



OPEN ACCESS

Engineering Science & Technology Journal  
P-ISSN: 2708-8944, E-ISSN: 2708-8952  
Volume 4, Issue 3, P.No. 120-139, September 2023  
DOI: 10.51594/estj.v4i3.556  
Fair East Publishers  
Journal Homepage: [www.fepbl.com/index.php/estj](http://www.fepbl.com/index.php/estj)



## ANALYZING GLOBAL EVOLUTION OF MATERIALS RESEARCH FUNDING AND ITS INFLUENCE ON INNOVATION LANDSCAPE: A CASE STUDY OF U.S. INVESTMENT STRATEGIES

Olawe Alaba Tula<sup>1</sup>, Chibuike Daraojimba<sup>2</sup>, Nsiong Louis Eyo-Udo<sup>3</sup>,  
Blessed Afeyokalo Egbokhaebho<sup>4</sup>, Kelechi Anthony Ofonagoro<sup>5</sup>, Oluwaseun Ayo Ogunjobi<sup>6</sup>,  
Joachim Osheyor Gidiagba<sup>7</sup>, & Adeyinka Alex Bansa<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NLNG Bonny Island, Rivers State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>University of Pretoria, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>Independent Researcher, UK

<sup>4</sup>Independent Researcher, UK

<sup>5</sup> Kelanth Energy Solutions Limited, Nigeria

<sup>6</sup> S A & G Beeline Consulting, Nigeria

<sup>7</sup>University of Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>8</sup>J-Cos Consult Ltd, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author: Chibuike Daraojimba

Corresponding Author Email: [chibuike.daraojimba@tuks.co.za](mailto:chibuike.daraojimba@tuks.co.za)

Article Received: 03-08-23

Accepted: 05-09-23

Published: 13-09-23

**Licensing Details:** Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Journal open access page.

### ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the relationship between funding for materials and its profound impact on the global innovation landscape. Through a comprehensive analysis of funding sources, interdisciplinary collaboration, and case studies, this study emphasizes the crucial role of strategic investment in driving innovation, advancing technologies, and addressing societal challenges. The paper examines the diverse funding landscape, spanning government agencies, private sector entities, and venture capital firms, highlighting the collaborative

ecosystem that fuels breakthroughs. Case studies of transformative innovations, including perovskite solar cells and quantum materials, illustrate the direct influence of funding on breakthroughs with far-reaching implications. The study explores potential future trends in materials research funding, such as sustainable technologies and advanced manufacturing, predicting their effects on shaping the innovation landscape. The paper concludes by highlighting the pivotal role of U.S. investment strategies in orchestrating the global innovation ecosystem. It underscores the United States' leadership in interdisciplinary collaboration, knowledge spillover, and strategic allocation of funds, positioning it as a model for driving materials research and propelling societies toward a more sustainable, prosperous future. Ultimately, this research paper underscores the critical importance of materials research funding as a catalyst for innovation, shaping technological advancements and shaping a dynamic global innovation landscape.

**Keywords:** Materials Research, Funding, Innovation Landscape, U.S. Investment Strategies, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Strategic Allocation, Sustainable Technologies.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Materials research funding drives innovation and fosters technological advancements across various industries. This critical support provides the necessary resources for scientists, engineers, and researchers to explore, experiment, and develop novel materials that have the potential to revolutionize products, processes, and entire industries. The symbiotic relationship between materials research funding and innovation has far-reaching implications beyond the laboratory walls. Innovation is the cornerstone of progress in the modern world. Societies have overcome challenges, improved living standards, and created new opportunities through innovation. Materials are fundamental to this process as the building blocks of all tangible objects. Governments, institutions, and private entities stimulate a cycle of creativity and discovery that leads to ground-breaking advancements by investing in materials research—new materials with unique properties and characteristics open doors to solutions that were once deemed impossible.

The importance of materials research funding can be observed in many sectors. In healthcare, for instance, advanced materials have led to the development of biocompatible implants, drug delivery systems, and diagnostic tools that enhance patient care and treatment outcomes (Talebian et al., 2018; J. Zhang et al., 2021; Y. Zhang, Chan, & Leong, 2013). In renewable energy, innovative materials have produced more efficient solar cells, lightweight and durable wind turbine components, and energy storage solutions that drive the transition to sustainable power sources (Balali, Nouri, Omrani, Nasiri, & Otieno, 2017; Zohuri, 2017). The electronics industry has benefited from materials research by creating faster, smaller, and more energy-efficient devices, paving the way for our digital age (Shi et al., 2019).

Moreover, materials research funding directly impacts economic growth and competitiveness. Nations prioritizing and investing in materials science and engineering are better positioned to remain at the forefront of technological advancements. Countries can create a competitive edge in the global marketplace by fostering an environment where researchers can explore new materials, optimize existing ones, and translate discoveries into real-world applications. The outcomes of materials research often lead to the establishment of innovative startups, the growth of established industries, and the attraction of foreign investment. Beyond tangible

applications, materials research funding contributes to expanding scientific knowledge and developing new methodologies (Ling & Hand, 1980). Pursuing novel materials often involves pushing the boundaries of our understanding of chemistry, physics, and engineering. Researchers delve into uncharted territories, unveiling new principles and phenomena that enrich the scientific community's collective knowledge. As a result, materials research acts as a catalyst for interdisciplinary collaboration, bringing together experts from various fields to tackle complex challenges (Ezell & Andes, 2016).

The collaborative efforts of government and private sector investments shape the innovation landscape of any nation. These two entities play distinct but interconnected roles that contribute to developing, diffusing, and commercializing ground-breaking ideas, technologies, and solutions. Their synergy forms the foundation upon which innovation thrives, fostering economic growth, societal progress, and global competitiveness. Government investments in innovation are driven by recognizing the long-term benefits of a technologically advanced and knowledge-driven economy (Stevens, 1996). Governments allocate resources to support research and development (R&D) activities, promote scientific exploration, and catalyze the emergence of new industries. These investments take various forms, including grants, subsidies, tax incentives, and the establishment of research centers and institutions (Becker, 2015).

One of the primary roles of government investments is to bridge the gap between basic research and applied technologies (Hoffert et al., 2002). Often, early-stage research lacks immediate commercial viability, making it less attractive to private-sector investors. Governments step in to provide funding for fundamental research, enabling scientists and researchers to explore new frontiers without the immediate pressure of profitability. This foundational research forms the bedrock upon which later stages of innovation are built. Government investments also often target areas of strategic importance, such as national security, healthcare, clean energy, and infrastructure. Governments drive innovation aimed at addressing crucial societal challenges and improving the well-being of their citizens by prioritizing these sectors. Collaborative efforts are fostered through initiatives such as public-private partnerships, technology clusters, and innovation hubs. These mechanisms facilitate cooperation among academia, industry, and government entities, establishing a fertile environment for exchanging diverse ideas.

The private sector, comprising corporations, venture capital firms, and individual entrepreneurs, drives the practical application and commercialization of innovative ideas (Sahlman, 2022). Private sector investments are guided by market demand, profit motives, and the desire to create competitive advantages. This sector transforms research outcomes into tangible products and services catering to consumers' needs and preferences. Private sector investments are essential for scaling innovation and bringing it to market. Startups and established companies receive funding from venture capitalists and angel investors who see the potential for high investment returns. These funds support product development, prototype refinement, and marketing efforts, ultimately transforming innovative concepts into real-world solutions.

Moreover, private sector investments encourage risk-taking and experimentation. Entrepreneurs are driven by the prospect of disrupting traditional industries and creating entirely new markets (Sarpong, Boakye, Ofori, & Botchie, 2023). Their investments in

research, development, and commercialization lead to the introduction of novel technologies and products that drive economic growth and create job opportunities. The collaboration between the government and the private sector is crucial for a holistic and sustainable innovation landscape. While government investments provide the foundation and long-term vision, private sector investments infuse the dynamism and efficiency required for rapid commercialization and market adaptation. The feedback loop between these entities fosters a continuous innovation cycle, where research findings inform product development, generating new research avenues. In analyzing the global evolution of materials research funding and its influence on the innovation landscape, the following discussion presents the research objectives and significance of the study.

The primary research objectives of this study include comprehensively analyzing the historical evolution of materials research funding on a global scale, exploring the relationship between materials research funding and its impact on innovation outcomes, and undertaking an in-depth case study focused on the United States' investment strategies in materials research. The significance of this research lies in its potential contributions to academia and practical decision-making. The study seeks to enhance the scholarly understanding of the complex relationship between materials research funding and innovation. The research aims to uncover nuanced insights into how funding strategies influence innovative materials and technologies development, adoption, and diffusion by analyzing empirical data and conducting case studies. Understanding the effectiveness of different investment approaches and their impact on innovation can inform strategic decision-making, optimize resource allocation and foster a more vibrant and dynamic innovation ecosystem. Ultimately, the research holds the potential to contribute to societal progress by accelerating the advancement of materials-related technologies. These innovations, in turn, can lead to improvements in various sectors, such as healthcare, energy efficiency, environmental sustainability, and more, positively impacting quality of life and global challenges.

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Examining the available literature concerning funding in materials research, innovation, and investment strategies yields valuable insights into the intricate interplay among financial backing, technological advancement, and strategic decision-making. A comprehensive literature review reveals these subjects' multifaceted nature and significance in propelling scientific advancement, economic expansion, and societal progress.

Numerous studies have delved into the dynamics of funding for materials research, highlighting its role in determining research trajectories and technological outcomes. Scholars such as Mervis (2009) underscore the importance of consistent government funding for fundamental research, which frequently establishes the foundation for transformative innovations. Furthermore, Feldman and Florida (1994) investigate the impacts of public research funding on knowledge spillovers and regional innovation clusters, illustrating how investments in materials research can cultivate innovation ecosystems at a regional level.

The nexus connecting materials research and innovation has garnered considerable attention within the literature. Researchers like Mokyr (2011) have explored the pivotal role of technological advancements in driving economic growth, emphasizing the significance of breakthroughs in materials-related domains in shaping industrial revolutions. Studies scrutinizing investment strategies in materials research provide insights into the decision-

making processes of governments, industries, and private investors. Grupp and Moguee (2004) analyze the allocation of research funds across various technology domains, shedding light on funding agencies' differing priorities and strategies. The literature extensively examines collaborations between the public and private sectors to advance materials research and innovation. Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff (2000) introduce the "triple helix" concept, emphasizing the interaction between academia, industry, and government in propelling innovation. Such collaborations have been explored within the context of specific materials, such as nanotechnology. Roco and Bainbridge (2003) discuss collaborative endeavors contributing to the swift advancement of novel materials and applications. Scholars have probed the role of innovation ecosystems and policy interventions in promoting materials research and its outcomes. Bresnahan and Trajtenberg (1995) explore the impacts of research funding and intellectual property policies on innovation, shedding light on how regulatory frameworks influence the landscape of innovation. Similarly, Audretsch and Feldman (2003) examine the role of entrepreneurship in translating research into economic impact, underscoring the significance of targeted policies to stimulate innovation in materials-related sectors. The literature embodies a global outlook on funding for materials research, innovation, and investment strategies. Studies Gassmann (2006) discusses strategies employed by multinational corporations in overseeing innovation across diverse geographic locations, underscoring the global nature of materials research and its funding.

The existing literature on funding for materials research, innovation, and investment strategies underscores the connection between financial support, technological advancement, and strategic decision-making. Scholars from diverse disciplines have approached these topics from various perspectives, offering insights into the mechanisms propelling scientific discoveries, technological breakthroughs, and economic progress. Integrating and expanding upon these existing studies will enable this research paper to contribute to the ongoing discourse and provide a more profound comprehension of the dynamics shaping the global innovation landscape in materials research.

The relationship between funding and innovation outcomes is central to scholarly research and policymaking. Various studies and frameworks have been developed to elucidate this relationship's complex dynamics. Understanding how different funding approaches impact innovation can offer valuable insights for optimizing resource allocation and fostering technological progress. This section discusses relevant studies and frameworks that contribute to comprehending the funding-innovation nexus.

- i. **Linear Model of Innovation:** The linear model, proposed by Robert Solow in the 1950s and extended by subsequent researchers, posits a direct and sequential relationship between funding, research, development, and innovation. This model suggests that increased funding for research and development activities leads to new knowledge and technologies, which subsequently translate into innovative products and processes (Solow, 1952). While this model provides a simplified perspective, it underscores the importance of funding in initiating the innovation process.
- ii. **National Innovation Systems (NIS):** The concept of National Innovation Systems, introduced by Christopher Freeman and others, emphasizes the interconnectedness of various actors and institutions within a nation that contributes to innovation. Funding is pivotal in NIS by supporting research organizations, universities, industries, and

government agencies. Studies based on this framework, such as those by Lundvall (1992), explore how funding mechanisms, collaboration, and policies shape the overall innovation ecosystem of a country.

- iii. **Triple Helix Model:** The Triple Helix model, proposed by Henry Etzkowitz and Loet Leydesdorff, highlights the interplay between academia, industry, and government in driving innovation. This framework suggests that funding from these three sources creates a collaborative environment that nurtures knowledge transfer, technology commercialization, and innovation. The model underscores the importance of partnerships and co-funding arrangements in fostering innovation outcomes Leydesdorff and Etzkowitz (1998).
- iv. **Innovation Ecosystems and Clusters:** Research on innovation ecosystems and clusters, as discussed by authors like Michael Porter (1998) and AnnaLee Saxenian (1996), examines how geographical agglomerations of firms, research institutions, and supporting organizations contribute to innovation. Funding within these ecosystems can lead to knowledge spillovers, resource sharing, and cross-pollination of ideas, resulting in enhanced innovation outcomes. Such studies shed light on how funding dynamics in localized areas influence broader innovation trends.
- v. **Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs):** Scholars have investigated the effectiveness of public-private partnerships in promoting innovation outcomes. PPPs involve collaborations between government entities and private sector actors to co-fund research and development projects (Mauricio III, Magdaraog, Magtubo, & Geroy, 2019).
- vi. **Funding Diversification and Risk Mitigation:** Research has examined how diversifying funding sources can mitigate risks and enhance innovation outcomes. Studies by Link and Scott (2005) discuss the benefits of combining public funding with private investments, venture capital, and philanthropic support. Diverse funding streams reduce dependence on a single source and provide a stable foundation for sustained innovation efforts.
- vii. **Innovation Indicators and Metrics:** Frameworks for measuring innovation outcomes often include funding-related indicators. The Oslo Manual, developed by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), provides guidelines for collecting and interpreting innovation data, including metrics related to funding sources, expenditures, and collaboration patterns (Gamal, Salah, & Elrayyes, 2011). These indicators offer insights into the link between funding inputs and innovation outputs.

### **EVOLUTION OF GLOBAL MATERIALS RESEARCH FUNDING**

A historical overview of global materials research funding trends unveils the progression of financial support for scientific inquiry, technological innovation, and the development of novel materials that have shaped the modern world. The evolution of funding patterns over time provides insights into the changing priorities of governments, industries, and research institutions, highlighting the ebb and flow of investment in materials-related research endeavors.

In the early 20th century, funding for materials research was primarily driven by academic institutions, philanthropic organizations, and individual researchers (D'este & Perkmann, 2011). However, it was during and after World War II that a significant shift occurred. Governments recognized the strategic importance of materials science in defense and national security, leading to substantial investments in research. This period marked the beginning of

sustained public funding for materials research, establishing a foundation for subsequent advancements. The Cold War rivalry between the United States and the Soviet Union further accelerated materials research funding. Both superpowers competed to develop cutting-edge technologies, resulting in increased investments in semiconductors, aerospace materials, and composite materials. These efforts laid the groundwork for innovations that would later revolutionize industries and society (Geiger, 2017).

The latter half of the 20th century witnessed a diversification of materials research funding sources. While government funding continued to play a crucial role, private sector investments and venture capital gained prominence. The emergence of interdisciplinary research approaches, such as nanotechnology and biomaterials, led to collaborative funding efforts that spanned multiple disciplines and sectors. As globalization intensified, materials research funding began to reflect a more interconnected world. International collaboration and knowledge exchange became integral components of funding strategies. Cross-border initiatives, joint research projects, and multinational partnerships brought together researchers from different countries to address global challenges and foster innovation (Bramwell, Hepburn, & Wolfe, 2012; Council, 2007).

In the 21st century, a growing emphasis on sustainability and environmental concerns has influenced materials research funding trends. Investment in green materials, renewable energy technologies, and circular economy initiatives has gained momentum (Mhatre, Panchal, Singh, & Bibyan, 2021; Rizos, Behrens, Kafyeke, Hirschnitz-Garbers, & Ioannou, 2015). The emergence of advanced materials, such as graphene and quantum materials, has attracted attention and funding due to their potential for transformative applications. Governments, industries, and research institutions collaborate to pool resources, share expertise, and accelerate the translation of research findings into practical solutions. Funding mechanisms like PPPs have become instrumental in bridging the gap between basic research and commercialization (Clark, Reed, & Sunderland, 2018). Despite the progress in materials research funding, challenges remain. Cycles of funding fluctuations, changing political landscapes, and economic uncertainties can impact the stability and continuity of research initiatives. Furthermore, ensuring equitable access to funding and promoting diversity in research and innovation remain important considerations.

### **Significant Shifts in Funding Patterns Internationally and within the United States**

Highlighting significant shifts in funding patterns internationally and within the United States provides a nuanced understanding of the dynamic landscape of materials research investment. These shifts reflect evolving priorities, emerging technologies, and changing global contexts that shape the allocation of resources to drive scientific discovery, innovation, and economic growth.

#### ***International Funding Patterns***

Over the years, international funding patterns for materials research have undergone notable transformations, influenced by geopolitical changes, technological advancements, and collaborative initiatives. Some significant shifts include;

- i. **Globalization and Collaborative Research:** The latter part of the 20th century saw a rise in international collaboration in materials research. Joint research projects, knowledge sharing, and funding partnerships between countries gained prominence. Organizations like the European Union's Horizon 2020 program and collaborative initiatives like the

International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) exemplify this trend (Dusdal & Powell, 2021).

- ii. **Emerging Economies' Investments:** The emergence of economies like China, India, and South Korea as major players in science and technology has led to substantial increases in materials research funding (Choung & Hwang, 2013). These countries recognize the strategic importance of materials innovation in driving economic competitiveness and have allocated significant resources to foster their domestic materials research capabilities.
- iii. **Sustainability and Green Technologies:** A shift towards funding sustainable materials and clean technologies has become evident in response to global environmental challenges. Research on eco-friendly materials, energy-efficient technologies, and recycling processes has gained traction, reflecting the growing emphasis on addressing pressing ecological concerns.
- iv. **Cross-Disciplinary Approaches:** Funding patterns have increasingly favored cross-disciplinary research endeavors. The convergence of materials science with fields like nanotechnology, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence has led to interdisciplinary collaboration and the pooling of funds from various scientific domains to address complex challenges.

### ***U.S. Funding Patterns***

Within the United States, funding patterns for materials research have evolved in response to domestic priorities, economic conditions, and technological advancements. Some key shifts include:

- i. **Post-War Investment in Defence:** The post-World War II era witnessed a surge in U.S. government funding for materials research driven by defense imperatives. Investments in aerospace materials, semiconductors, and advanced composites supported the country's technological edge during the Cold War (NSF, 2020).
- ii. **Rise of Public-Private Partnerships:** The late 20th century saw a rise in public-private partnerships encouraging industry collaboration in materials research. Initiatives like the National Network for Manufacturing Innovation (NNMI) fostered partnerships between academia, industry, and government, promoting technology transfer and commercialization (NSF, 2020).
- iii. **Focus on High-Impact Technologies:** Shifting funding priorities towards high-impact technologies has characterized recent decades. Investments in nanomaterials, quantum materials, and advanced manufacturing techniques reflect a drive to support cutting-edge research areas with transformative potential (NSF, 2020).
- iv. **Emphasis on Sustainability and Energy:** With increasing concerns about energy security and environmental sustainability, U.S. funding has gravitated towards materials research related to renewable energy, energy storage, and efficient resource utilization (NSF, 2020).
- v. **Entrepreneurship and Startups:** Funding mechanisms supporting innovation-driven entrepreneurship, such as Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) grants, have gained prominence. These initiatives aim to accelerate the translation of research outcomes into marketable products and technologies, fostering a culture of innovation and economic growth (NSF, 2020).



The highlighted shifts in funding patterns carry significant implications for scientific progress, technological innovation, and economic competitiveness. They demonstrate funding agencies' adaptability to emerging challenges and opportunities. Countries and regions can position themselves at the forefront of materials research, driving advancements with far-reaching societal, economic, and environmental impacts by aligning investments with strategic priorities.

### **U.S. INVESTMENT STRATEGIES IN MATERIALS RESEARCH**

The United States' investment strategies in materials research are pivotal in driving scientific advancements, technological innovation, and economic growth. These strategies involve a complex interplay between government agencies, private sector players, and the allocation of funds across various material types, research areas, and technologies. This in-depth analysis examines the multifaceted landscape of U.S. investment strategies in materials research, shedding light on key actors, funding allocation trends, and their implications for the innovation ecosystem.

Government agencies are critical drivers of materials research funding in the United States. The National Science Foundation (NSF) and the Department of Energy (DOE) are two prominent agencies at the forefront of this effort (Brase et al., 2022). The NSF has been key in supporting fundamental materials research across various disciplines. Its funding programs encompass materials science, engineering, and related fields (Hill et al., 2016). The NSF's role extends beyond mere financial support; it also fosters interdisciplinary collaboration, encourages high-risk, high-reward research, and nurtures the next generation of researchers through education and training initiatives. The agency's Materials Research Science and Engineering Centres (MRSECs) exemplify its commitment to advancing materials research by creating interdisciplinary hubs for innovation and knowledge dissemination.

The DOE has been instrumental in advancing materials research focusing on energy-related applications. Its funding efforts encompass materials for renewable energy, energy storage, and advanced manufacturing. The Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E) within DOE supports high-risk, high-reward research that has the potential to transform energy technologies. The DOE's national laboratories also contribute significantly to materials research through collaborative projects, cutting-edge facilities, and expertise.

The private sector, including corporations and venture capital firms, complements government funding and drives technology commercialization. Private sector players, particularly corporations, invest in materials research to develop new products, enhance existing technologies, and remain competitive in the global market. Large companies often establish research and development (R&D) divisions for materials innovation. These R&D efforts lead to the creation of novel materials with improved properties, enabling the development of advanced electronics, medical devices, aerospace materials, and more. Collaborative projects between corporations and academia also facilitate technology transfer and accelerate the translation of research outcomes into real-world applications.

Venture capital firms provide critical funding for startups and early-stage companies engaged in materials research (Gilson, 2002). These firms identify promising technologies and invest in their development to bring innovative materials to market. The infusion of venture capital enables rapid prototyping, proof of concept, and initial scaling of materials-related

technologies. This injection of funds nurtures entrepreneurship and supports high-risk, high-reward projects that have the potential to disrupt industries and create new markets.

### **Allocation of Funds across Material Types, Research Areas, and Technologies**

The distribution of funds within U.S. investment strategies for materials research exhibits variation across different material types, research domains, and technologies. This variability reflects the diverse range of applications and the dynamic nature of scientific knowledge advancement.

#### ***Material Types***

Allocation of funds to distinct material categories is predicated on their potential influence and applicability. Conventional materials, including metals, polymers, and ceramics, receive consistent funding to facilitate enhancements and novel usage scenarios. Advanced materials, encompassing nanomaterials, composites, and biomaterials, attract substantial investments due to their transformative capabilities. Emerging domains such as 2D materials (e.g., graphene) and quantum materials garner attention due to their distinctive properties and potential to yield technological, computing, and energy breakthroughs (Altıparmak, Yardley, Shi, & Lin, 2022).

#### ***Research Areas***

Funding is distributed across various research areas, from fundamental science to applied engineering. Essential research endeavors explore materials' fundamental properties and behavior, uncovering new phenomena and guiding future innovations. Applied research focuses on translating scientific knowledge into practical applications. In contrast, engineering research aims to design and optimize materials for specific uses. Multidisciplinary research initiatives, such as those at the intersection of materials science and biology or materials and data science, receive funding to address complex challenges and drive innovation (Cai et al., 2019).

#### ***Technologies***

Investments in materials research are closely tied to emerging technologies that have the potential to revolutionize industries and society. Energy-related technologies, such as solar cells, batteries, and fuel cells, receive significant funding to address global energy challenges. Advanced manufacturing technologies, including additive manufacturing (3D printing) and smart materials, attract funds to enhance production processes and enable customization. Biomedical technologies, such as biomaterials for tissue engineering and drug delivery, benefit from research funding to improve medical treatments and healthcare outcomes (Ramezani & Mohd Ripin, 2023).

The diverse landscape of U.S. investment strategies in materials research yields far-reaching implications. Strategic collaboration between government agencies, private sector entities, and academic institutions fosters knowledge exchange, accelerates technology development, and enhances the nation's global competitiveness. The allocation of funds across material types, research areas, and technologies reflects a balanced approach that supports foundational research, high-impact applications, and interdisciplinary breakthroughs. As technology continues to evolve, future directions for U.S. investment strategies in materials research may involve an increased focus on sustainable materials, circular economy principles, and the convergence of materials science with emerging fields like quantum computing and artificial intelligence. Balancing long-term research goals with short-term economic impact will ensure

a robust innovation ecosystem that drives progress, addresses societal challenges and propels the United States to the forefront of materials science and technology.

### **INFLUENCE OF FUNDING ON INNOVATION LANDSCAPE**

Exploring the correlation between materials research funding and innovation outcomes unveils the relationship between financial support, scientific discovery, and technological advancement. This analysis examines case studies and examples demonstrating how increased funding has catalyzed breakthrough innovations. Furthermore, it examines the pivotal role of interdisciplinary collaboration and knowledge spillover in driving innovation within materials research.

#### **Case Studies of Funding-Driven Breakthroughs**

- i. **Graphene:** The discovery of graphene, a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a two-dimensional honeycomb lattice, is a prime example of how increased funding can lead to transformative breakthroughs. In 2004, Andre Geim and Konstantin Novoselov at the University of Manchester isolated graphene using a simple "scotch tape" method (Xinming Li & Zhu, 2016). The subsequent recognition of graphene's exceptional mechanical, electrical, and thermal properties led to a surge in funding for research and development. Governments, research institutions, and private sector players invested heavily in exploring graphene's potential applications, ranging from flexible electronics and transparent conductors to high-capacity batteries and advanced composites (Novoselov, 2010).
- ii. **Advanced Materials for Energy Storage:** The field of energy storage has witnessed significant funding-driven innovations. Lithium-ion batteries, crucial for portable electronics and electric vehicles, underwent rapid improvement due to substantial research investments. Increasing funding enabled researchers to optimize battery materials, enhance energy density, and extend cycle life. The collaboration between academia, national laboratories, and industry led to breakthroughs in electrode materials, electrolytes, and cell designs, resulting in safer, more efficient, and longer-lasting batteries (S. Rangarajan et al., 2022).
- iii. **Nanomedicine:** Funding has played a crucial role in advancing materials research in medicine. Nanomedicine's application of nanotechnology in healthcare has benefited from increased research funding. Nanoparticles and nanostructured materials have been developed for targeted drug delivery, imaging, and diagnostics (Harish et al., 2022). These advancements have led to personalized treatments, reduced side effects, and improved patient outcomes, showcasing the direct impact of funding on medical innovation (Petkar, Chavhan, Agatonovik-Kustrin, & Sawant, 2011).

#### **Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Knowledge Spillover**

- i. **Materials Genome Initiative:** The Materials Genome Initiative (MGI) launched by the U.S. government exemplifies the role of interdisciplinary collaboration in driving innovation. MGI aims to accelerate the discovery and development of new materials by integrating computational modeling, materials synthesis, and experimental validation. The initiative encourages collaboration between materials scientists, physicists, chemists, engineers, and computer scientists. Through the breaking down of traditional disciplinary silos, MGI has enabled knowledge spillover, leading to accelerated materials discovery and the design of materials with tailored properties (de Pablo et al., 2019).

- ii. **Bioinspired Materials:** Interdisciplinary collaboration between materials science and biology has led to the development of bioinspired materials. Drawing inspiration from natural systems, researchers have created materials with unique properties and functionalities. For instance, researchers have developed self-healing materials inspired by biological healing processes, leading to applications in coatings, adhesives, and textiles (Qi et al., 2023). These innovations result from collaboration between materials scientists, biologists, and engineers.
- iii. **Emerging Technologies:** The synergy between different scientific disciplines has propelled the emergence of technologies at the intersection of materials research. Quantum materials, for instance, combine insights from materials science, quantum physics, and information theory. The collaboration between physicists, materials scientists, and computer scientists has led to the development of novel materials with quantum properties, potentially revolutionizing computing, communication, and cryptography (Bauer, Bravyi, Motta, & Chan, 2020).

The correlation between materials research funding and innovation outcomes underscores the importance of strategic investment in driving scientific progress and societal advancement. Case studies highlight that increased funding facilitates transformative breakthroughs, accelerates technology development, and addresses complex challenges. Interdisciplinary collaboration and knowledge spillover amplify innovation by bringing diverse perspectives and expertise together, fostering creativity, and unlocking new possibilities.

In the future, fostering an environment that encourages interdisciplinary collaboration will be essential for sustaining innovation. Funding agencies, research institutions, and industries can create platforms that facilitate communication and collaboration between researchers from different fields. Additionally, nurturing a culture of openness, sharing, and continuous learning will enable knowledge spillover, enabling researchers to leverage insights from various domains to drive materials research forward. As materials research evolves, recognizing the symbiotic relationship between funding, interdisciplinary collaboration, and innovation outcomes becomes paramount.

#### **CASE STUDY: U.S. INVESTMENT IN ADVANCED MATERIALS**

One of the most compelling examples of advanced materials research funded by the U.S. is the development of new materials for photovoltaics (solar cells). This case study examines the impact of U.S. investment on the advancement of photovoltaic technologies, highlighting the transformative role of research funding in shaping the energy landscape.

Solar energy has gained immense prominence as a clean and renewable energy source. However, the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of solar cells were limitations that needed to be overcome to make solar energy a viable alternative to fossil fuels. U.S. investment strategies focused on materials research sought to address these challenges and unlock the full potential of photovoltaic technologies.

The U.S. government allocated substantial funding to materials research in photovoltaics through agencies like the DOE and the NSF. This funding supported research into novel materials, innovative device architectures, and advanced manufacturing techniques. One specific subset of materials research within photovoltaics was the exploration of next-generation materials beyond traditional silicon-based solar cells.

#### **Impact on Development**

- **Perovskite Solar Cells:** Investment in advanced materials research led to the discovery and development of perovskite solar cells. Perovskite materials, with their unique crystal structure, demonstrated exceptional photovoltaic properties. Researchers funded by U.S. agencies conducted extensive research to enhance perovskite solar cells' efficiency, stability, and scalability. The result was a breakthrough in energy conversion efficiency, with perovskite solar cells reaching levels comparable to traditional silicon cells (Younas, Khan, Zaidi, & Khalid, 2022).
- **Flexible and Lightweight Photovoltaics:** Funding enabled the development of flexible and lightweight photovoltaic materials. Emerging materials, such as organic semiconductors and transparent conductive films, were explored to create flexible solar cells that could be integrated into unconventional surfaces like clothing, building materials, and mobile devices. This breakthrough opened avenues for decentralized energy generation and applications in remote regions (Xiaoyue Li et al., 2021).
- **Tandem Solar Cells:** Investments supported research into tandem solar cells, which combine different materials with complementary absorption spectra to enhance overall efficiency. Tandem cells stack multiple layers of materials, each optimized for specific wavelengths of light. This approach enables the utilization of a broader range of the solar spectrum, resulting in higher conversion efficiencies. Integrating tandem cells into commercial photovoltaic products can increase energy yield while reducing the cost per watt (Ameri, Li, & Brabec, 2013).

### **Technological Applications**

The impact of U.S. investment in advanced materials for photovoltaics extends beyond the laboratory, resulting in tangible technological applications:

- **High-Efficiency Solar Panels:** Developing advanced materials, such as perovskites and tandem solar cells, has translated into higher-efficiency solar panels. These panels generate more electricity from the same amount of sunlight, increasing energy production without requiring additional land or resources. This advancement is crucial for meeting the growing energy demands of a sustainable future (Lee, Bae, Kim, & Lee, 2020).
- **Diversification of Solar Applications:** Investment in flexible and lightweight photovoltaics has diversified the applications of solar technology. Materials research has made feasible solar-integrated fabrics, building materials, and portable devices. These applications cater to different energy needs, enabling the integration of solar energy generation into everyday objects and structures (Wojciechowski, Forgács, & Rivera, 2019).
- **Integration with Grid and Energy Storage:** Advanced materials research has enabled the integration of solar panels into the electric grid more seamlessly. Solar cells' increased efficiency means they can generate more energy in a smaller footprint, making them suitable for urban environments. Additionally, higher-efficiency solar cells contribute to more effective energy storage solutions, improving the reliability of renewable energy systems (Balali et al., 2017).

In conclusion, the case study of advanced materials research for photovoltaics showcases the profound impact of U.S. investment strategies on technology development and applications. The allocation of resources to explore novel materials, innovative device architectures, and advanced manufacturing techniques has allowed the U.S. to transform the field of

photovoltaics. Breakthroughs in perovskite solar cells, flexible photovoltaics, and tandem solar cells demonstrate the critical role of funding in unlocking new possibilities for clean and sustainable energy generation. The results of this investment have far-reaching implications, contributing to the transition towards a greener energy future while driving technological innovation and economic growth.

### **IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The research paper has yielded several key findings with significant implications for policymakers, researchers, and investors. These findings shed light on the crucial role of funding in driving innovation, shaping technological advancements, and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration.

The paper highlights the strong correlation between materials research funding and innovation outcomes. Through case studies and examples, it demonstrates how increased funding has catalyzed breakthroughs in advanced materials, developing novel technologies and applications. From perovskite solar cells to flexible photovoltaics, the findings underscore the transformative impact of strategic funding on driving technological advancements. The research emphasizes the pivotal role of interdisciplinary collaboration and knowledge spillover in driving innovation within the materials research landscape. The analysis of case studies shows that breakthroughs often occur at the intersection of different scientific disciplines. Integrating materials science with biology, quantum physics, and computer science has created materials with unprecedented properties and functionalities.

The study reveals the diverse sources of funding that contribute to materials research, including government agencies, private sector players, venture capital firms, and international collaborations. This diversity reflects the complex and multifaceted nature of the innovation ecosystem, with each funding source playing a unique role in driving research, development, and commercialization. The paper also underscores the importance of strategic investment priorities in materials research. Funding strategies supporting fundamental research and applied technology development yield comprehensive outcomes. The allocation of funds across material types, research areas, and technologies directly impacts the creation of high-impact innovations and the advancement of societal goals.

#### **Implications**

Researchers can leverage the implications of this research to guide their work and collaboration efforts. The emphasis on interdisciplinary collaboration highlights the importance of sharing knowledge across scientific domains, sparking creativity and enabling breakthroughs. Researchers can also advocate for diversified funding sources and engage in public-private partnerships to ensure sustained support to pursue high-impact projects. Investors in the materials research domain can use these findings to inform their investment strategies. Understanding the transformative effects of funding on innovation outcomes can guide investment decisions in early-stage research and technology commercialization.

The landscape of materials research funding is poised to undergo transformative shifts in the coming years, driven by emerging technologies, global challenges, and changing priorities. These potential future trends in materials research funding will likely significantly affect the innovation landscape, influencing the direction of scientific discovery, technological advancements, and societal impact.

Future trends in materials research funding are expected to emphasize sustainability and green technologies strongly. With the growing urgency to address environmental challenges, investments in materials that enable renewable energy, energy storage, efficient resource utilization, and eco-friendly manufacturing processes will likely increase. Funding strategies prioritizing sustainable materials and technologies will contribute to a more environmentally conscious innovation landscape, shaping industries towards greater ecological responsibility. Funding trends may shift towards materials research that supports a circular economy model. Investment in innovative recycling technologies, biodegradable materials, and closed-loop systems will gain prominence. Researchers will explore ways to design materials for durability, reusability, and recyclability, reducing waste and minimizing the environmental impact of products across their lifecycles. The development of advanced manufacturing techniques, such as additive manufacturing (3D printing), smart materials, and digital design, will likely lead to materials research funding. Investments in these areas can create customizable and efficient manufacturing processes, fostering a more agile and responsive industrial landscape. This trend can reshape traditional supply chains, accelerate product development, and enable mass customization.

As scientists uncover the remarkable properties of biological systems, funding for materials research inspired by nature's designs is expected to increase. Biomimetic materials that mimic the structures and functionalities of natural organisms can lead to breakthroughs in fields like healthcare, materials science, and robotics. Investments in bioinspired materials could yield innovations in drug delivery, self-healing materials, and advanced sensors. The exploration of quantum materials and technologies is anticipated to receive substantial funding. Quantum materials, characterized by unique quantum mechanical properties, have the potential to revolutionize computing, communication, and cryptography. Research funding in this area could lead to the developing of more powerful and efficient quantum devices, driving advancements in fields beyond traditional computing.

Future funding trends are likely to encourage cross-disciplinary collaboration, bringing together researchers from diverse fields to tackle complex challenges. Funding initiatives bridging materials science with biology, medicine, data science, and other disciplines can result in innovative solutions with broad societal impact. Interdisciplinary projects may uncover unexpected synergies and drive the convergence of technologies.

### **Avenues for Further Research and Exploration**

As the field of materials research continues to evolve and expand, several exciting avenues for further research and exploration can contribute to advancing scientific knowledge, driving technological innovation, and addressing pressing global challenges. These avenues represent opportunities for researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders to delve deeper into critical areas of inquiry and make meaningful contributions to various fields. Below are some suggested avenues for further research and exploration:

- Investigating the design and development of multi-functional materials that exhibit a combination of desirable properties could open new horizons.
- Further research into sustainable materials that enhance resource efficiency and reduce environmental impact is crucial.

- Exploring the materials that improve energy storage capacity, charging rates, and safety, as well as those that enhance the efficiency and stability of renewable energy technologies.
- Investigating materials with unique quantum properties, such as superconductivity and topological insulators, can lead to computing power, secure communication, and high-precision measurement breakthroughs.
- Further research into advanced manufacturing techniques, such as additive manufacturing, 3D printing, and nanofabrication, can revolutionize the production of materials with tailored properties.
- Exploring nanoscale materials' synthesis, characterization, and manipulation for electronics, medicine, environmental remediation, and beyond applications.
- Exploring the intersection of materials science and biology can lead to the development of bioinspired and biohybrid materials.

These avenues for further research and exploration represent exciting frontiers within materials research. Researchers can contribute to scientific advancement, technological innovation, and sustainable solutions to global challenges by exploring these areas. Collaboration between academia, industry, and policymakers will be vital to realizing the potential of these research directions and shaping a future where materials science plays a central role in addressing complex issues and improving quality of life.

### CONCLUSION

This research paper has comprehensively analyzed the critical relationship between materials research funding and its profound influence on the global innovation landscape. Through an exploration of funding sources, interdisciplinary collaboration, case studies, and emerging trends, the paper underscores the pivotal role of strategic materials research funding in driving innovation, advancing technologies, and addressing societal challenges. It establishes the importance of funding as a catalyst for innovation in materials research. It showcases how targeted financial support from government agencies, private sector players, and venture capital firms fuels breakthroughs in advanced materials, leading to novel technologies and application development. This research paper also highlights how interdisciplinary collaboration and knowledge spillover drive innovation. It explores case studies where the convergence of different scientific disciplines leads to unexpected discoveries and transformative innovations, underscoring the importance of cross-pollination of ideas. The diverse landscape of materials research funding, encompassing both public and private funding sources, is elucidated. It emphasizes that various funding streams, including government grants, corporate R&D investments, and venture capital, contribute to shaping the innovation ecosystem and driving advancements.

This research underscores the significance of strategically allocating funds across material types, research areas, and technologies. It demonstrates that well-defined funding strategies facilitate a balanced approach supporting foundational research, high-impact applications, and interdisciplinary breakthroughs, fostering a holistic innovation ecosystem. The paper showcases the transformative impact of research funding on technological breakthroughs through case studies such as perovskite solar cells, flexible photovoltaics, and quantum materials. These case studies illustrate how increased investment has led to advancements with far-reaching implications across industries. Finally, the paper explores potential future



trends in materials research funding, including sustainable technologies, advanced manufacturing, quantum materials, and bioinspired materials. It discusses how these trends are likely to shape the innovation landscape, drive technological advancements, and address global challenges.

## References

- Altıparmak, S. C., Yardley, V. A., Shi, Z., & Lin, J. (2022). Extrusion-based additive manufacturing technologies: State of the art and future perspectives. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 83, 607-636.
- Ameri, T., Li, N., & Brabec, C. J. (2013). Highly efficient organic tandem solar cells: a follow up review. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 6(8), 2390-2413.
- Audretsch, D., & Feldman, M. (2003). Small-firm strategic research partnerships: The case of biotechnology. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 15(2), 273-288.
- Balali, M. H., Nouri, N., Omrani, E., Nasiri, A., & Otieno, W. (2017). An overview of the environmental, economic, and material developments of the solar and wind sources coupled with the energy storage systems. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 41(14), 1948-1962.
- Bauer, B., Bravyi, S., Motta, M., & Chan, G. K.-L. (2020). Quantum algorithms for quantum chemistry and quantum materials science. *Chemical Reviews*, 120(22), 12685-12717.
- Becker, B. (2015). Public R&D policies and private R&D investment: A survey of the empirical evidence. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 29(5), 917-942.
- Bramwell, A., Hepburn, N., & Wolfe, D. A. (2012). Growing innovation ecosystems: University-industry knowledge transfer and regional economic development in Canada. *Final Report to the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada*, 62.
- Brase, J., Campbell, N., Helland, B., Hoang, T., Parashar, M., Rosenfield, M., . . . Towns, J. (2022). The COVID-19 High-Performance Computing Consortium. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 24(1), 78-85.
- Bresnahan, T. F., & Trajtenberg, M. (1995). General purpose technologies 'Engines of growth'? *Journal of Econometrics*, 65(1), 83-108.
- Cai, H., Gu, Y., Lin, Y.-C., Yu, Y., Geohegan, D. B., & Xiao, K. (2019). Synthesis and emerging properties of 2D layered III–VI metal chalcogenides. *Applied Physics Reviews*, 6(4).
- Choung, J.-Y., & Hwang, H.-R. (2013). The evolutionary patterns of knowledge production in Korea. *Scientometrics*, 94, 629-650.
- Clark, R., Reed, J., & Sunderland, T. (2018). Bridging funding gaps for climate and sustainable development: Pitfalls, progress and potential of private finance. *Land Use Policy*, 71, 335-346.
- Council, N. R. (2007). *International Benchmarking of US Chemical Engineering Research Competitiveness*: National Academies Press.
- D'este, P., & Perkmann, M. (2011). Why do academics engage with industry? The entrepreneurial university and individual motivations. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 36, 316-339.

- de Pablo, J. J., Jackson, N. E., Webb, M. A., Chen, L.-Q., Moore, J. E., Morgan, D., . . . Toberer, E. S. (2019). New frontiers for the materials genome initiative. *NPJ Computational Materials*, 5(1), 41.
- Dusdal, J., & Powell, J. J. (2021). Benefits, motivations, and challenges of international collaborative research: a sociology of science case study. *Science and Public Policy*, 48(2), 235-245.
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: from National Systems and “Mode 2” to a Triple Helix of university–industry–government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109-123.
- Ezell, S., & Andes, S. (2016). Localizing the economic impact of research and development: Policy proposals for the Trump administration and Congress. *Information Technology & Innovation Foundation and Brookings Foundation*.
- Feldman, M. P., & Florida, R. (1994). The geographic sources of innovation: technological infrastructure and product innovation in the United States. *Annals of the association of American Geographers*, 84(2), 210-229.
- Gamal, D., Salah, E. T., & Elrayyes, E. N. (2011). How to measure organization Innovativeness. *Technology Innovation and Entrepreneurship Center*, 1-35.
- Gassmann, O. (2006). Opening up the innovation process: towards an agenda. *R&d Management*, 36(3), 223-228.
- Geiger, R. L. (2017). *Research and relevant knowledge: American research universities since World War II*: Routledge.
- Gilson, R. J. (2002). Engineering a venture capital market: lessons from the American experience. *Stanford Law Review*, 55, 1067.
- Grupp, H., & Mogege, M. E. (2004). Indicators for national science and technology policy: how robust are composite indicators? *Research Policy*, 33(9), 1373-1384.
- Harish, V., Tewari, D., Gaur, M., Yadav, A. B., Swaroop, S., Bechelany, M., & Barhoum, A. (2022). Review on nanoparticles and nanostructured materials: Bioimaging, biosensing, drug delivery, tissue engineering, antimicrobial, and agro-food applications. *Nanomaterials*, 12(3), 457.
- Hill, J., Mulholland, G., Persson, K., Seshadri, R., Wolverton, C., & Meredig, B. (2016). Materials science with large-scale data and informatics: Unlocking new opportunities. *Mrs Bulletin*, 41(5), 399-409.
- Hoffert, M. I., Caldeira, K., Benford, G., Criswell, D. R., Green, C., Herzog, H., . . . Lewis, J. S. (2002). Advanced technology paths to global climate stability: energy for a greenhouse planet. *Science*, 298(5595), 981-987.
- Lee, S. W., Bae, S., Kim, D., & Lee, H. S. (2020). Historical analysis of high-efficiency, large-area solar cells: toward upscaling of perovskite solar cells. *Advanced Materials*, 32(51), 2002202.
- Leydesdorff, L., & Etzkowitz, H. (1998). Triple Helix of innovation: introduction. *Science and Public Policy*, 25(6), 358-364.
- Li, X., Li, P., Wu, Z., Luo, D., Yu, H.-Y., & Lu, Z.-H. (2021). Review and perspective of materials for flexible solar cells. *Materials Reports: Energy*, 1(1), 100001.
- Li, X., & Zhu, H. (2016). The graphene–semiconductor Schottky junction. *Physics Today*, 69(9), 46-51.

- Ling, J. G., & Hand, M. A. (1980). Federal funding in materials research. *Science*, 209(4462), 1203-1207.
- Link, A. N., & Scott, J. T. (2005). Universities as partners in US research joint ventures. *Research Policy*, 34(3), 385-393.
- Lundvall, B.-Å. (1992). User-producer relationships, national systems of innovation and internationalization. *National Systems of Innovation*.
- Mauricio III, M. U., Magdaraog, M. A. C., Magtubo, K. M. P., & Geroy, L. S. A. (2019). Enabling Greater Private Sector Participation in Health Research in the Philippines. *Acta Medica Philippina*, 53(3).
- Mervis, J. (2009). A Vision in Search of Funding. In: American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- Mhatre, P., Panchal, R., Singh, A., & Bibyan, S. (2021). A systematic literature review on the circular economy initiatives in the European Union. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 26, 187-202.
- Mokyr, J. (2011). *The gifts of Athena: Historical origins of the knowledge economy*: Princeton University Press.
- Nelson, R. R. (1993). *National innovation systems: a comparative analysis*: Oxford University Press, USA.
- Novoselov, S. (2010). The Nobel Prize in Physics 2010 honours two scientists, who have made the decisive contributions to this development. They are Andre K. Geim and Konstantin S. Novoselov, both at the University of Manchester, UK. They have succeeded in producing, isolating, identifying and characterizing graphene.
- NSF. (2020). The State of U.S. Science and Engineering 2020. Retrieved from <https://www.ncses.nsf.gov/pubs/nsb20201/u-s-r-d-performance-and-funding>
- Petkar, K. C., Chavhan, S. S., Agatonovik-Kustrin, S., & Sawant, K. (2011). Nanostructured materials in drug and gene delivery: a review of the state of the art. *Critical Reviews™ in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems*, 28(2).
- Porter, M. E. (1998). *Clusters and the new economics of competition* (Vol. 76): Harvard Business Review Boston.
- Qi, M., Yang, R., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Zhang, Q., He, B., . . . Pan, C. (2023). Bioinspired Self-healing Soft Electronics. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2214479.
- Ramezani, M., & Mohd Ripin, Z. (2023). 4D printing in biomedical engineering: Advancements, challenges, and future directions. *Journal of Functional Biomaterials*, 14(7), 347.
- Rizos, V., Behrens, A., Kafyeke, T., Hirschnitz-Garbers, M., & Ioannou, A. (2015). The circular economy: Barriers and opportunities for SMEs. *CEPS Working Documents*.
- Roco, M. C., & Bainbridge, W. S. (2003). Overview converging technologies for improving human performance: Nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology, and cognitive science (NBIC). In *Converging technologies for improving human performance: Nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology and cognitive science* (pp. 1-27): Springer.
- S. Rangarajan, S., Sunddararaj, S. P., Sudhakar, A., Shiva, C. K., Subramaniam, U., Collins, E. R., & Senjyu, T. (2022). Lithium-ion batteries—The crux of electric vehicles with opportunities and challenges. *Clean Technologies*, 4(4), 908-930.

- Sahlman, W. A. (2022). The structure and governance of venture-capital organizations. In *Venture Capital* (pp. 3-51): Routledge.
- Sarpong, D., Boakye, D., Ofosu, G., & Botchie, D. (2023). The three pointers of research and development (R&D) for growth-boosting sustainable innovation system. *Technovation*, 122, 102581.
- Saxenian, A. (1996). *Regional advantage: Culture and competition in silicon valley and route 128, with a new preface by the author*: Harvard University Press.
- Shi, Z., Tsymbalov, E., Dao, M., Suresh, S., Shapeev, A., & Li, J. (2019). Deep elastic strain engineering of bandgap through machine learning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(10), 4117-4122.
- Solow, R. (1952). On the structure of linear models. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 29-46.
- Stevens, C. (1996). The knowledge-driven economy. *Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. The OECD Observer*(200), 6.
- Talebian, S., Foroughi, J., Wade, S. J., Vine, K. L., Dolatshahi-Pirouz, A., Mehrali, M., . . . Wallace, G. G. (2018). Biopolymers for antitumor implantable drug delivery systems: recent advances and future outlook. *Advanced Materials*, 30(31), 1706665.
- Wojciechowski, K., Forgács, D., & Rivera, T. (2019). Industrial opportunities and challenges for perovskite photovoltaic technology. *Solar Rrl*, 3(9), 1900144.
- Younas, T., Khan, U., Zaidi, S., & Khalid, M. (2022). *Increasing Efficiency of Solar Panels via Photovoltaic Materials*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
- Zhang, J., Xu, J., Lim, J., Nolan, J. K., Lee, H., & Lee, C. H. (2021). Wearable glucose monitoring and implantable drug delivery systems for diabetes management. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, 10(17), 2100194.
- Zhang, Y., Chan, H. F., & Leong, K. W. (2013). Advanced materials and processing for drug delivery: the past and the future. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 65(1), 104-120.
- Zohuri, B. (2017). *Hybrid energy systems: Driving reliable renewable sources of energy storage*: Springer.

### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

No conflict of interest has been declared by the authors.