OPEN ACCESS
Computer Science & IT Research Journal
P-ISSN: 2709-0043, E-ISSN: 2709-0051
Volume 5, Issue 7, P.1648-1665, July 2024
DOI: 10.51594/csitrj.v5i7.1350
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/csitrj

Advances in machine learning-driven pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings

Adindu Donatus Ogbu[1], Kate A. Iwe[2], Williams Ozowe[3], & Augusta Heavens Ikevuje[4]

[1]Schlumberger (SLB), Port Harcourt, Nigeria and Mexico
[2]Shell Nigeria
[3]Independent Researcher, USA
[4]Independent Researcher, Houston, Texas, USA

*Corresponding Author: Adindu Donatus Ogbu
Corresponding Author Email: adinduogbu@yahoo.com
Article Received: 10-02-24 **Accepted:** 05-06-24 **Published:** 25-07-24

ABSTRACT

Advances in machine learning (ML) have revolutionized pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings, addressing critical challenges in oil and gas exploration and production. Traditionally, predicting pore pressure accurately in heterogeneous and anisotropic formations has been fraught with uncertainties due to the limitations of conventional geophysical and petrophysical methods. Recent developments in ML techniques offer enhanced precision and reliability in pore pressure estimation, leveraging vast datasets and sophisticated algorithms to analyze and interpret geological complexities. ML-driven approaches utilize a variety of data sources, including well logs, seismic data, and drilling parameters, to train predictive models that can handle the non-linear and multi-dimensional nature of subsurface conditions. Techniques such as neural networks, support vector machines, and ensemble learning methods have shown significant promise in capturing the intricate relationships between geological variables and pore pressure. These models can adaptively learn from new data, improving their predictive capabilities over time. A notable advantage of ML-driven pore pressure prediction is its ability to integrate disparate data types and scales, providing a holistic understanding of subsurface pressure regimes. This integration enhances the accuracy of pressure forecasts, which is crucial for wellbore stability, drilling safety, and hydrocarbon recovery. For instance, real-time data from drilling operations can be fed into ML models to dynamically update pore pressure estimates, allowing for immediate adjustments to drilling plans and reducing the risk of blowouts or other drilling hazards. Moreover, ML techniques facilitate the identification of subtle patterns and trends that might be overlooked by traditional methods. This capability is particularly valuable in complex geological settings, such as deep-water environments, tectonically active regions, and unconventional reservoirs, where conventional predictive models often fall short. Despite the promising advances, challenges remain in the widespread adoption of ML-driven pore pressure prediction. These include the need for extensive training datasets, the interpretability of ML models, and the integration of ML workflows into existing geoscientific practices. Addressing these challenges requires interdisciplinary collaboration between geoscientists, data scientists, and engineers to develop robust, user-friendly ML solutions. In summary, ML-driven pore pressure prediction represents a significant advancement in managing the complexities of subsurface geology. By enhancing predictive accuracy and reliability, these technologies are poised to improve safety, efficiency, and productivity in the oil and gas industry, particularly in challenging geological settings.

Keywords: Advance, ML, Pore Pressure, Prediction, Geological Settings.

INTRODUCTION

Pore pressure prediction is a critical component in oil and gas exploration, playing a crucial role in ensuring the safety and efficiency of drilling operations. Accurate pore pressure estimation helps mitigate the risks associated with wellbore stability, kicks, and blowouts, thus safeguarding both personnel and equipment while optimizing resource extraction (Aminzadeh & Al-Anazi, 2020). The ability to predict pore pressure accurately influences the decision-making process during drilling, influencing operational costs, safety measures, and overall project success (Zhang et al., 2021).

Traditional methods for pore pressure prediction, such as empirical correlations and theoretical models, have served the industry well; however, they often struggle in complex geological settings (Ekechukwu, et. al., 2024, Jambol, et. al., 2024, Mathew & Fu, 2023). These conventional techniques rely on a limited set of parameters and simplified assumptions, which can lead to inaccuracies in environments characterized by heterogeneous rock properties and variable pressure regimes (Dai et al., 2019). The limitations of these methods are particularly evident in settings with intricate geological features like fault zones, salt bodies, or highly variable sedimentary layers, where the interplay of various factors complicates pressure predictions (Huang et al., 2021).

Machine learning (ML) has emerged as a transformative solution to address the limitations of traditional pore pressure prediction methods (Ukoba et al., 2024a). By leveraging advanced algorithms and large datasets, ML techniques can identify complex patterns and correlations that are not readily apparent through conventional methods (Xie et al., 2022). These data-driven approaches offer the potential for more accurate and reliable predictions by integrating diverse data sources, including seismic attributes, well logs, and geological surveys (Chen et al. (2023).). As the industry continues to grapple with the challenges posed by complex geological environments, ML represents a promising frontier, offering enhanced predictive capabilities and facilitating more informed decision-making processes (Smith et al., 2022).

Traditional Pore Pressure Prediction Methods

Traditional pore pressure prediction methods have long been fundamental in oil and gas exploration, providing essential insights into subsurface conditions (Esiri, Babayeju & Ekemezie, 2024, Nwachukwu, et. al., 2021). These methods primarily encompass geophysical and petrophysical approaches, which utilize a variety of data sources and techniques to estimate pore pressure. Despite their historical significance, these conventional methods have notable limitations and uncertainties, particularly when applied to heterogeneous geological formations. Consequently, there is an increasing need for advanced predictive techniques to address the shortcomings of traditional approaches.

Geophysical approaches to pore pressure prediction often rely on seismic data, which provide information on subsurface rock properties and pressure conditions through the analysis of wave velocities (Eaton, 2019). Techniques such as the Eaton method, which uses seismic velocities to infer pore pressure, have been widely used. This method correlates the overburden pressure with seismic velocities to estimate pore pressure, based on the assumption that changes in seismic velocity reflect variations in pore pressure (Eaton, 1975). Another common geophysical approach is the use of seismic inversion techniques, which transform seismic data into detailed subsurface models to predict pore pressure (Bastia, 2020). However, these methods depend on accurate seismic data and can be influenced by factors such as anisotropy and heterogeneity, which may complicate the interpretation of results.

Petrophysical approaches focus on the analysis of well log data to predict pore pressure. Techniques such as the use of sonic and density logs to estimate pore pressure have been prevalent (Babayeju et. al., 2024, Esiri, Jambol & Ozowe, 2024, Onwuka & Adu, 2024). For instance, the Bowers method employs a combination of sonic and density logs to estimate the effective stress and pore pressure, assuming a relationship between these parameters (Bowers, 1995). Similarly, the modified Bowers method incorporates additional corrections for formation factors and fluid properties (Bowers, 2001). These methods rely on empirical correlations and models that assume homogeneous rock properties, which can introduce significant uncertainties when applied to complex or heterogeneous formations.

Despite their utility, traditional pore pressure prediction methods face several limitations and uncertainties, particularly in heterogeneous geological settings. One major limitation is the assumption of homogeneity in rock properties, which often does not hold true in real-world scenarios (Babayeju, Jambol & Esiri, 2024, Mathew & Fu, 2024, Ozowe, et. al., 2024). Heterogeneous formations with varying lithologies, fault zones, and complex pressure regimes can lead to significant deviations between predicted and actual pore pressures (Dai et al., 2019). For example, in areas with complex faulting or significant lateral variations in rock properties, the accuracy of conventional methods can be severely compromised, leading to increased risk and operational challenges (Zhang et al., 2020).

Additionally, traditional methods are often limited by the quality and resolution of the available data. Seismic data may suffer from poor resolution or inaccuracies due to factors such as noise and data acquisition limitations, while well log data may be sparse or incomplete, particularly in exploratory wells (Meyer et al., 2021). These limitations can result in uncertainties and inaccuracies in pore pressure predictions, which can impact drilling safety and efficiency.

Given these limitations, there is a growing need for advanced predictive techniques that can improve the accuracy and reliability of pore pressure predictions. Machine learning (ML) represents a promising solution to address these challenges by leveraging large datasets and advanced algorithms to identify complex patterns and correlations that may not be apparent through traditional methods (Chen et al. (2023)., Ukoba et al., 2024b). ML techniques can integrate diverse data sources, such as seismic attributes, well logs, and geological surveys, to provide more accurate and reliable predictions in complex geological settings (Smith et al., 2022). By addressing the limitations of traditional methods and incorporating advanced predictive capabilities, ML offers the potential to enhance pore pressure prediction and improve drilling safety and efficiency.

Machine Learning Techniques in Pore Pressure Prediction

Machine learning (ML) techniques have emerged as transformative tools in the field of pore pressure prediction, offering new methods to tackle the complexities of subsurface conditions in oil and gas exploration (Ekechukwu & Simpa, 2024, Nwachukwu, et. al. (2023)., Sofoluwe, et. al. 2024). These techniques leverage advanced algorithms to analyze and interpret diverse datasets, enhancing the accuracy and reliability of predictions (Ukoba et al., 2024c, Bassey and Ibegbulam, 2023). The use of ML in pore pressure prediction includes various algorithms, data sources, and model training approaches, each contributing to the advancement of predictive capabilities (Bassey et al., 2024).

Among the ML algorithms applied to pore pressure prediction, neural networks are prominent due to their ability to model complex, non-linear relationships between input features and target variables. Deep neural networks, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), have been used to capture intricate patterns in geological and drilling data (Zhou et al., 2022). Neural networks are particularly effective in integrating and processing high-dimensional data, such as seismic attributes and well log measurements, to predict pore pressure accurately (Xie et al., 2021). Another widely utilized ML algorithm is support vector machines (SVMs), which are known for their robustness in classification and regression tasks (Bassey, 2023). SVMs use kernel functions to map data into higher-dimensional spaces, where linear separation becomes feasible, thus improving the predictive performance for pore pressure estimation (Kumar et al., 2020). Ensemble learning methods, such as random forests and gradient boosting, combine multiple base models to enhance prediction accuracy and robustness. These methods aggregate the outputs of various models to reduce overfitting and improve generalization, making them well-suited for complex geological scenarios (Breiman, 2001; Chen et al., 2021).

The integration of diverse data sources is crucial for effective ML-driven pore pressure prediction. Well logs provide detailed measurements of rock properties, such as sonic velocity, density, and porosity, which are fundamental for estimating pore pressure (Wang et al., 2018). Seismic data, including attributes like velocity and impedance, offer spatially distributed information about subsurface structures and pressure regimes (Miller et al., 2019). Drilling parameters, such as mud weight and penetration rates, also contribute valuable real-time data that can inform pressure predictions (Yang et al., 2022). The combination of these data sources allows ML models to leverage a comprehensive view of subsurface conditions, leading to more accurate predictions.

Training and validating ML models involve several critical steps to ensure their effectiveness in predicting pore pressure. Data preprocessing and feature selection are fundamental to preparing high-quality input data for ML algorithms (Mathew, 2024, Nwachukwu, et. al., 2024, Olanrewaju, Ekechukwu & Simpa, 2024). This process involves cleaning the data, handling missing values, and selecting relevant features that contribute significantly to the model's predictive performance (Zhang et al., 2020). Model training and hyperparameter tuning are essential for optimizing the performance of ML algorithms. Training involves fitting the model to the training data, while hyperparameter tuning adjusts parameters like learning rates and regularization to enhance model accuracy (Goodfellow et al., 2016). Model validation and testing are crucial to assess the generalizability and reliability of the ML models. Techniques such as cross-validation and hold-out testing are used to evaluate the model's performance on unseen data, ensuring that it performs well in real-world scenarios (Liaw et al., 2018). In summary, ML techniques, including neural networks, support vector machines, and ensemble methods, offer significant advancements in pore pressure prediction by modeling complex relationships and integrating diverse data sources. The effective application of these techniques requires meticulous data preprocessing, feature selection, model training, and validation to ensure accurate and reliable predictions in complex geological settings.

Advantages of ML-Driven Pore Pressure Prediction

Machine learning (ML) techniques offer substantial advantages in pore pressure prediction, significantly enhancing precision and reliability in complex geological settings. The application of ML algorithms brings notable improvements over traditional methods, particularly in handling non-linear and multi-dimensional data, adapting to new information, and integrating diverse data types and scales (Ekechukwu & Simpa, 2024, Ochulor, et. al., 2024, Onwuka & Adu, 2024). One of the key benefits of ML-driven pore pressure prediction is its ability to handle non-linear and multi-dimensional data with high precision. Traditional methods often struggle with the inherent complexity of geological data, which can include intricate interactions between various subsurface properties and conditions. ML algorithms, such as neural networks and support vector machines, are adept at modeling these complex relationships due to their capability to process and learn from large volumes of data. These models can capture non-linear patterns that traditional linear models may miss, thereby improving the accuracy of pore pressure predictions (Wang et al., 2019). Additionally, ML systems continuously adapt and refine their predictions based on new data inputs, enhancing their reliability over time. As new well logs, seismic data, or drilling parameters become available, ML models can update their predictions dynamically, leading to more accurate and timely assessments (Zhou et al., 2021).

The integration of disparate data types and scales is another significant advantage of ML-driven pore pressure prediction. Traditional approaches often rely on isolated datasets, which may limit the scope of analysis and hinder the understanding of subsurface conditions (Esiri, Jambol & Ozowe, 2024, Esiri, Sofoluwe & Ukato, 2024, Ukato, et. al., 2024). ML techniques, however, excel in synthesizing information from various sources, such as seismic attributes, well logs, and drilling parameters. This holistic approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of geological formations by correlating different types of data and revealing insights that might be overlooked when analyzing data in isolation (Miller et al., 2018). Furthermore, ML systems are capable of real-time data integration and dynamic updates. For instance, during drilling operations, real-time data can be fed into ML models to continuously adjust pore pressure predictions as new information emerges, which is particularly valuable in managing operational risks and optimizing drilling strategies (Chen et al., 2020).

Another notable advantage of ML in pore pressure prediction is its ability to identify subtle patterns and trends within complex datasets. Advanced ML algorithms can detect intricate geological features that may not be apparent through conventional analysis methods (Ekechukwu & Simpa, 2024, Onwuka & Adu, 2024, Ozowe, et. al., 2024). This capability is especially beneficial in challenging environments, such as deep-water and tectonically active regions, where traditional methods may fall short due to the complex and often unpredictable nature of the subsurface conditions (Yang et al., 2022). By uncovering these subtle patterns, ML-driven models can provide more nuanced and accurate predictions, helping to mitigate risks and improve decision-making in difficult drilling scenarios. In summary, the use of ML techniques for pore pressure prediction offers enhanced precision and reliability by effectively handling non-linear data, adapting to new information, and integrating diverse data sources. The ability to provide a holistic understanding of subsurface conditions and detect subtle geological features further underscores the transformative potential of ML in complex geological settings.

Case Studies and Applications

Recent advances in machine learning (ML) have significantly impacted pore pressure prediction, particularly in complex geological settings. The integration of ML algorithms has demonstrated remarkable success in enhancing prediction accuracy and operational efficiency across various challenging environments (Mathew, et. al., 2024, Oduro, Simpa & Ekechukwu, 2024). This success is evident through several case studies that highlight the transformative potential of ML-driven approaches in deep-water environments, tectonically active regions, and unconventional reservoirs. One prominent example of successful ML-driven pore pressure prediction is the application in deep-water environments. In such settings, traditional pore pressure prediction methods often face challenges due to the complex and high-pressure conditions at significant depths. ML techniques, including neural networks and support vector machines, have been effectively used to model the intricate relationships between seismic attributes, well logs, and drilling parameters. For instance, a study by Zhang et al. (2021) demonstrated how ML algorithms improved the accuracy of pore pressure predictions in deep-water Gulf of Mexico fields, reducing the uncertainties associated with drilling in these extreme conditions. The integration of seismic and well log data through ML models facilitated more precise predictions, contributing to safer and more efficient drilling operations.

In tectonically active regions, where geological structures are often irregular and complex, ML-driven pore pressure prediction has also shown considerable promise. The ability of ML models to handle non-linear relationships and integrate diverse data types has proven advantageous in these settings. Research by Li et al. (2020) illustrated how ML techniques were employed to predict pore pressure in seismically active zones of the Tibetan Plateau (Esiri, Babayeju & Ekemezie, 2024, Nwachukwu, et. al. (2023)., Song, et. al. (2023).). By analyzing a combination of seismic, well log, and geological data, the ML models were able to account for the variable stress conditions and faulting, leading to more accurate predictions and improved drilling safety. Unconventional reservoirs, such as shale plays, present another challenging environment where ML has made a significant impact. In these reservoirs, the heterogeneity and complexity of the geological formations complicate pore pressure prediction. A case study by Liu et al. (2019) focused on the use of ensemble learning methods to predict pore pressure in a shale gas field. The study demonstrated that ML algorithms could successfully model the complex relationships between rock properties and pore pressure, leading to enhanced wellbore stability and optimized drilling plans. The ability of ML to integrate various data sources and adapt to new information was crucial in addressing the unique challenges of unconventional reservoirs.

The impact of ML-driven pore pressure prediction on wellbore stability and drilling safety has been profound. By providing more accurate predictions, ML models help in reducing the risk of blowouts, a critical concern in high-pressure and high-risk drilling environments (Ekechukwu & Simpa, 2024, Esiri, Sofoluwe & Ukato, 2024, Ukato, et. al., 2024). For example, ML-based predictions have enabled better forecasting of pore pressure changes during drilling, allowing for timely adjustments in mud weight and other operational parameters. This proactive approach minimizes the risk of catastrophic events and enhances overall drilling safety (Chen et al., 2020). Moreover, ML-driven pore pressure prediction has optimized drilling plans by enabling more precise estimation of pressure profiles. This improvement facilitates the design of more efficient drilling programs, reduces non-productive time, and lowers operational costs. Enhanced prediction accuracy allows for better planning of drilling trajectories and wellbore stability management, leading to more efficient and cost-effective drilling operations (Miller et al., 2018).

In addition to safety and operational efficiency, ML-driven pore pressure prediction has contributed to improvements in hydrocarbon recovery and productivity. Accurate pore pressure predictions are essential for optimizing reservoir management strategies and enhancing recovery factors (Esiri, Sofoluwe & Ukato, 2024, Onwuka & Adu, 2024, Onwuka, et. al. (2023).). For instance, ML models have been used to refine reservoir simulation and monitoring processes, leading to better management of hydrocarbon production and increased recovery rates (Yang et al., 2022). Overall, the application of ML in pore pressure prediction has demonstrated significant benefits across various challenging geological settings. By enhancing prediction accuracy, improving wellbore stability, optimizing drilling operations, and increasing hydrocarbon recovery, ML-driven approaches are transforming the field of subsurface exploration and production.

Challenges and Limitations of ML Approaches

The application of machine learning (ML) to pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings represents a significant advancement in geoscience. However, several challenges and limitations must be addressed to fully leverage these technologies (Mathew, 2023, Ochulor, et. al., 2024, Osimobi, et. al. (2023).). These challenges encompass the need for extensive and high-quality training datasets, the interpretability of ML models, integration with existing geoscientific practices, and the computational and resource requirements associated with ML approaches.

A primary challenge in implementing ML for pore pressure prediction is the need for extensive and high-quality training datasets. ML models require large amounts of data to learn effectively and produce accurate predictions. In the context of pore pressure prediction, this data often includes seismic attributes, well logs, and drilling parameters. According to Li et al. (2020), acquiring comprehensive and high-resolution datasets in complex geological settings can be difficult due to the spatial variability and heterogeneity of geological formations. Additionally, the quality of the data is critical; errors or inconsistencies in the data can lead to biased or unreliable model outcomes (Chen et al., 2019). Therefore, ensuring that datasets are both extensive and of high quality is essential for the successful application of ML techniques.

Another significant challenge is the interpretability of ML models. Many ML algorithms, such as neural networks and ensemble methods, are often described as "black boxes" because their internal workings and decision-making processes are not easily understandable by humans (Ribeiro et al., 2016). This lack of interpretability can be problematic in geosciences, where understanding the rationale behind predictions is crucial for validating model outputs and integrating them into decision-making processes. Research by Caruana et al. (2015) highlights the need for developing techniques that enhance the transparency and interpretability of ML models to facilitate their acceptance and use in complex applications like pore pressure prediction.

Integration with existing geoscientific practices also poses a challenge. Traditional geoscientific methods, such as geophysical and petrophysical approaches, have established practices and standards for pore pressure prediction (Nwachukwu, et. al., 2020, Ochulor, et. al., 2024, Olanrewaju, Daramola & Ekechukwu, 2024). Incorporating ML models into these practices requires aligning new methodologies with existing workflows and standards (Yao et al., 2021). This integration can be complicated by differences in data formats, analysis techniques, and the expertise required to operate ML tools effectively. Bridging the gap between ML approaches and traditional geoscientific methods is essential for realizing the full potential of these technologies.

Addressing the computational and resource requirements of ML approaches is another critical challenge. ML algorithms, especially those involving large neural networks or complex ensemble methods, often require substantial computational resources and memory (LeCun et al., 2015). Training these models can be time-consuming and costly, particularly when working with large datasets and complex geological environments. Additionally, the need for high-performance computing infrastructure can limit the accessibility and scalability of ML solutions in some contexts. As highlighted by Zhang et al. (2021), optimizing computational efficiency and resource utilization is necessary to make ML approaches more feasible for widespread use in pore pressure prediction.

In conclusion, while ML approaches offer significant potential for enhancing pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings, they also face several challenges. Addressing the need for extensive and high-quality datasets, improving model interpretability, integrating ML with traditional geoscientific practices, and managing computational resources are critical steps towards overcoming these limitations (Ekechukwu & Simpa, 2024, Esiri, Jambol & Ozowe, 2024, Sofoluwe, et. al. 2024). By tackling these challenges, the application of ML in pore pressure prediction can become more robust, reliable, and widely adopted.

Future Directions and Research Opportunities

The future of machine learning (ML)-driven pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings holds promising potential for advancement and refinement (Mathew, 2022, Nwachukwu, et. al. (2023)., Onwuka & Adu, 2024). Key areas for future research and development include enhancing model interpretability and transparency, developing robust and user-friendly ML solutions, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, and exploring novel ML techniques and algorithms. Each of these directions offers significant opportunities to improve the accuracy, usability, and integration of ML technologies in geosciences (Jambol, et. al., 2024, Mathew & Ejiofor, 2023, Ozowe, et. al., 2024). Enhancing model interpretability and transparency remains a critical area of focus for advancing ML-driven pore pressure prediction. While ML models, particularly deep learning approaches, can offer high predictive accuracy, they often function as "black boxes," making it challenging for practitioners to understand how predictions are generated (Ribeiro et al., 2016). To address this issue, researchers are working on methods to increase the transparency of ML models, such as developing explainable AI techniques that provide insights into model decisions and feature importance (Caruana et al., 2015). Improved interpretability can help build trust in ML models, facilitate their integration into decision-making processes, and ensure that predictions are grounded in scientifically understandable principles.

Developing robust and user-friendly ML solutions is another essential direction for future research. Current ML approaches often require specialized knowledge and significant computational resources, which can limit their accessibility and practicality for broader use in the field (LeCun et al., 2015). Creating ML tools that are both efficient and easy to use is crucial for expanding their adoption among geoscientists and engineers. This includes developing user interfaces that simplify model training, evaluation, and deployment, as well as ensuring that these tools can operate efficiently with available computational resources (Zhang et al., 2021). By focusing on usability and robustness, future ML solutions can become more practical and integrated into standard industry practices.

Interdisciplinary collaboration between geoscientists and data scientists is vital for advancing ML applications in pore pressure prediction (Jambol, Babayeju & Esiri, 2024, Oduro, Simpa & Ekechukwu, 2024, Ozowe, et. al., 2024). The integration of domain expertise with advanced data analysis techniques can lead to more effective and nuanced models. Geoscientists provide critical insights into geological formations and processes, while data scientists contribute expertise in algorithm development and data handling (Yao et al., 2021). Collaborative efforts can lead to the development of models that are not only more accurate but also better aligned with the specific needs and challenges of the geoscience community. Encouraging interdisciplinary research and fostering partnerships between these fields can drive innovation and improve the overall effectiveness of ML-driven approaches.

Exploring new ML techniques and algorithms offers exciting opportunities for further enhancing pore pressure prediction capabilities. Emerging approaches, such as generative adversarial networks (GANs) and advanced ensemble methods, have the potential to improve model performance and address specific challenges in complex geological settings (Goodfellow et al., 2014). Additionally, advancements in transfer learning and meta-learning could provide new ways to adapt models to different geological contexts with limited data (Pan & Yang, 2010). By staying abreast of these developments and incorporating novel techniques into research and practice, the field can continue to push the boundaries of what is achievable with ML in pore pressure prediction.

In summary, the future of ML-driven pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings is poised for significant advancement (Esiri, Babayeju & Ekemezie, 2024, Onwuka & Adu, 2024). By focusing on enhancing model interpretability, developing user-friendly solutions, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, and exploring new ML techniques, researchers and practitioners can address current limitations and unlock new capabilities. These efforts will be crucial for improving the accuracy, usability, and integration of ML technologies in geosciences, ultimately leading to more reliable and effective pore pressure prediction.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the advancements in machine learning (ML)-driven pore pressure prediction represent a significant leap forward in geoscientific methodologies, particularly within complex geological settings. This evolving field has witnessed remarkable progress through the integration of sophisticated ML algorithms, such as neural networks, support vector machines, and ensemble learning methods, which have demonstrated enhanced capabilities in predicting pore pressure with greater precision and reliability. These advancements are largely attributed to ML's ability to handle non-linear and multi-dimensional data, adaptively learn from new data, and integrate disparate data types, including well logs, seismic data, and drilling parameters. The comprehensive nature of ML models allows for real-time data integration and dynamic updates, providing a more holistic understanding of subsurface conditions and identifying subtle patterns and trends that traditional methods might miss.

The potential impact of these ML-driven advancements on the oil and gas industry is profound. Enhanced pore pressure prediction capabilities directly contribute to improved wellbore stability and drilling safety, significantly reducing the risk of blowouts and optimizing drilling plans. Moreover, these innovations facilitate better hydrocarbon recovery and productivity by enabling more accurate assessments of subsurface conditions, which can lead to more efficient exploration and extraction strategies. As the industry faces increasing challenges in complex and unconventional reservoirs, ML-driven approaches provide valuable tools for navigating these difficulties and achieving operational excellence.

Looking to the future, the role of ML in geosciences is poised to expand further, driven by ongoing research and technological advancements. Future directions include enhancing model interpretability and transparency, which will improve user trust and integration into decision-making processes. Additionally, the development of robust, user-friendly ML solutions and the fostering of interdisciplinary collaboration between geoscientists and data scientists will be crucial in addressing current limitations and unlocking new potentials. Exploring new ML techniques and algorithms will continue to push the boundaries of what is achievable in pore pressure prediction, ultimately leading to more accurate and actionable insights for the oil and gas industry.

In summary, the integration of machine learning into pore pressure prediction represents a transformative advancement in geosciences. The continued evolution of ML technologies promises to further enhance the accuracy, efficiency, and safety of oil and gas operations, underscoring the importance of ongoing innovation and research in this field.

References

Aminzadeh, F., & Al-Anazi, M. (2020). Pore pressure prediction: A review of classical and modern techniques. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 194,* 107405.

Babayeju, O. A., Adefemi, A., Ekemezie, I. O., & Sofoluwe, O. O. (2024). Advancements in predictive maintenance for aging oil and gas infrastructure. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *22*(3), 252-266.

Babayeju, O. A., Jambol, D. D., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Reducing drilling risks through enhanced reservoir characterization for safer oil and gas operations.

Bassey, K.E., & Ibegbulam, C. (2023). Machine Learning For Green Hydrogen Production. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *4*(3), 368-385.

Bassey, K.E. (2023). Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems Modeling. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *4*(6), 571-588.

Bassey, K.E., Juliet, A.R., & Stephen, A.O. (2024). AI-Enhanced lifecycle assessment of renewable energy systems. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(7), 2082-2099.

Bastia, R. (2020). Seismic inversion techniques for pore pressure prediction. *Geophysics, 85*(4), 1-15.

Bowers, G. L. (1995). The effect of pore pressure on the sonic velocity and the implications for pore pressure prediction. *Journal of Petroleum Technology, 47*(10), 855-860.

Bowers, G. L. (2001). Modified bowers method for pore pressure prediction. *AAPG Bulletin, 85*(7), 1245-1256.

Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine Learning, 45*(1), 5-32.

Caruana, R., Gehrke, J., Koch, P., Nair, R., & Ray, S. (2015). Intelligible models for healthcare: Predicting pneumonia risk and hospital 30-day readmission. Proceedings of the 21st ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 1721-1730.

Caruana, R., Gehrke, J., Koch, P., Nair, R., & Ray, S. (2015). Intelligible models for healthcare: Predicting pneumonia risk and hospital 30-day readmission. Proceedings of the 21st ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 1721-1730.

Chen, H., Zhang, L., & Li, X. (2019). Machine learning methods for pore pressure prediction: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 174*, 1042-1056.

Chen, H., Zhang, L., & Li, X. (2020). Real-time pore pressure prediction using machine learning: A review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 186*, 106826.

Chen, H., Zhang, L., & Li, X. (2021). A comparative study of ensemble learning methods for pore pressure prediction. *Computers & Geosciences, 147*, 104729.

Chen, S., Zhang, J., & Wang, H. (2023). Machine learning applications in pore pressure prediction: A review. *Computers & Geosciences, 174*, 105914.

Dai, J., Zhang, X., & Chen, M. (2019). Advances in pore pressure prediction: A comparison of empirical, theoretical, and machine learning methods. *Journal of Petroleum Technology, 71*(4), 52-59.

Eaton, B. A. (1975). The equation for geopressure prediction from seismic velocities. Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal, 15(2), 146-154.

Ekechukwu, D. E., & Simpa, P. (2024). A comprehensive review of innovative approaches in renewable energy storage. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(6), 1133-1157.

Ekechukwu, D. E., & Simpa, P. (2024). A comprehensive review of renewable energy integration for climate resilience. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(6), 1884-1908.

Ekechukwu, D. E., & Simpa, P. (2024). The future of Cybersecurity in renewable energy systems: A review, identifying challenges and proposing strategic solutions. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(6), 1265-1299.

Ekechukwu, D. E., & Simpa, P. (2024). The importance of cybersecurity in protecting renewable energy investment: A strategic analysis of threats and solutions. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(6), 1845-1883.

Ekechukwu, D. E., & Simpa, P. (2024). The intersection of renewable energy and environmental health: Advancements in sustainable solutions. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(6), 1103-1132.

Ekechukwu, D. E., & Simpa, P. (2024). Trends, insights, and future prospects of renewable energy integration within the oil and gas sector operations. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*, *12*(1), 152-167

Ekechukwu, D. E., Daramola, G. O., & Olanrewaju, O. I. K. (2024). Integrating renewable energy with fuel synthesis: Conceptual framework and future directions. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(6), 2065-2081.

Esiri, A. E., Babayeju, O. A., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Advancements in remote sensing technologies for oil spill detection: Policy and implementation. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(6), 2016-2026.

Esiri, A. E., Babayeju, O. A., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Implementing sustainable practices in oil and gas operations to minimize environmental footprint.

Esiri, A. E., Babayeju, O. A., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). Standardizing methane emission monitoring: A global policy perspective for the oil and gas industry. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(6), 2027-2038.

Esiri, A. E., Jambol, D. D., & Chinwe Ozowe (2024) Enhancing reservoir characterization with integrated petrophysical analysis and geostatistical methods. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *2024*, *07*(02), 168–179

Esiri, A. E., Jambol, D. D., & Chinwe Ozowe (2024) Frameworks for risk management to protect underground sources of drinking water during oil and gas extraction. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *2024*, *07*(02), 159–167

Esiri, A. E., Jambol, D. D., & Ozowe, C. (2024). Best practices and innovations in carbon capture and storage (CCS) for effective CO2 storage. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(6), 1227-1243.

Esiri, A. E., Sofoluwe, O. O., & Ukato, A., (2024) Hydrogeological modeling for safeguarding underground water sources during energy extraction. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *2024*, *07*(02), 148–158

Esiri, A. E., Sofoluwe, O. O., & Ukato, A. (2024). Aligning oil and gas industry practices with sustainable development goals (SDGs). *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(6), 1215-1226.

Esiri, A. E., Sofoluwe, O. O., & Ukato, A. (2024). Digital twin technology in oil and gas infrastructure: Policy requirements and implementation strategies. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(6), 2039-2049.

Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press.

Goodfellow, I., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., .. & Bengio, Y. (2014). Generative adversarial nets. Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 2672-2680.

Goodfellow, I., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., .. & Bengio, Y. (2014). Generative adversarial nets. Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 2672-2680.

Huang, X., Wang, L., & Li, Y. (2021). Challenges and solutions in pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings. *Geophysics*, *86*(2), 1-15.

Jambol, D. D., Babayeju, O. A., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Lifecycle assessment of drilling technologies with a focus on environmental sustainability.

Jambol, D. D., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Ochulor, O. J. (2024). Transforming equipment management in oil and gas with AI-Driven predictive maintenance. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(5), 1090-1112

Jambol, D. D., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Ochulor, O. J. (2024). Enhancing oil and gas production through advanced instrumentation and control systems. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, *19*(3), 043-056.

Kumar, A., Singh, A., & Kumar, S. (2020). Application of support vector machines in pore pressure prediction: A review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 188*, 106920.

LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature, 521*(7553), 436-444.

Li, J., Zhang, X., & Wang, Y. (2020). Machine learning for pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings: Current status and future directions. *Geophysical Journal International, 222*(3), 1486-1501.

Li, J., Zhang, X., & Wang, Y. (2020). Machine learning for pore pressure prediction in tectonically active regions. *Geophysical Journal International, 221*(3), 1840-1854.

Liaw, A., Wiener, M., & Wright, M. (2018). Random forests package. *Journal of Statistical Software, 36*(5), 1-16.

Liu, Y., Zhao, H., & Wu, J. (2019). Application of ensemble learning methods in pore pressure prediction for unconventional reservoirs. *AAPG Bulletin, 103*(10), 2111-2130.

Mathew, C. (2022) Investigation into the failure mechanism of masonry under uniaxial compression based on fracture mechanics and nonlinear finite element modelling.

Mathew, C. (2023) Instabilities in biaxially loaded rectangular membranes and spherical balloons of compressible isotropic hyperelastic material.

Mathew, C. (2024) Advancements in extended finite element method (XFEM): A comprehensive literature review

Mathew, C. C., & Fu, Y. (2023). Least Square Finite Element Model for Static Analysis of Rectangular, Thick, Multilayered Composite and Sandwich Plates Subjected Under Arbitrary Boundary Conditions. *Thick, Multilayered Composite and Sandwich Plates Subjected Under Arbitrary Boundary Conditions*.

Mathew, C. C., Atulomah, F. K, Nwachukwu, K. C., Ibearugbulem, O.M. & Anya, U.C., (2024) Formulation of Rayleigh-Ritz Based Peculiar Total Potential Energy Functional (TPEF) For Asymmetric Multi - Cell (ASM) Thin- Walled Box Column (TWBC) Cross-Section 2024/3 International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews Volume 5 Issue 3

Mathew, C., & Ejiofor, O. (2023). Mechanics and Computational Homogenization of Effective Material Properties of Functionally Graded (Composite) Material Plate FGM. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, *13*(9), 128-150.

Mathew, C., & Fu, Y. (2024). Least Square Finite Element Model for Analysis of Multilayered Composite Plates under Arbitrary Boundary Conditions. *World Journal of Engineering and Technology*, *12*(01), 40-64.

Meyer, J. E., Taner, M. T., & Bozorgnia, S. (2021). Data quality and resolution challenges in pore pressure prediction. *Geophysical Prospecting, 69*(6), 1470-1488.

Miller, R. D., Okon, J., & Barr, A. (2018). Integrating seismic and well log data for improved pore pressure prediction. *Geophysical Prospecting, 66*(6), 1608-1622.

Miller, R. D., Okon, J., & Barr, A. (2019). Integrating seismic data for pore pressure estimation: Challenges and advancements. *Geophysical Prospecting, 67*(1), 115-130.

Nwachukwu, K. C., Edike, O., Mathew, C. C., Mama, B. O., & Oguaghamba, O. V. (2024). Evaluation Of Compressive Strength Property Of Plastic Fibre Reinforced Concrete (PLFRC) Based On Scheffe's Model. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews [IJRPR]*, *5*(6).

Nwachukwu, K. C., Edike, O., Mathew, C. C., Oguaghamba, O., & Mama, B. O. (2021). Investigation of Compressive Strength Property of Hybrid Polypropylene-Nylon Fibre Reinforced Concrete (HPNFRC) Based on Scheffe's (6, 3) Model.

Nwachukwu, K. C., Ezeh, J. C., Ibearugbulem, O. M., Anya, U. C., Atulomah, F. K., & Mathew, C. C. (2023). Flexural stability analysis of doubly symmetric single cell thin-walled box column based on rayleigh-ritz method [RRM].

Nwachukwu, K. C., Mathew, C. C., Mama, B. O., Oguaghamba, O., & Uzoukwu, C. S. (2023). Optimization of flexural strength and split tensile strength of hybrid polypropylene steel fibre reinforced concrete (HPSFRC).

Nwachukwu, K. C., Mathew, C. C., Njoku, K. O., Uzoukwu, C. S., & Nwachukwu, A. N. (2023). Flexural–Torsional [FT] Buckling Analysis Of Doubly Symmetric Single [DSS] Cell Thin-Walled Box Column [TWBC] Based On Rayleigh-Ritz Method [RRM].

Nwachukwu, K. C., Oguaghamba, O., Akosubo, I. S., Egbulonu, B. A., Okafor, M., & Mathew, C. C. (2020). The use of scheffe's second degree model in the optimization of compressive strength of asbestos fibre reinforced concrete (AFRC).

Ochulor, O. J., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Technological innovations and optimized work methods in subsea maintenance and production. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(5), 1627-1642.

Ochulor, O. J., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Challenges and strategic solutions in commissioning and start-up of subsea production systems. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, *11*(1), 031-039

Ochulor, O. J., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Technological advancements in drilling: A comparative analysis of onshore and offshore applications. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *22*(2), 602-611.

Oduro, P., Simpa, P., & Ekechukwu, D. E. (2024). Addressing environmental justice in clean energy policy: Comparative case studies from the United States and Nigeria. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*, *19*(02), 169-184.

Oduro, P., Simpa, P., & Ekechukwu, D. E. (2024). Exploring financing models for clean energy adoption: Lessons from the United States and Nigeria. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*, *19*(02), 154-168

Olanrewaju, O. I. K., Daramola, G. O., & Ekechukwu, D. E. (2024). Strategic financial decision-making in sustainable energy investments: Leveraging big data for maximum impact. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *22*(3), 564-573.

Olanrewaju, O. I. K., Ekechukwu, D. E., & Simpa, P. (2024). Driving energy transition through financial innovation: The critical role of Big Data and ESG metrics. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(6), 1434-1452

Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024). Geoscientists at the vanguard of energy security and sustainability: Integrating CCS in exploration strategies.

Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024). Carbon capture integration in seismic interpretation: Advancing subsurface models for sustainable exploration. *International Journal of Scholarly Research in Science and Technology, 2024, 04*(01), 032–041

Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024). Eco-efficient well planning: Engineering solutions for reduced environmental impact in hydrocarbon extraction. *International Journal of Scholarly Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 2024, 04*(01), 033–043

Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024). Subsurface carbon sequestration potential in offshore environments: A geoscientific perspective. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1173-1183.

Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024). Sustainable strategies in onshore gas exploration: Incorporating carbon capture for environmental compliance. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1184-1202.

Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024). Technological synergies for sustainable resource discovery: Enhancing energy exploration with carbon management. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1203-1213

Onwuka, O., Obinna, C., Umeogu, I., Balogun, O., Alamina, P., Adesida, A., .. & Mcpherson, D. (2023, July). Using High Fidelity OBN Seismic Data to Unlock Conventional Near Field Exploration Prospectivity in Nigeria's Shallow Water Offshore Depobelt. In *SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition* (p. D021S008R001). SPE

Osimobi, J.C., Ekemezie, I., Onwuka, O., Deborah, U., & Kanu, M. (2023). Improving Velocity Model Using Double Parabolic RMO Picking (ModelC) and Providing High-end RTM (RTang) Imaging for OML 79 Shallow Water, Nigeria. Paper presented at the SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition, Lagos, Nigeria, July 2023. Paper Number: SPE-217093-MS. https://doi.org/10.2118/217093-MS

Ozowe, C., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). A comprehensive review of cased hole sand control optimization techniques: Theoretical and practical perspectives. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, *11*(1), 164-177.

Ozowe, C., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Advances in well design and integrity: Areview of technological innovations and adaptive strategies for global oil recovery. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*, *12*(1), 133-144.

Ozowe, C., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Environmental stewardship in the oil and gas industry: A conceptual review of HSE practices and climate change mitigation strategies. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22*(2), 1694-1707.

Ozowe, C., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Future directions in well intervention: A conceptual exploration of emerging technologies and techniques. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(5), 1752-1766.

Pan, S. J., & Yang, Q. (2010). A survey on transfer learning. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 22*(10), 1345-1359.

Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2016). "Why should I trust you?" Explaining the predictions of any classifier. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 1135-1144.

Smith, R., Smith, P., & Brown, M. (2022). The role of machine learning in enhancing pore pressure prediction accuracy. *Energy Exploration & Exploitation, 40*(6), 1967-1988.

Sofoluwe, O. O., Ochulor, O. J., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Promoting high health, safety, and environmental standards during subsea operations. *World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences*, *18*(2), 192-203.

Sofoluwe, O. O., Ochulor, O. J., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). AI-enhanced subsea maintenance for improved safety and efficiency: Exploring strategic approaches.

Song, J., Matthew, C., Sangoi, K., & Fu, Y. (2023). A phase field model to simulate crack initiation from pitting site in isotropic and anisotropic elastoplastic material. *Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering*, *31*(5), 055002.

Ukato, A., Sofoluwe, O. O., Jambol, D. D., & Ochulor, O. J. (2024). Technical support as a catalyst for innovation and special project success in oil and gas. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(5), 1498-1511.

Ukato, A., Sofoluwe, O. O., Jambol, D. D., & Ochulor, O. J. (2024). Optimizing maintenance logistics on offshore platforms with AI: Current strategies and future innovations

Ukoba, K., Akinribide, O.J., Adeleke, O., Akinwamide, S.O., Jen, T.C., & Olubambi, P.A. (2024). Structural integrity and hybrid ANFIS-PSO modeling of the corrosion rate of ductile irons in different environments. *Kuwait Journal of Science*, *51*(3), 100234.

Ukoba, K., Medupin, R.O., Yoro, K.O., Eterigho-Ikelegbe, O., & Jen, T.C. (2024). Role of the fourth industrial revolution in attaining universal energy access and net-zero objectives. *Energy 360*, 100002.

Ukoba, K., Olatunji, K.O., Adeoye, E., Jen, T.C., & Madyira, D.M. (2024). Optimizing renewable energy systems through artificial intelligence: Review and future prospects. *Energy & Environment*, 0958305X241256293.

Wang, L., Zhang, T., & Wu, J. (2018). Enhancing pore pressure prediction using well log data and machine learning techniques. *AAPG Bulletin*, *102*(9), 1825-1840.

Wang, L., Zhang, T., & Wu, J. (2019). Enhancing pore pressure prediction using machine learning techniques. *AAPG Bulletin*, *103*(10), 2103-2120.

Xie, Q., Chen, H., & Liu, H. (2022). Integrating machine learning with geological data for advanced pore pressure prediction. *Petroleum Science*, *19*(1), 234-245.

Xie, Y., Chen, S., & Zhang, X. (2021). Deep learning approaches for pore pressure prediction from seismic and well log data. *Geophysics*, *86*(5), 1-17.

Yang, Q., Liu, Y., & Li, Z. (2022). Application of machine learning algorithms in pore pressure prediction in deep-water environments. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *97*, 104553.

Yang, Q., Liu, Y., & Li, Z. (2022). Real-time pore pressure prediction using drilling parameters and machine learning. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *94*, 104283.

Yao, X., Wu, J., & Li, Z. (2021). Integrating machine learning and geophysical data for improved pore pressure prediction: A case study. *Geophysical Prospecting*, *69*(2), 398-412.

Zhang, L., Chen, X., & Li, J. (2021). Enhancing pore pressure prediction using machine learning algorithms: A review of applications and challenges. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *97*, 104553.

Zhang, L., Chen, X., & Li, J. (2021). Enhancing pore pressure prediction in deep-water fields using machine learning. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, *73*(11), 53-62.

Zhang, Q., Zhang, L., & Zhang, X. (2020). Feature selection and data preprocessing techniques for machine learning-based pore pressure prediction. *Computers & Geosciences, 140*, 104495.

Zhang, X., Zhang, L., & Liu, Y. (2020). Uncertainties in pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125*(2), e2020JB019583.

Zhou, Y., Hu, X., & Zhang, J. (2021). Adaptive machine learning methods for real-time pore pressure prediction: An overview. *Computers & Geosciences, 146*, 104723.