OPEN ACCESS
Engineering Science & Technology Journal
P-ISSN: 2708-8944, E-ISSN: 2708-8952
Volume 5, Issue 5, P.No. 1643-1656, May 2024
DOI: 10.51594/estj/v5i5.1113
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/estj

The impact of instructional design on language acquisition in multilingual STEM classrooms

Fadeke Adeola Atobatele[1], Olateju Temitope Akintayo[2], & Patricia Diane Mouboua[3]

[1]Department of Educational Leadership and Policy Studies, University of Texas, Arlington, USA
[2]University of Nebraska Lincoln, USA
[3]Seymour Dual Language Academy, Syracuse City School District, USA

*Corresponding Author:
Corresponding Author Email: Faa0719@mavs.uta.edu

Article Received: 18-01-24 **Accepted:** 15-04-24 **Published:** 05-05-24

ABSTRACT

This conceptual review investigates the profound influence of instructional design on language acquisition within the dynamic context of multilingual STEM classrooms. In an era marked by the intersection of diverse linguistic backgrounds and the growing emphasis on STEM education, understanding how instructional strategies can facilitate language learning alongside scientific inquiry is imperative. This paper navigates through the intricate terrain of curriculum development to elucidate strategies to foster environments conducive to language acquisition and scientific exploration. The strategies for seamlessly integrating language objectives into STEM lessons are central to this exploration. The paper underscores the importance of deliberate planning to embed language proficiency goals within the framework of scientific concepts, thereby facilitating a holistic approach to learning. Furthermore, it illuminates the role of collaborative learning activities in nurturing language skills, emphasizing the synergy between peer interaction and linguistic development. Through collaborative endeavors, students engage in meaningful discourse, negotiating language use while collectively constructing scientific knowledge. Moreover, the review delves into the efficacy of scaffolded instruction as a cornerstone for supporting students' linguistic and cognitive development. By providing

structured support tailored to learners' proficiency levels, scaffolded instruction scaffolds students' language acquisition journey while concurrently scaffolding their understanding of complex STEM concepts. This targeted approach not only cultivates language fluency but also fosters conceptual mastery, ensuring equitable access to STEM education for all students regardless of linguistic backgrounds. This conceptual review offers insights into the intricate interplay between instructional design and language acquisition within multilingual STEM classrooms. By delineating strategies for embedding language objectives, fostering collaborative learning, and implementing scaffolded instruction, it contributes to the ongoing discourse on enhancing educational practices that empower students to thrive linguistically and scientifically in the multicultural landscape of modern education.

Keywords: Instructional Design, Language Acquisition, Multilingual, Stem Classrooms, Curriculum Development, Scaffolded Instruction.

INTRODUCTION

The Introduction section of this conceptual review provides a comprehensive overview of the study's background, highlights the significance of the topic under examination, identifies a research gap in the current literature, and sets the context for the subsequent discussion.

Multilingual STEM classrooms represent a dynamic educational environment where students from diverse linguistic backgrounds simultaneously engage in scientific exploration and language learning (Pierson, et al., 2021; Buxton & Lee, 2023). In an increasingly interconnected world, STEM education is widely recognized as essential for fostering innovation and driving economic growth. However, the linguistic diversity within classrooms presents unique challenges for educators seeking to support language acquisition and STEM proficiency. Understanding the impact of instructional design on language acquisition within multilingual STEM classrooms holds significant implications for educational practice and policy. By exploring how instructional strategies can be tailored to diverse learners' needs, educators can create inclusive learning environments that promote equitable access to STEM education. Moreover, addressing the intersection of language and STEM learning aligns with broader goals of fostering global citizenship and preparing students for success in an increasingly diverse workforce (Collier et, al., 2016).

While existing literature acknowledges the importance of instructional design in multilingual STEM classrooms, there remains a research gap in understanding the mechanisms through which instructional strategies can effectively support language acquisition and STEM learning concurrently. Few studies have comprehensively examined the intersection of these domains and identified best practices for integrating language objectives into STEM instruction. By addressing this gap, this review seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding practical pedagogical approaches for multilingual learners in STEM education (David et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2013).

Understanding Multilingual STEM Classrooms

Multilingual STEM classrooms present a rich tapestry of linguistic diversity, reflecting the globalized nature of contemporary education. Within these classrooms, students bring myriad linguistic backgrounds, each contributing to the dynamic fabric of the learning environment. This linguistic diversity is a testament to the richness of human culture and a challenge that educators must navigate to ensure equitable access to STEM education for all students.

The diversity in linguistic backgrounds observed in multilingual STEM classrooms is a product of various factors, including immigration patterns, globalization, and the increasing mobility of populations (Heyman, 2016; Spotti and Kroon, 2016.). In many countries, such as the United States, Canada, and Australia, immigration has significantly increased the number of students whose first language is not the language of instruction. Additionally, within countries with diverse indigenous populations or colonial histories, linguistic diversity is further compounded by multiple languages spoken within communities. This diversity encompasses a wide range of languages, including but not limited to English, Spanish, Mandarin, Arabic, French, and indigenous languages. Each language brings with it its unique linguistic features, cultural nuances, and historical contexts, enriching the classroom environment and providing opportunities for cross-cultural exchange. However, the presence of multiple languages also challenges educators in terms of addressing the linguistic needs of all students and ensuring that language does not become a barrier to STEM learning (Saneka & de Witt, 2019).

One of the primary challenges in multilingual STEM classrooms is language acquisition. For many students, particularly English language learners (ELLs) or speakers of minority languages, acquiring proficiency in the language of instruction poses a significant hurdle to engaging effectively with STEM content. Language acquisition is a complex process that involves learning vocabulary and grammar and developing the ability to comprehend and communicate complex ideas in the target language. For students still in the process of acquiring proficiency in the language of instruction, STEM content can be particularly challenging to grasp. The specialized vocabulary and technical language used in STEM disciplines require a high level of language proficiency to understand fully. Moreover, STEM concepts often rely heavily on abstract and symbolic representations, further complicating comprehension for students struggling with language acquisition (Jessner, 2008).

In addition to linguistic challenges, students in multilingual STEM classrooms may face socio-cultural barriers that impact their ability to engage with STEM content. Cultural differences in learning styles, attitudes toward education, and perceptions of STEM disciplines can influence students' motivation and interest in STEM subjects. Moreover, students from marginalized or underrepresented communities may experience systemic barriers that limit their access to high-quality STEM education, exacerbating existing disparities in academic achievement.

Overall, understanding the diversity of linguistic backgrounds and the challenges of language acquisition in multilingual STEM classrooms is essential for educators seeking to create inclusive learning environments that support the needs of all students. By recognizing and addressing the linguistic and socio-cultural factors that impact students' engagement with STEM content, educators can foster a more equitable and enriching educational experience for all learners (Collier et al., 2016; Mokikwa & Mokhele-Ramulumo, 2024).

The Role of Instructional Design

Instructional design plays a crucial role in shaping students' educational experience in multilingual STEM classrooms. It encompasses systematically planning, designing, and implementing instructional strategies to facilitate effective learning outcomes. Within the context of multilingual classrooms, instructional design becomes particularly significant as educators navigate the complexities of language acquisition while ensuring access to rigorous STEM content. This section explores the multifaceted role of instructional design in integrating

language objectives into the STEM curriculum and creating supportive learning environments for all students (Buxton & Caswell, 2020).

One of the primary responsibilities of instructional design in multilingual STEM classrooms is the seamless integration of language objectives into the STEM curriculum. This entails aligning language learning goals with STEM content standards and identifying language development opportunities within science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. By embedding language objectives into STEM instruction, educators can simultaneously facilitate the acquisition of both disciplinary knowledge and language proficiency. Identifying language demands inherent in STEM disciplines is a key aspect of integrating language objectives into the STEM curriculum. This includes understanding the specialized vocabulary, discourse structures, and language functions used in various STEM domains. Educators must carefully analyze STEM texts, assignments, and assessments to identify language features that may challenge multilingual learners. Educators can scaffold students' language development by explicitly addressing these language demands while ensuring access to STEM content. Moreover, instructional design involves selecting and adapting instructional materials and resources to support language objectives in the STEM curriculum. This may include incorporating visual aids, graphic organizers, multimedia resources, and real-world examples to make STEM concepts more accessible to multilingual learners. Additionally, educators may employ language support strategies such as pre-teaching vocabulary, providing language models, and offering opportunities for language practice and feedback (Wilson, 1996).

In addition to integrating language objectives into the STEM curriculum, instructional design also encompasses the creation of supportive learning environments that foster language development and academic achievement for all students. This involves designing instructional activities, classroom routines, and interactions that promote active engagement, collaboration, and meaningful language use (Land and Jonassen, 2012). Implementing culturally responsive pedagogy is one approach to creating supportive learning environments in multilingual STEM classrooms. This involves recognizing and valuing students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds, incorporating culturally relevant content, and providing opportunities for students to draw on their funds of knowledge in STEM learning. By affirming students' cultural identities and building connections between their lived experiences and STEM concepts, educators can enhance students' motivation, engagement, and sense of belonging in the classroom. Furthermore, instructional design encompasses differentiated instruction to meet the diverse needs of multilingual learners. This may involve adjusting the pace, complexity, and format of instruction to accommodate students' varying levels of language proficiency and prior knowledge. Educators may provide multiple entry points into STEM content, offer alternative representations of concepts, and provide scaffolding and support to ensure all students can access and engage with the curriculum.

Overall, the role of instructional design in multilingual STEM classrooms is multifaceted, encompassing the integration of language objectives into the STEM curriculum and creating supportive learning environments that promote language development and academic achievement for all students. By employing practical instructional design principles, educators can empower multilingual learners to succeed academically and thrive in STEM disciplines.

Strategies for Language Integration

In the dynamic landscape of multilingual STEM classrooms, effective language integration strategies are essential for supporting all students' linguistic development and academic success. These strategies go beyond traditional approaches to language instruction, emphasizing the integration of language objectives into STEM content and creating meaningful opportunities for language practice and communication. This section explores two critical strategies for language integration: collaborative learning activities and engaging multilingual resources (Gunawardena et al., 2006).

Collaborative learning activities represent a powerful strategy for integrating language development and STEM learning in multilingual classrooms. By engaging students in collaborative tasks, educators provide opportunities for language practice, peer interaction, and collaborative problem-solving while addressing STEM content standards. Collaborative learning fosters community and promotes active student engagement, creating an inclusive learning environment where all voices are valued.

One approach to collaborative learning in multilingual STEM classrooms is small group projects or inquiry-based learning activities. These activities require students to work together to investigate scientific phenomena, solve complex problems, or design and conduct experiments. By working collaboratively, students engage in meaningful discussions, negotiate language use, and share ideas and perspectives, enhancing their language proficiency and conceptual understanding. Moreover, collaborative learning activities can be structured to provide scaffolding and support for multilingual learners. Educators may assign roles within groups, provide sentence frames or language models, and encourage students to use a combination of languages to express their ideas. Additionally, educators can facilitate peer feedback and reflection, encouraging students to support one another's language development and provide constructive criticism (Terrazas-Arellanes et al., 2013).

In addition to collaborative learning activities, engaging multilingual resources is another valuable language integration strategy in multilingual STEM classrooms. Multilingual resources encompass various materials, including texts, videos, simulations, and digital tools, incorporating multiple languages and cultural perspectives into STEM instruction. By leveraging these resources, educators can create inclusive learning environments that celebrate linguistic diversity and promote language development for all students. One example of engaging multilingual resources is using culturally relevant texts and literature in STEM instruction. Educators can select texts reflecting students' cultural backgrounds, experiences, and interests, making STEM content more accessible and meaningful. Educators can enhance students' engagement, motivation, and sense of belonging by incorporating diverse perspectives and narratives into STEM learning. Additionally, educators can leverage digital tools and online resources to provide interactive and immersive learning experiences for multilingual learners (Mirra, 2019; Sykes et al., 2008). For example, educational games, simulations, and virtual labs can offer opportunities for students to explore STEM concepts in a dynamic and interactive environment. These digital tools can be customized to support students' varying levels of language proficiency and provide scaffolding and support as needed. Furthermore, educators can encourage students to use their linguistic and cultural resources as assets in STEM learning. By valuing students' home languages and cultural backgrounds, educators validate students' identities and create opportunities for meaningful language practice and communication.

Educators can incorporate students' languages into classroom discussions, assignments, and assessments, promoting linguistic diversity and fostering a sense of pride in students' cultural heritage (Collier et al., 2016).

Overall, strategies for language integration play a vital role in promoting language development and academic achievement for multilingual learners in STEM classrooms. By implementing collaborative learning activities and engaging multilingual resources, educators can create inclusive learning environments where all students can succeed academically and thrive in STEM disciplines.

Scaffolded Instruction: Bridging Language and Content

Scaffolded instruction is a pedagogical approach that supports students in developing the skills and knowledge needed to succeed in academic tasks. In multilingual STEM classrooms, scaffolded instruction is critical in bridging language and content, supporting linguistic development and cognitive growth. This section explores how scaffolded instruction can effectively support multilingual learners in acquiring language proficiency and mastering STEM content (Anis, 2023).

Scaffolded instruction provides targeted support to help multilingual learners develop their language proficiency across listening, speaking, reading, and writing (de Oliveira & Athanases, 2017; Pawan, 2008). This support may take various forms, including linguistic scaffolds, instructional modifications, and strategic interventions designed to meet the unique needs of each student. One key aspect of scaffolded instruction is using language scaffolds to support students' comprehension and expression of academic language. Language scaffolds may include visual aids, graphic organizers, sentence frames, and language models that provide students with the necessary support to engage with complex STEM texts and communicate their ideas effectively. By scaffolding language, educators can help students access and understand STEM content while building their language skills. Furthermore, scaffolded instruction provides opportunities for language practice and feedback to reinforce students' language development. Educators may incorporate structured language activities, such as debates, presentations, and discussions, into STEM instruction to encourage students to use academic language in meaningful contexts (Stoller, 2002; Holmlund et al., 2018). Additionally, educators can provide constructive feedback on students' language use, highlighting strengths and areas for improvement to facilitate continued language growth. In addition to supporting linguistic development, scaffolded instruction fosters cognitive growth by providing opportunities for students to engage in critical thinking, problem-solving, and inquiry-based learning. Scaffolded instruction helps students develop the cognitive skills and strategies to navigate complex STEM content, connect concepts, and apply their knowledge in real-world contexts.

Scaffolded instruction fosters cognitive growth by providing explicit instruction and modeling problem-solving strategies. Educators can guide students through solving STEM problems, breaking tasks into manageable steps, and providing support to help students develop their problem-solving skills. By scaffolding the problem-solving process, educators empower students to confidently tackle challenging STEM tasks. Moreover, scaffolded instruction encourages active engagement and participation in STEM learning through hands-on activities, experiments, and projects. Educators foster curiosity, creativity, and exploration by providing opportunities for students to explore STEM concepts through inquiry-based learning, thereby promoting cognitive growth and conceptual understanding. Furthermore, scaffolded instruction

involves providing strategic support to help students make connections between their prior knowledge and new concepts. Educators can use scaffolds such as analogies, concept maps, and graphic organizers to help students organize and integrate their understanding of STEM content, facilitating deeper learning and retention (McComas, 2013; Munro, 2019).

Scaffolded instruction is crucial in bridging language and content in multilingual STEM classrooms, supporting linguistic development and cognitive growth. By providing structured support and opportunities for active engagement, scaffolded instruction empowers multilingual learners to succeed academically and thrive in STEM disciplines.

Implementation in Practice

Implementing effective instructional strategies in multilingual STEM education involves translating theoretical principles into practical applications that meet the diverse needs of students. This section delves into the practical aspects of implementing strategies in multilingual STEM classrooms through case studies, best practices, and lessons learned (Miranda et al., 2021; Mobile et al., 2014).

Case studies offer valuable insights into how instructional strategies are applied in real-world educational settings, highlighting successes, challenges, and areas for improvement. In multilingual STEM education, case studies provide examples of innovative approaches that address the unique linguistic and academic needs of diverse student populations. For example, a case study conducted in a middle school with a high population of English language learners (ELLs) may showcase the implementation of collaborative learning activities in STEM instruction. Educators may use small group projects to engage students in hands-on experiments or engineering design challenges, providing opportunities for language practice and peer interaction. By working collaboratively, students can support each other's language development while deepening their understanding of STEM concepts. Another case study may focus on integrating culturally relevant resources in STEM instruction to support multilingual learners' engagement and motivation. Educators may incorporate texts, videos, and artifacts that reflect students' cultural backgrounds and experiences, making STEM content more accessible and meaningful. By validating students' identities and incorporating diverse perspectives into STEM learning, educators can create inclusive learning environments where all students feel valued and empowered to succeed (Bunch, 2013; Pierson et al., 2021).

In addition to case studies, best practices and lessons learned offer valuable guidance for educators seeking to implement effective instructional strategies in multilingual STEM classrooms. Drawing on research evidence and practical experiences, best practices provide actionable recommendations for optimizing teaching and learning outcomes. One best practice in multilingual STEM education is using formative assessment to monitor students' progress and adjust instruction accordingly (Patthoff, 2022; Alvarez et al., 2014). Educators can use formative assessment strategies such as pre-assessments, exit tickets, and classroom observations to gather data on students' language proficiency and STEM knowledge. Based on this data, educators can tailor instruction to meet students' individual needs, providing targeted support and scaffolding as needed.

Furthermore, ongoing professional development and collaboration among educators are essential for successfully implementing instructional strategies in multilingual STEM education. Educators can participate in workshops, conferences, and communities of practice to learn about research-based instructional practices and share best practices with colleagues.

By collaborating with colleagues, educators can leverage their collective expertise and support each other in implementing effective instructional strategies that meet the diverse needs of multilingual learners. Moreover, fostering partnerships with families and communities is critical for promoting student success in multilingual STEM education. Educators can engage families as partners in their children's education, providing resources, information, and support to help parents and caregivers support their children's learning at home. Additionally, educators can collaborate with community organizations, businesses, and STEM professionals to provide students with opportunities for real-world learning experiences and mentorship (Lyon, 2023; Reierstam, 2020).

Implementing effective instructional strategies in multilingual STEM education requires a combination of research-based practices, ongoing professional development, and collaboration among educators, families, and communities. By leveraging case studies, best practices, and lessons learned, educators can create inclusive learning environments where all students have the opportunity to succeed academically and thrive in STEM disciplines.

Assessing Language Proficiency and Content Mastery

Assessment is a cornerstone in determining the effectiveness of instructional strategies and gauging students' progress in multilingual STEM education. In this section, we explore the complexities of assessing language proficiency and content mastery in diverse classroom settings without subheadings (Carreira, 2012; Abedi, 2008).

Assessing language proficiency in multilingual STEM classrooms involves understanding the intricacies of language acquisition and designing assessments that accurately measure students' linguistic abilities across the four domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing. However, assessing language acquisition poses unique challenges due to the dynamic nature of language learning and the diverse linguistic backgrounds of students. Traditional language assessments, such as standardized tests and proficiency exams, may not fully capture students' language abilities in authentic contexts. Therefore, educators must employ various assessment methods, including informal observations, performance-based tasks, and portfolio assessments, to gather comprehensive data on students' language development.

Performance-based tasks, such as oral presentations, debates, and role-plays, allow students to demonstrate their language proficiency in meaningful contexts. These tasks assess students' ability to comprehend and produce language in real-world situations, providing a more authentic measure of language proficiency. Additionally, portfolio assessments allow students to compile work samples over time, showcasing their language growth and progress. Portfolios may include written assignments, recordings of oral presentations, and reflections on language learning experiences, providing a holistic view of students' language development. Furthermore, educators must consider students' cultural and linguistic diversity when designing assessments to ensure fairness and equity. Language assessments should be culturally responsive, reflecting students' lived experiences and acknowledging the linguistic resources they bring to the classroom. Educators can create a more inclusive and equitable assessment process by incorporating students' cultural backgrounds and languages into assessments (Barimani, 2011; Cook, 2005).

Assessing STEM learning outcomes involves evaluating students' understanding of key concepts, skills, and practices in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. However, measuring STEM learning outcomes in multilingual classrooms requires careful consideration

of students' language abilities and cultural backgrounds to ensure that assessments accurately reflect students' knowledge and abilities. Traditional STEM assessments, such as multiple-choice and standardized exams, may not adequately capture students' conceptual understanding or problem-solving skills. Therefore, educators must employ various assessment methods, including performance-based tasks, project-based assessments, and authentic assessments, to comprehensively assess students' STEM learning outcomes (Li, et al., 2018).

Performance-based tasks, such as laboratory experiments, engineering design challenges, and mathematical problem-solving tasks, provide opportunities for students to apply their knowledge and skills in authentic contexts. These tasks assess students' ability to think critically, analyze data, and solve complex problems, providing a more accurate measure of STEM learning outcomes. Additionally, project-based assessments allow students to demonstrate their understanding of STEM concepts by completing long-term projects or investigations. Projects may require students to design and conduct experiments, create models or prototypes, or solve real-world problems, providing interdisciplinary learning and collaboration opportunities. Furthermore, authentic assessments, such as science fairs, robotics competitions, and engineering challenges, allow students to showcase their STEM knowledge and skills in real-world settings. These assessments assess students' ability to apply their learning to authentic problems and communicate their findings to a broader audience, providing a more meaningful measure of STEM learning outcomes (Pappamihiel and Mihai, 2006.).

Overall, assessing language proficiency and content mastery in multilingual STEM classrooms requires a comprehensive approach that considers students' diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds. By employing various assessment methods and considering students' needs and experiences, educators can accurately measure students' language development and STEM learning outcomes, informing instruction and promoting student success.

Overcoming Barriers to Implementation

Implementing effective instructional strategies in multilingual STEM education is often met with various challenges, ranging from institutional barriers to the need for teacher training and professional development. This section examines these barriers and explores strategies for overcoming them to create inclusive and equitable learning environments for all students (Lee, 2011; Rau, 2017).

Institutional challenges pose significant barriers to successfully implementing instructional strategies in multilingual STEM education. These challenges may include limited resources, bureaucratic constraints, and systemic inequalities that impact students' access to high-quality education. Limited resources, such as funding for instructional materials, technology, and professional development, can hinder educators' ability to implement effective instructional strategies in multilingual STEM classrooms. Without adequate resources, educators may struggle to provide students with the support and opportunities they need to succeed academically (Peppler & Wohlwend, 2018).

Bureaucratic constraints, such as standardized testing requirements and curriculum mandates, can impede educators' flexibility in designing and implementing instructional strategies that meet the diverse needs of multilingual learners. Educators may feel pressure to prioritize test preparation and coverage of mandated content over more student-centered and culturally responsive approaches to instruction. Systemic inequalities, such as inequitable funding distribution, segregation, and discrimination, can exacerbate disparities in access to high-quality

education for multilingual learners. Students from marginalized or underserved communities may face additional barriers to academic success, including limited access to advanced coursework, experienced teachers, and supportive learning environments. To address institutional challenges, stakeholders must advocate for policies and practices promoting equity and access to multilingual STEM education. This may involve lobbying for increased funding for resources and support services, advocating for changes to testing and accountability systems, and promoting culturally responsive and inclusive practices at all levels of the education system (Munro, 2019; Lillico, 2022).

Teacher training and professional development are essential for equipping educators with the knowledge, skills, and resources to support multilingual learners in STEM education effectively. However, many educators lack the training and support necessary to implement instructional strategies that meet the diverse needs of multilingual learners (Nicolaides, 2012; Li & Lan, 2022). Limited training and professional development opportunities may leave educators feeling ill-equipped to address multilingual learners' linguistic and academic needs in STEM classrooms. Without access to training on culturally responsive pedagogy, language development, and STEM content knowledge, educators may struggle to create inclusive and equitable learning environments for all students. Furthermore, the lack of ongoing support and mentorship for educators working with multilingual learners can contribute to feelings of isolation and burnout. Educators may feel overwhelmed by the demands of teaching in diverse classrooms and may not know where to turn for guidance and support. To address these challenges, stakeholders must invest in comprehensive teacher training and professional development programs prioritizing culturally responsive pedagogy, language development, and STEM content knowledge. These programs should provide educators with opportunities for ongoing learning, collaboration, and reflection, enabling them to continuously improve their practice and better meet the needs of multilingual learners. Additionally, mentorship and peer support programs can provide educators with valuable guidance and resources as they navigate the complexities of teaching in multilingual STEM classrooms. By connecting educators with experienced mentors and peer networks, stakeholders can foster a culture of collaboration and continuous improvement that benefits educators and students (Pellas et al., 2020; Olivier, 2021; Paek et al., 2023).

In conclusion, overcoming barriers to implementation in multilingual STEM education requires concerted efforts to address institutional challenges and provide educators with the training and support they need to succeed. By advocating for policies that promote equity and access, investing in comprehensive teacher training and professional development programs, and fostering a culture of collaboration and support, stakeholders can create inclusive and equitable learning environments where all students have the opportunity to thrive academically and professionally.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In conclusion, the journey towards creating inclusive and equitable multilingual STEM classrooms is fraught with challenges yet brimming with opportunities for innovation and growth. Throughout this exploration, we have delved into the multifaceted landscape of instructional strategies, recognizing their pivotal role in addressing students' diverse linguistic and academic needs. From integrating language objectives into the STEM curriculum to scaffolding instruction and overcoming institutional barriers, educators and stakeholders can

shape transformative learning experiences for multilingual learners. Key findings underscore the importance of intentional and culturally responsive instructional design in multilingual STEM education. We have observed how collaborative learning activities, engaging multilingual resources, and scaffolded instruction can bridge the gap between language and content, fostering linguistic development and cognitive growth. Moreover, assessment practices that honor students' linguistic and cultural diversity provide valuable insights into their progress and inform instructional decision-making. However, institutional challenges and the need for ongoing teacher training and professional development remain significant barriers to implementation.

As we look towards the future, we must continue exploring avenues for enhancing multilingual STEM education and addressing persistent challenges. Further research is needed to deepen our understanding of effective instructional strategies and their impact on student learning outcomes. Longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of scaffolded instruction and culturally responsive pedagogy on multilingual learners' academic achievement and STEM aspirations are warranted. Additionally, research focusing on the intersectionality of language, culture, and identity in STEM education can provide valuable insights into the complex dynamics in diverse classrooms. Moreover, there is a pressing need for research that explores innovative approaches to professional development and teacher training in multilingual STEM education. By investing in comprehensive and ongoing professional development programs that prioritize culturally responsive pedagogy, language development, and STEM content knowledge, stakeholders can empower educators to create inclusive and equitable learning environments that support the success of all students. Furthermore, research exploring the role of technology and digital resources in enhancing multilingual STEM education holds promise for expanding access to high-quality instructional materials and personalized learning opportunities. By leveraging technology to provide differentiated instruction, scaffolded support, and culturally relevant content, educators can meet the diverse needs of multilingual learners in increasingly dynamic and interconnected classrooms.

In conclusion, creating inclusive and equitable multilingual STEM classrooms requires ongoing collaboration, innovation, and dedication from educators, policymakers, and stakeholders. By building on the essential findings and recommendations outlined in this discourse and continuing to push the boundaries of research and practice, we can create transformative learning experiences that empower all students to succeed academically and thrive in STEM disciplines.

Reference

Abedi, J. (2008). Measuring students' level of English proficiency: Educational significance and assessment requirements. *Educational Assessment*, *13*(2-3), 193-214.

Alvarez, L., Ananda, S., Walqui, A., Sato, E., & Rabinowitz, S. (2014). *Focusing formative assessment on the needs of English language learners*. San Francisco, CA: WestEd.

Anis, M. (2023). Leveraging artificial intelligence for inclusive english language teaching: strategies and implications for learner diversity. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research*, *12*(6).

Barimani, S. (2011). The relationship between gaining mastery of 'content'(school et al.) and 'linguistic competence level in second language' through immersion program. *Language in India, 11*(2).

Bunch, G.C. (2013). Pedagogical language knowledge: Preparing mainstream teachers for English learners in the new standards era. *Review of Research in Education, 37*(1), 298-341.

Buxton, C., & Caswell, L. (2020). Next-generation sheltered instruction to support multilingual learners in secondary science classrooms. *Science Education*, *104*(3), 555-580.

Buxton, A., & Lee, O. (2023). Multilingual learners in science education. In *Handbook of research on science education* (pp. 291-324). Routledge.

Carreira, M.M. (2012). Formative assessment in HL teaching: Purposes, procedures, and practices. *Heritage Language Journal, 9*(1), 100-120.

Collier, S., Burston, B., & Rhodes, A. (2016). Teaching STEM as a second language: Utilizing SLA to develop equitable student learning. *Journal for Multicultural Education*, *10*(3), 257-273.

Cook, H.G. (2005). Aligning English language proficiency tests to English language learning standards. *Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers*.

David, O., Uworwabayeho, A., Nsengimana, T., Minani, E., & Venuste, N. (2021). Practices in STEM teaching and the effectiveness of the language of instruction: Exploring policy implications on pedagogical strategies in Tanzania secondary schools. In *Multilingual Education Yearbook 2021: Policy and Practice in STEM Multilingual Contexts* (pp. 97-115). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

de Oliveira, L.C., & Athanases, S.Z. (2017). A framework to reenvision instructional scaffolding for linguistically diverse learners. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, *61*(2), 123-129.

Gunawardena, C.N., Ortegano-Layne, L., Carabajal, K., Frechette, C., Lindemann, K., & Jennings, B. (2006). New model, new strategies: Instructional design for building online wisdom communities. *Distance Education*, *27*(2), 217-232.

Heyman, J.B. (2016). *Pathways into STEM among low-income, urban immigrant emergent bilingual/multilingual young adults: Opportunity, access, and persistence*. Columbia University.

Holmlund, T.D., Lesseig, K., & Slavit, D. (2018). You are making sense of "STEM education" in K-12 contexts. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *5*, 1-18.

Jessner, U. (2008). I am teaching third languages: Findings, trends and challenges. *Language Teaching*, *41*(1), 15-56.

Land, S., & Jonassen, D. (2012). *Theoretical foundations of learning environments*. Routledge.

Lee, O. (2011, May). Effective STEM education strategies for diverse and underserved learners. In the Committee on Highly Successful STEM Schools or Programs for K-12 STEM Education workshop*, Washington, DC: National Research Council*.

Lee, N., Quinn, H., & Valdés, G. (2013). Science and language for English language learners about Next Generation Science Standards and with implications for Common Core State Standards for English language arts and mathematics. *Educational researcher*, *42*(4), 223-233.

Li, P., & Lan, Y.J. (2022). Digital language learning (DLL): Insights from behavior, cognition, and the brain. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, *25*(3), 361-378.

Li, X., Yi, W., Chi, H.L., Wang, X., & Chan, A.P. (2018). A critical review of virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR) applications in construction safety. *Automation in construction*, *86*, 150-162.

Lillico, C. (2022). *Exploration of creative learning opportunities through an innovative student-centered podcasting pedagogy* (Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University).

Lyon, E.G. (2023). Reframing formative assessment for emergent bilinguals: Linguistically responsive assessing in science classrooms. *Science Education*, *107*(1), 203-233.

McComas, W.F. ed. (2013). *The language of science education: An expanded glossary of key terms and concepts in science teaching and learning*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Miranda, J., Navarrete, C., Noguez, J., Molina-Espinosa, J.M., Ramírez-Montoya, M.S., Navarro-Tuch, S.A., Bustamante-Bello, M.R., Rosas-Fernández, J.B., & Molina, A. (2021). The core components of education 4.0 in higher education: Three case studies in engineering education. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, *93*, p.107278.

Mirra, N. (2019). From connected learning to connected teaching: Reimagining digital literacy pedagogy in English teacher education. *English Education*, *51*(3), 261-291.

Mobley, K., & Fisher, S. (2014). Ditching the desks: Kinesthetic learning in college classrooms. *The Social Studies*, *105*(6), 301-309.

Mokikwa, H., & Mokhele-Ramulumo, M. (2024). Navigating language diversity in multilingual STEM classrooms: strategies for inclusive education. *International Education Trend Issues*, *2*(2), 92-106.

Munro, A. (2019). *The value of interactive multimodal online higher education classrooms: Examining the impact of Interactive Multimedia-Based Instructional Design (IMBID)* (Doctoral dissertation, Brandman University).

Nicolaides, A. (2012). Innovative teaching and learning methodologies for higher education Institutions. *Educational research*, *3*(8), 620-626.

Olivier, L. (2021). Multimodal composition pedagogy in higher education: A paradigm shift. *Per Linguam: a Journal of Language Learning= Per Linguam: Tydskrif vir Taalaanleer*, *37*(2), 13-31.

Paek, S., Hoffman, D.L., & Black, J.B. (2023). Modalities, congruency, and learning are shaping the sensory experience in digital environments. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(9), 5665-5681.

Pappamihiel, N.E., & Mihai, F. (2006). We are assessing English language learners' content knowledge in middle school classrooms. *Middle School Journal*, *38*(1), 34-43.

Patthoff, A.J. (2022). *Exploring Pre-service Teachers' Learning of Formative Assessment in Elementary, Multilingual Classrooms*. University of California, Santa Cruz.

Pawan, F. (2008). Content-area teachers and scaffolded instruction for English language learners. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *24*(6), 1450-1462.

Pellas, N., Dengel, A., & Christopoulos, A. (2020). A scoping review of immersive virtual reality in STEM education. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, *13*(4), 748-761.

Peppler, K., & Wohlwend, K. (2018). I was theorizing the nexus of STEAM practice. *Arts Education Policy Review*, *119*(2), 88-99.

Pierson, A.E., Clark, D.B., & Brady, C.E. (2021). Scientific modeling and translanguaging: A multilingual and multimodal approach to support science learning and engagement. *Science Education, 105*(4), 776-813.

Rau, M.A. (2017). Conditions for the effectiveness of multiple visual representations in enhancing STEM learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, *29*, 717-761.

Reierstam, H. (2020). *Assessment in Multilingual Schools: A comparative mixed method study of teachers' assessment beliefs and practices among language learners-CLIL and migrant students* (Doctoral dissertation, Institutionen för pedagogik och didaktik, Stockholms universitet).

Saneka, N.E., & de Witt, M. (2019). Barriers and bridges between mother tongue and English as a second language in young children. *South African Journal of Childhood Education*, *9*(1), 1-8.

Spotti, M., & Kroon, S. (2016). Multilingual classrooms at times of super-diversity. In *Encyclopedia of language and education: Discourse and education*. Springer.

Stoller, F. (2002). Project work: A means to promote language and content. *Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice*, *10*, 107-119.

Sykes, J.M., AnaOskoz, & Thorne, S.L. (2008). Web 2.0, synthetic immersive environments, and mobile resources for language education. *Calico Journal*, *25*(3), 528-546.

Terrazas-Arellanes, F.E., Knox, C., & Rivas, C. (2013). Collaborative online projects for English language learners in science. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, *8*, 953-971.

Wilson, B.G., (1996). *Constructivist learning environments: Case studies in instructional design*. Educational Technology.

Yangambi, M.W. (2022). We are improving teaching and learning for students with deficient language mastery. *Creative Education, 13*(2), 366-392.