biochemical processes. *Energies, 15*(3), 925.
- Castro, L.M., & Lechthaler, F. (2022). The contribution of bio-economic assessments to better informed land-use decision making: An overview. *Ecological Engineering, 174*, 106449.
- Dimara, E., Zhang, H., Tory, M., & Franconeri, S. (2021). The unmet data visualization needs of decision makers within organizations. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 28*(12), 4101-4112.
- Fu, B., Horsburgh, J.S., Jakeman, A.J., Gualtieri, C., Arnold, T., Marshall, L., Green, T.R., Quinn, N.W., Volk, M., Hunt, R.J., & Vezzaro, L. (2020). Modeling water quality in watersheds: From here to the next generation. *Water Resources Research, 56*(11), e2020WR027721.
- Goodman, M.S., Ackermann, N., Bowen, D.J., & Thompson, V.S. (2020). Reaching consensus on principles of stakeholder engagement in research. *Progress in Community Health Partnerships: Research, Education, and Action, 14*(1), 117.
- Ham, Y., & Kim, J. (2020). Participatory sensing and digital twin city: Updating virtual city models for enhanced risk-informed decision-making. *Journal of Management in Engineering, 36*(3), 04020005.
- Hassani, M.R., Niksokhan, M.H., Janbehsarayi, S.F.M., & Nikoo, M.R. (2023). Multi-objective robust decision-making for LIDs implementation under climatic change. *Journal of Hydrology, 617*, 128954.
- Herrera, P.A., Marazuela, M.A., & Hofmann, T. (2022). Parameter estimation and uncertainty analysis in hydrological modeling. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 9*(1), e1569.
- Hoang, H.G., Chiang, C.F., Lin, C., Wu, C.Y., Lee, C.W., Cheruiyot, N.K., Tran, H.T., & Bui, X.T. (2021). Human health risk simulation and assessment of heavy metal

- contamination in a river affected by industrial activities. *Environmental Pollution*, 285, p.117414.
- Hubbart, J.A. (2021). Improving Best Management Practice Decisions in Mixed Land Use and/or Municipal Watersheds: Should Approaches Be Standardized?. *Land*, 10(12), 1402.
- Ikwuagwu, C.V., Ajahb, S.A., Uchennab, N., Uzomab, N., Anutaa, U.J., Sa, O.C., & Emmanuela, O. (2020). Development of an Arduino-Controlled Convective Heat Dryer. In *UNN International Conference: Technological Innovation for Holistic Sustainable Development (TECHISD2020)* (pp. 180-95).
- Killen, C.P., Geraldi, J., & Kock, A. (2020). The role of decision makers' use of visualizations in project portfolio decision making. *International Journal of Project Management*, 38(5), 267-277.
- Ko, H., Chung, H., Kang, W.S., Kim, K.W., Shin, Y., Kang, S.J., Lee, J.H., Kim, Y.J., Kim, N.Y., Jung, H., & Lee, J. (2020). COVID-19 pneumonia diagnosis using a simple 2D deep learning framework with a single chest CT image: model development and validation. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(6), e19569.
- Lata, S., Mehfuz, S., Urooj, S., & Alrowais, F. (2020). Fuzzy clustering algorithm for enhancing reliability and network lifetime of wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Access*, 8, 66013-66024.
- Lohman, W., Cornelissen, H., Borst, J., Klerkx, R., Araghi, Y., & Walraven, E. (2023). Building digital twins of cities using the Inter Model Broker framework. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 148, 501-513.
- Lucas, L.V., & Deleersnijder, E. (2020). Timescale methods for simplifying, understanding and modeling biophysical and water quality processes in coastal aquatic ecosystems: A review. *Water*, 12(10), 2717.
- Luo, P., Luo, M., Li, F., Qi, X., Huo, A., Wang, Z., He, B., Takara, K., Nover, D., & Wang, Y. (2022). Urban flood numerical simulation: Research, methods and future perspectives. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 156, 105478.
- Maduka, C. P., Adegoke, A. A., Okongwu, C. C., Enahoro, A., Osunlaja, O., & Ajogwu, A. E. (2023). Review Of laboratory diagnostics evolution in Nigeria's response to COVID-19. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 3(1), 1-23.
- Mehinto, A.C., Coffin, S., Koelmans, A.A., Brander, S.M., Wagner, M., Thornton Hampton, L.M., Burton Jr, A.G., Miller, E., Gouin, T., Weisberg, S.B., & Rochman, C.M. (2022). Risk-based management framework for microplastics in aquatic ecosystems. *Microplastics and Nanoplastics*, 2(1), 17.
- Menéndez-Pedriza, A., & Jaumot, J., 2020. Interaction of environmental pollutants with microplastics: a critical review of sorption factors, bioaccumulation and ecotoxicological effects. *Toxics*, 8(2), 40.
- Mourhir, A. (2021). Scoping review of the potentials of fuzzy cognitive maps as a modeling approach for integrated environmental assessment and management. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 135, 104891.
- Mousavi, S.H., Kavianpour, M.R., Alcaraz, J.L.G., & Yamini, O.A. (2023). System dynamics modeling for effective strategies in water pollution control: insights and applications. *Applied Sciences*, 13(15), 9024.

- Nika, C.E., Vasilaki, V., Expósito, A., & Katsou, E., 2020. Water cycle and circular economy: developing a circularity assessment framework for complex water systems. *Water Research*, 187, 116423.
- Okunade, B. A., Adediran, F. E., Maduka, C. P., & Adegoke, A. A. (2023). Community-based mental health interventions in Africa: a review and its implications for us healthcare practices. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 3(3), 68-91.
- Okunade, B.A., Adediran, F.E., Balogun, O.D., Maduka, C.P., & Adegoke, A.A. (2023). Capacity building in Nigeria's healthcare sector: A review of skill development and mentorship initiatives.
- Ouyang, W., Liu, Z., Zhang, H., Gu, X., Lin, C., Liu, X., & He, M. (2023). Residual circulations and wind conditions affect the transport and distribution of Pb. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 414, 137551.
- Pandiyan, J., Mahboob, S., Govindarajan, M., Al-Ghanim, K.A., Ahmed, Z., Al-Mulhm, N., Jagadheesan, R., & Krishnappa, K. (2021). An assessment of level of heavy metals pollution in the water, sediment and aquatic organisms: A perspective of tackling environmental threats for food security. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 28(2), 1218-1225.
- Perez-Pozuelo, I., Zhai, B., Palotti, J., Mall, R., Aupetit, M., Garcia-Gomez, J.M., Taheri, S., Guan, Y. and Fernandez-Luque, L., 2020. The future of sleep health: a data-driven revolution in sleep science and medicine. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 3(1), 42.
- Raimondo, S., Schmolke, A., Pollesch, N., Accolla, C., Galic, N., Moore, A., Vaugeois, M., Rueda-Cediel, P., Kanarek, A., Awkerman, J. and Forbes, V., 2021. Pop-guide: Population modeling guidance, use, interpretation, and development for ecological risk assessment. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 17(4), 767-784.
- Rodak, C.M., Jayakaran, A.D., Moore, T.L., David, R., Rhodes, E.R., & Vogel, J.R. (2020). Urban stormwater characterization, control, and treatment. *Water Environment Research*, 92(10), 1552-1586.
- Samuel, P.O., Edo, G.I., Oloni, G.O., Ugbune, U., Ezekiel, G.O., Essaghah, A.E.A., & Agbo, J.J. (2023). Effects of chemical contaminants on the ecology and evolution of organisms a review. *Chemistry and Ecology*, 39(10), 1071-1107.
- Santos, J.I., Vidal, T., Gonçalves, F.J., Castro, B.B., & Pereira, J.L. (2021). Challenges to water quality assessment in Europe–Is there scope for improvement of the current Water Framework Directive bioassessment scheme in rivers?. *Ecological Indicators*, 121, 107030.
- Sarker, I.H. (2021). Data science and analytics: an overview from data-driven smart computing, decision-making and applications perspective. *SN Computer Science*, 2(5), 377.
- Schaafsma, M. (2021). Natural environment and human well-Being. *Life on Land*, 688-699.
- Schuster, D., van Zelst, S.J., & van der Aalst, W.M. (2022). Utilizing domain knowledge in data-driven process discovery: A literature review. *Computers in Industry*, 137, 103612.
- Shi, X., Chen, Z., Liu, X., Wei, W., & Ni, B.J. (2022). The photochemical behaviors of microplastics through the lens of reactive oxygen species: Photolysis mechanisms and enhancing photo-transformation of pollutants. *Science of The Total Environment*, 846, 57498.

- Singh, A., Randive, S., Breggia, A., Ahmad, B., Christman, R., & Amal, S. (2023). Enhancing Prostate Cancer Diagnosis with a Novel Artificial Intelligence-Based Web Application: Synergizing Deep Learning Models, Multimodal Data, and Insights from Usability Study with Pathologists. *Cancers*, 15(23), 5659.
- Soares, J., Fernandes, R., Brito, D., Oliveira, H., Neuparth, T., Martins, I., & Santos, M.M. (2020). Environmental risk assessment of accidental marine spills: A new approach combining an online dynamic Hazardous and Noxious substances database with numerical dispersion, risk and population modelling. *Science of the Total Environment*, 715, 136801.
- Stach, E., DeCost, B., Kusne, A.G., Hattrick-Simpers, J., Brown, K.A., Reyes, K.G., Schrier, J., Billinge, S., Buonassisi, T., Foster, I., & Gomes, C.P. (2021). Autonomous experimentation systems for materials development: A community perspective. *Matter*, 4(9), 2702-2726.
- Thacharodi, A., Meenatchi, R., Hassan, S., Hussain, N., Bhat, M.A., Arockiaraj, J., Ngo, H.H., Le, Q.H., & Pugazhendhi, A. (2024). Microplastics in the environment: a critical overview on its fate, toxicity, implications, management, and bioremediation strategies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 349, 119433.
- Tong, X., Mohapatra, S., Zhang, J., Tran, N.H., You, L., He, Y., & Gin, K.Y.H. (2022). Source, fate, transport and modelling of selected emerging contaminants in the aquatic environment: Current status and future perspectives. *Water Research*, 217, 118418.
- Troldborg, M., Gagkas, Z., Vinten, A., Lilly, A., & Glendell, M. (2022). Probabilistic modelling of the inherent field-level pesticide pollution risk in a small drinking water catchment using spatial Bayesian belief networks. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 26(5), 1261-1293.
- Viceconti, M., Pappalardo, F., Rodriguez, B., Horner, M., Bischoff, J., & Tshinanu, F.M., 2021. In silico trials: Verification, validation and uncertainty quantification of predictive models used in the regulatory evaluation of biomedical products. *Methods*, 185, 120-127.